

Products of straight spaces with compact spaces

KUSUO NISHIJIMA AND KOHZO YAMADA

Dedicated to the memory of Jan Pelant

ABSTRACT. A metric space X is called straight if any continuous real-valued function which is uniformly continuous on each set of a finite cover of X by closed sets, is itself uniformly continuous. Let C be the convergent sequence $\{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with its limit 0 in the real line with the usual metric. In this paper, we show that for a straight space X , $X \times C$ is straight if and only if $X \times K$ is straight for any compact metric space K . Furthermore, we show that for a straight space X , if $X \times C$ is straight, then X is precompact. Note that the notion of straightness depends on the metric on X . Indeed, since the real line \mathbb{R} with the usual metric is not precompact, $\mathbb{R} \times C$ is not straight. On the other hand, we show that the product space of an open interval and C is straight.

2000 AMS Classification: Primary 54C30, 54D05; Secondary 54C20, 54E35

Keywords: Straight spaces, convergent sequence, precompact, uniformly continuous.

1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces are metric spaces and one fixed metric on a space X will be denoted by d_X , and $C(X)$ denotes the set of all continuous real-valued functions of a space X . Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces. For a subspace M of X , we consider the restriction $d_X|_{M \times M}$ to $M \times M$ as a metric on M , which is denoted by d_M . A metric $d_{X \times Y}$ on the product space $X \times Y$ will be defined by

$$d_{X \times Y}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \sqrt{(d_X(x_1, x_2))^2 + (d_Y(y_1, y_2))^2}.$$

In this paper we study notions that use metrics in their definitions. However, the symbols of metrics will simply be denoted by d or be often omitted except when it is necessary to be clear which metric we consider.

Let X be a metric space and $\{F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a finite closed cover of X . Then it is well-known that every function f on X is continuous if the

restriction $f|_{F_i}$ of f is continuous on F_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. However, it is not valid for uniform continuity. Indeed, consider the subspace $X = \{e^{i\theta} : 0 < \theta < 2\pi\}$ of the complex plane with the Euclidean metric and the function $f(e^{i\theta}) = \theta$ defined on X . Then the function f is not uniformly continuous on X , but its restrictions on $\{e^{i\theta} : 0 < \theta \leq \pi\}$ and $\{e^{i\theta} : \pi \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ are uniformly continuous. The following facts are useful to determine whether a given continuous function on a metric space is uniformly continuous or not.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $f \in C(X)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) f is uniformly continuous;
- (2) for every pair of sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| = 0$;
- (3) for every pair of sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$, then there are subsequences $\{x_{k_n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_{k_n}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{k_n}) - f(y_{k_n})| = 0$.

Applying Lemma 1.1, it is easy to see that the above function $f(e^{i\theta}) = \theta$ is not u.c., because let $\alpha_n = \frac{\pi}{n}$ and $\beta_n = 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and if we consider the sequences $\{x_n = e^{i\alpha_n}\}$ and $\{y_n = e^{i\beta_n}\}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| = 2\pi$.

Recently, Berarducci, Dikranjan and Pelant [3] defined the following notion.

Definition 1.2 ([3]). *A metric space X is straight if whenever X is the union of finitely many closed sets, then $f \in C(X)$ is uniformly continuous (briefly, u.c.) iff its restriction to each of the closed sets is u.c.*

Recall that a metric space X is called *UC* [1, 2] provided every continuous function on X is u.c. and a metric space is called *uniformly locally connected* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that any two points at distance $< \delta$ lie in a connected set of diameter $< \varepsilon$. Clearly, all compact spaces are *UC* and all *UC* spaces are straight. Berarducci, Dikranjan and Pelant [3] prove that all uniformly locally connected spaces are straight. Hence, since the real line \mathbb{R} and an open interval in \mathbb{R} with the usual metric are clearly uniformly locally connected, they are straight, and of course, they are not *UC*.

