

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Factors Affecting Pre-Hospital and In-Hospital Delays in Treatment of Ischemic Stroke; a Prospective Cohort Study

Neda Ghadimi¹, Nasrin Hanifi¹, Mohammadreza Dinmohammadi^{1*}

1. School of Nursing and Midwifery, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran.

Received: May 2021; Accepted: June 2021; Published online: 24 July 2021

Abstract: **Introduction:** The outcomes of acute ischemic stroke (AIS) are highly affected by time-to-treatment. The present study aimed to determine the factors affecting in-hospital and pre-hospital delays in treatment of AIS. **Methods:** This prospective study was carried out on 204 AIS patients referring to the stroke care unit in Zanjan (Iran) in 2019. The required data were collected by interviewing the patients and families and using patients' records and observations. **Results:** The maximum delay was related to onset-to-arrival time (288.19 ± 339.02 minutes). The logistic regression analysis indicated a statistically significant decline in the treatment delay via consultation after the initiation of symptoms ($p < 0.001$), transferring the patient through emergency medical service to the hospital ($p < 0.001$), and patients' perception regarding AIS symptoms ($P < 0.001$). **Conclusion:** It is essential to inform people regarding AIS symptoms and referring to AIS treatment units to reduce the treatment time.

Keywords: Ischemic stroke; Time-to-Treatment; Prospective studies; Iran

Cite this article as: Ghadimi N, Hanifi N, Dinmohammadi M. Factors Affecting Pre-Hospital and In-Hospital Delays in Treatment of Ischemic Stroke; a Prospective Cohort Study. Arch Acad Emerg Med. 2021; 9(1): e52. <https://doi.org/10.22037/aaem.v9i1.1267>.

1. Introduction

Stroke is one of the most prevalent neurological complications (1). Acute ischemic stroke (AIS) is a medical emergency that requires intensive treatment and care in the early hours, because its fast diagnosis and proper interventions can lead to favorable results. Furthermore, delayed treatment can lead to considerable complications, higher mortality, and enormous costs for the person, families, and the healthcare system (2).

The most effective approaches to treating AIS patients are recanalization and reestablishing blood flow to the brain tissues using invasive and non-invasive therapies (3). In these processes, the blocked vessels are reopened using recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rTPA) and mechanical devices (angioplasty) (4, 5). In 1996, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommended using rTPA in AIS patients within the first 3 h of symptoms onset (6). American Stroke Association Standard (2018) recommends brain imaging within less than 20 min, the interval of less than 60 min

between the hospital arrival, and thrombolytic therapy for over 50% of patients qualified for rTPA (7).

Research has shown that rTPA is avoided as it wastes the golden time of medication use due to the delayed arrival at the hospital (8). The time-to-treatment delay in AIS patients may be caused by different factors such as pre-hospital and intra-hospital reasons. Delays in recognizing and transfer of patients are among the pre-hospital causes of their mortality. Meanwhile, delays in neurologic visits, delays in decision-making regarding the treatment procedure, and delays in brain imaging are considered among the intra-hospital delay causes (9, 10). Treatment delay is a function of several factors, including the patient's delay after