The product space of two compact spaces is compact, and hence *UC*. However, in general, the product space $X \times Y$ of a *UC* space X and a compact space Y need not be *UC*. Indeed, Atsujii's result [2, Theorem 6] yields that if the product space $X \times Y$ of a non-compact and non-uniformly discrete *UC* space X and a space Y is *UC*, then Y must be uniformly discrete or finite (recall that a space is *uniformly discrete* if there is $\delta > 0$ such that any two distinct points are at distance at least δ). On the other hand, there are non-compact and non-uniformly discrete straight spaces whose products with compact spaces are straight, for example, $\mathbb{R} \times I$ is uniformly locally connected, and hence straight, where I means that the unit closed interval.

In this paper, we consider properties of a straight space whose product with any compact space is straight. Let X be a straight space and C be the convergent sequence $\{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with its limit 0 in the real line with the usual metric. Recall that a metric space X is *precompact* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are finite points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in X such that $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_\varepsilon(x_k)$, where $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{z \in X : d(x, z) < \varepsilon\}$. Then we will show the following:

- (1) $X \times C$ is straight if and only if $X \times K$ is straight for any compact space K ;
- (2) if $X \times C$ is straight, then X is precompact.

We can know, from the result (2), that $\mathbb{R} \times C$ is not straight. On the other hand, we prove that the product space of an open interval and C is straight. However, we cannot decide whether the inverse implication of the result (2) is valid or not (cf. Acknowledgement).

2. RESULTS

We first introduce the terminology that is defined in [3]. Let X be a metric space. A pair E and F of closed sets of X is *u-placed* if $d(E_\varepsilon, F_\varepsilon) > 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, where $E_\varepsilon = \{x \in E : d(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon\}$ and $F_\varepsilon = \{x \in F : d(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon\}$. Note that if $E \cap F = \emptyset$, then $E_\varepsilon = E$ and $F_\varepsilon = F$. Hence, a partition $X = E \cup F$ of X into clopen sets is u-placed iff $d(E, F) > 0$.

Berarducci and Dikranjan and Pelant give the following characterizations of straight spaces in the same paper.

Theorem 2.1 ([3]). *For a metric space X the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is straight;
- (2) whenever X is the union of two closed sets, then $f \in C(X)$ is u.c. iff its restriction to each of the closed sets is u.c.;
- (3) every pair of closed subsets, which form a cover of X , is u-placed.

According to Theorem 2.1, we can conclude that the space \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers and the space $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ of irrational numbers with the usual metric are not straight. Applying Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 2.1, we will show the following, which says that for given straight space X , it suffices to check whether $X \times C$ is straight in order to know whether $X \times K$ is straight for any compact space K .

Theorem 2.2. *For a straight space X $X \times C$ is straight if and only if $X \times K$ is straight for any compact space K .*

Proof. Assume that $X \times C$ is straight and let K be a compact space. From the definition of the straightness we assume that K is an infinite compact space. To show that $X \times K$ is straight, take a closed cover $\{E, F\}$ of $X \times K$ and $f \in C(X \times K)$ on $X \times K$ such that the restrictions $f|_E$ and $f|_F$ are u.c. If we can show that f is u.c., then, from Theorem 2.1, our proof is complete.

Consider sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in $X \times K$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{X \times K}(x_n, y_n) = 0$. We shall find subsequences $\{x_{k_n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_{k_n}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{k_n}) - f(y_{k_n})| = 0$. We denote the projection of $X \times K$ onto K by π_K .

We consider the following cases.

Case 1: $\pi_K(\{x_n : n \in \omega\})$ is a finite set.

Take a subsequence $\{x_{k_n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $z \in K$ such that $\pi_K(x_{k_n}) = z$ for each $n \in \omega$.

Case 1.1: $\pi_K(\{y_{k_n} : n \in \omega\})$ is a finite set.

In this case, since $d(x_{k_n}, y_{k_n})$ converges to 0, there is an infinite subset $Y \subseteq \{y_{k_n} : n \in \omega\}$ for which $\pi_K(Y) = \{z\}$. So we may assume that $\{y_{k_n} : n \in \omega\}$ is the infinite set. Put $E_z = E \cap (X \times \{z\})$ and $F_z = F \cap (X \times \{z\})$. Then we can know that

- (i) $\{E_z, F_z\}$ is a closed cover of $X \times \{z\}$ and
- (ii) the restrictions $f|_{E_z}$ and $f|_{F_z}$ are u.c.

Since X is straight and isometric to $X \times \{z\}$, $X \times \{z\}$ is straight. It follows that the restriction $f|_{X \times \{z\}}$ is u.c. Observe that the sequences $\{x_{k_n}\}$ and $\{y_{k_n}\}$ lie in $X \times \{z\}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{X \times \{z\}}(x_{k_n}, y_{k_n}) = 0$. Hence, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{k_n}) - f(y_{k_n})| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f|_{X \times \{z\}}(x_{k_n}) - f|_{X \times \{z\}}(y_{k_n})| = 0.$$

Case 1.2: $\pi_K(\{y_{k_n} : n \in \omega\})$ is an infinite set.

Since K is compact, $\pi_K(\{y_{k_n} : n \in \omega\})$ contains a non-trivial convergent sequence. We may assume that $\pi_K(\{y_{k_n} : n \in \omega\})$ is the non-trivial convergent sequence and also $\pi_K(y_{k_m}) \neq \pi_K(y_{k_n})$ if $m \neq n$. Note that $d(x_{k_n}, y_{k_n})$ converges to 0. Hence, it follows that z is the convergent point of the sequence $\{\pi_K(y_{k_n})\}$. Put $z_n = \pi_K(y_{k_n})$ for each $n \in \omega$ and $Z = \{z_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{z\}$. Define a mapping $g : X \times C \rightarrow X \times Z$ by $g(x, 1/n) = (x, z_n)$ for each $x \in X$ and $n \in \omega$, and $g(x, 0) = (x, z)$ for each $x \in X$. Clearly, g is a uniformly homeomorphism. Put

$$H = g^{-1}(E \cap (X \times Z)), I = g^{-1}(F \cap (X \times Z)),$$

$$a_{k_n} = g^{-1}(x_{k_n}), b_{k_n} = g^{-1}(y_{k_n}) \text{ for each } n \in \omega, \text{ and}$$

$$h = f \circ g : X \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we can show the following:

- (i) $\{H, I\}$ is a closed cover of $X \times C$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{X \times C}(a_{k_n}, b_{k_n}) = 0$, and
- (iii) $h|_H = f|_{E \cap (X \times Z)} \circ g|_H$, and $h|_I = f|_{F \cap (X \times Z)} \circ g|_I$, and hence $h|_H$ and $h|_I$ are u.c.

Since $X \times C$ is straight, h is u.c. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h(a_{k_n}) - h(b_{k_n})| = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{k_n}) - f(y_{k_n})| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(g(a_{k_n})) - f(g(b_{k_n}))| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h(a_{k_n}) - h(b_{k_n})| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\pi_K(\{x_n : n \in \omega\})$ is an infinite set.

Since X is compact, we can pick a subsequence $\{x_{k_n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $z \in K$ such that $\pi_K(x_{k_m}) \neq \pi_K(x_{k_n})$ if $m \neq n$ and $\{\pi_K(x_{k_n})\}$ converges to z . Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{k_n}, y_{k_n}) = 0$. Hence, this yields that the sequence $\{\pi_K(y_{k_n})\}$ also converges to z . Let $\{z_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an enumeration of $\pi_K(\{x_{k_n} : n \in \omega\} \cup \{y_{k_n} : n \in \omega\})$ such that $z_m \neq z_n$ if $m \neq n$. Then, the sequence $\{z_n\}$ converges to z . Consider the same mapping $g : X \times C \rightarrow X \times (\{z_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{z\})$ as in Case 1.2. Then, with the same argument in Case 1.2, if we put $a_{k_n} = g^{-1}(x_{k_n})$ and $b_{k_n} = g^{-1}(y_{k_n})$ for each $n \in \omega$ and $h = g \circ f$, then we can show that h is u.c., and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h(a_{k_n}) - h(b_{k_n})| = 0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{k_n}) - f(y_{k_n})| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(g(a_{k_n})) - f(g(b_{k_n}))| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |h(a_{k_n}) - h(b_{k_n})| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in any case, we can find subsequences $\{x_{k_n}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_{k_n}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{k_n}) - f(y_{k_n})| = 0$. It follows, from Lemma 1.1, that f is u.c. Consequently, $X \times K$ is straight. \square

The following result gives a necessary condition of X for which $X \times C$ is straight.

Theorem 2.3. *For a straight space X if $X \times C$ is straight, then X is precompact.*

Proof. Put $Y = X \times C$. Suppose that X is not precompact and pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and an infinite set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\overline{B_\varepsilon(x_m)} \cap \overline{B_\varepsilon(x_n)} = \emptyset$ if $m \neq n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $a_n = (x_n, \frac{1}{n}) \in Y$ and $b_n = (x_n, \frac{1}{n+1}) \in Y$. Clearly, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_Y(a_n, b_n) = 0$. Hence, we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_n \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(a_n)$ for every $n \geq N$. Put $M = Y \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq N} B_\varepsilon(a_n)$. Then M is a closed subset of Y . For each $n \geq N$ put

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= (X \times \{\frac{1}{i} : i \leq n\}) \cap \overline{B_\varepsilon(a_n)}, \\ B_n &= (X \times (\{\frac{1}{i} : i \geq n+1\} \cup \{0\})) \cap \overline{B_\varepsilon(a_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the collection $\{\overline{B_\varepsilon(a_n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is closed discrete in Y , and hence so are $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If we put $E = M \cup \bigcup_{n \geq N} A_n$ and

$F = M \cup \bigcup_{n \geq N} B_n$, then we have that

- (a) $\{E, F\}$ is a closed cover of Y ,
- (b) $E \cap F = M$,
- (c) for each $n \geq N$ $d(a_n, E \cap F) = d(a_n, M) \geq d(a_n, Y \setminus B_\varepsilon(a_n)) = \varepsilon$, and
- (d) for each $n \geq N$ $d(b_n, E \cap F) = d(b_n, M) \geq d(b_n, Y \setminus B_\varepsilon(a_n)) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$,
because $b_n \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(a_n)$.

The conditions (c) and (d) imply that $\{a_n : n \geq N\} \subseteq E_{\varepsilon/2}$ and $\{b_n : n \geq N\} \subseteq F_{\varepsilon/2}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$, it follows that $d(E_{\varepsilon/2}, F_{\varepsilon/2}) = 0$. That is, the pair E and F is not u-placed. Consequently, by Theorem 2.1 we can prove that $X \times C$ is not straight. \square

Remark 2.4. Since \mathbb{R} is a straight space that is not precompact, Theorem 2.3 says that $\mathbb{R} \times C$ is not straight. Indeed, we can construct a pair of closed sets E and F which is not u-placed. For example, let $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ([2n, \infty) \times \{\frac{1}{n}\})$ and

$F = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ((-\infty, 2n] \times \{\frac{1}{n}\}) \cup \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$. Then $\{E, F\}$ is a closed cover of $\mathbb{R} \times C$ and

$E \cap F = \{(2n, \frac{1}{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Put $x_n = (2n + 1, \frac{1}{n})$ and $y_n = (2n + 1, \frac{1}{n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$, $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq E_{1/2}$ and $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq F_{1/2}$. Hence $d(E_{1/2}, F_{1/2}) = 0$. This means that the pair E and F is not u-placed.

Corollary 2.5. *For a complete straight space X the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is precompact;
- (2) X is compact;
- (3) $X \times C$ is straight;
- (4) $X \times K$ is straight for any compact space K .

We don't know whether the inverse implication of Theorem 2.3 is true or not, however, we can show that the product space of an open interval and C is straight (cf. Acknowledgment). We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.6. *A metric space X which is represented as a topological sum of a family $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ of a spaces is straight if $\inf\{d(X_\alpha, X_\beta) : \alpha \neq \beta\} > 0$.*

The following lemma is introduced in [3, Theorem 5.3] and proved in [4, Proposition 2.4].

Lemma 2.7 ([3, 4]). *Let X be a metric space and $X = K \cup Y$, where K is a compact subspace of X and Y is a closed subset of X . Then X is straight iff Y is straight.*

Theorem 2.8. *The product space of a half open interval and C is straight.*

Proof. Let $X = (a, b] \times C$, where $a < b$. To show that X is straight, let E and F be closed sets in X with $E \cup F = X$ and take an arbitrary (small) positive number $\varepsilon > 0$. To avoid confusion we use notations such as E_ε^X and $(E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y$, and which mean that

$$E_\varepsilon^X = \{x \in E : d_X(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon\} \text{ and} \\ (E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y = \{x \in E \cap Y : d_Y(x, E \cap F \cap Y) \geq \varepsilon\},$$

where E , F and Y are subsets of a space X . According to Theorem 2.1, we shall show that the pair E and F is u-placed. Assuming that $b = a + 1$ and we can pick $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\frac{1}{N+1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{1}{N}$, put

$$U = (a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times (\{\frac{1}{n} : n \geq N+1\} \cup \{0\}) \text{ and } Y = X \setminus U.$$

Case 1. $U \cap (E \cap F) \neq \emptyset$.

In this case, since the diameter of U is less than ε , $U \subseteq B_\varepsilon^X(E \cap F \cap U) \subseteq B_\varepsilon^X(E \cap F)$. Thus

$$(2.1) \quad E_\varepsilon^X \cup F_\varepsilon^X \subseteq X \setminus U = Y.$$

It follows that $d_X(E_\varepsilon^X, F_\varepsilon^X) = d_Y(E_\varepsilon^X, F_\varepsilon^X)$. To show that $E_\varepsilon^X \subseteq (E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y$, let $x \in E_\varepsilon^X$. Then $x \in E$ and $d_X(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon$. Since $x \in E \cap Y$ by (2.1) and

$$d_Y(x, (E \cap Y) \cap (F \cap Y)) \geq d_X(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon,$$

we can see that $x \in (E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y$. Therefore $E_\varepsilon^X \subseteq (E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y$. In the same way, we can show that $F_\varepsilon^X \subseteq (F \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 yield that Y is straight, and hence $d_Y((E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y, (F \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y) > 0$. So, we can get that

$$d_X(E_\varepsilon^X, F_\varepsilon^X) \geq d_X((E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y, (F \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y) = d_Y((E \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y, (F \cap Y)_\varepsilon^Y) > 0.$$

Case 2. $U \cap (E \cap F) = \emptyset$.

In this case, for every $p \in \{\frac{1}{n} : n \geq N+1\} \cup \{0\}$

$$(2.2) \quad (a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \{p\} \subseteq E \cup F$$

$$(2.3) \quad (E \cap F) \cap ((a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \{p\}) = \emptyset.$$

Since every $(a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \{p\}$ is connected,

$$(a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \{p\} \subseteq E \text{ or } (a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \{p\} \subseteq F.$$

Now, we assume that $(a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \{0\} \subseteq E$. Then, from the conditions (2.2) and (2.3), we can find $M \geq N + 1$ such that

$$(a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times (\{\frac{1}{n} : n \geq M\} \cup \{0\}) \subseteq E.$$

Put $V = (a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{2}}) \times (\{\frac{1}{n} : n \geq M + 1\} \cup \{0\})$ and $Z = X \setminus V$. Then $E \cap F \subseteq F \subseteq Z$. Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 claim that Z is straight. So, we can say that

$$(2.4) \quad d_Z((E \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z, (F \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z) > 0.$$

Here, we shall show that

$$(2.5) \quad E_\varepsilon^X \cap Z \subseteq (E \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z \text{ and } F_\varepsilon^X \subseteq (F \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z.$$

Let $x \in E_\varepsilon^X \cap Z$. Then $x \in E \cap Z$ and $d_X(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon$. Since $E \cap F \subseteq Z$, $d_Z(x, (E \cap Z) \cap (F \cap Z)) = d_Z(x, E \cap F) = d_X(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon$. It follows that $x \in (E \cap F)_\varepsilon^Z$, and hence $E_\varepsilon^X \cap Z \subseteq (E \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z$. Next, let $x \in F_\varepsilon^X$. Then $x \in F$ and $d_X(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon$. Since $F \subseteq Z$, $x \in F \cap Z$ and

$$d_Z(x, (E \cap Z) \cap (F \cap Z)) = d_Z(x, E \cap F) = d_X(x, E \cap F) \geq \varepsilon.$$

It follows that $x \in (F \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z$, and hence $F_\varepsilon^X \subseteq (F \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z$.

The conditions (2.4) and (2.5) yield that

$$(2.6) \quad d_X(E_\varepsilon^X \cap Z, F_\varepsilon^X) \geq d_X((E \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z, (F \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z) = d_Z((E \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z, (F \cap Z)_\varepsilon^Z) > 0.$$

Furthermore, since

$$V = (a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{2}}) \times (\{\frac{1}{n} : n \geq M + 1\} \cup \{0\}) \text{ and} \\ \left((a, a + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}) \times (\{\frac{1}{n} : n \geq M\} \cup \{0\}) \right) \cap F = \emptyset,$$

we can see that $d_X(V, F) > 0$, and hence

$$(2.7) \quad d_X(E_\varepsilon^X \cap V, F_\varepsilon^X) > 0.$$

The fact $E_\varepsilon^X = (E_\varepsilon^X \cap V) \cup (E_\varepsilon^X \cap Z)$ and the conditions (2.6) and (2.7) yield that $d_X(E_\varepsilon^X, F_\varepsilon^X) > 0$.

In any case, we can get $d_X(E_\varepsilon^X, F_\varepsilon^X) > 0$. Consequently, we can conclude that $X = (a, b] \times C$ is straight. With the same argument we can prove that $[a, b) \times C$ is also straight. \square

Corollary 2.9. *The product space of an open interval and C is straight.*

Proof. Let $X = (a, b) \times C$, where $a < b$. Take real numbers c and d for which $a < c < d < b$ and put $Y = (a, c] \times C$, $Z = [d, b) \times C$ and $K = [c, d] \times C$. Then Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.6 yield that $Y \cup Z$ is straight. Therefore, since $Y \cup Z$ is a straight closed subspace of X , K is compact and $X = (Y \cup Z) \cup K$, applying Lemma 2.8, we can show that X is straight. \square

Finally, we obtain the following from Corollary 2.5 and Corollary 2.9.

Corollary 2.10. *The product of an open interval and a compact metric space is straight.*

Acknowledgements. When the second author gave a talk about the results in this paper at the III Japan-Mexico Joint Meeting in Topology and its Applications, he has learned from Professor Dikranjan that A. Berarducci, D. Dikranjan and J. Pelant in [4] proved independently that $\mathbb{R} \times C$ is not straight and the product space of an open interval and C is straight. After that, Professor Dikranjan sent us their paper [5] quite recently, in which they obtain the interesting result such as the inverse implication of Theorem 2.3 is valid. Therefore, we can know now that for a straight space X $X \times K$ is straight for every compact metric space K if and only if X is precompact.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Atsugi, *Uniform continuity of continuous functions on metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 11–16.
- [2] M. Atsugi, *Uniform continuity of continuous functions on metric spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **13** (1961), 657–663.
- [3] A. Berarducci, D. Dikranjan and J. Pelant, *An additivity theorem for uniformly continuous functions*, Topology Appl. **146-147** (2005), 339–352.
- [4] A. Berarducci, D. Dikranjan and J. Pelant, *Local connectedness and extension of uniformly continuous functions*, preprint.
- [5] A. Berarducci, D. Dikranjan and J. Pelant, *Products of straight spaces*, preprint.

RECEIVED AUGUST 2005

ACCEPTED AUGUST 2006

KUSUO NISHIJIMA (lkusuo@ezweb.ne.jp)
Faculty of Education, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka, 422-8529 Japan.

KOHZO YAMADA (eckyama@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp)
Faculty of Education, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka, 422-8529 Japan.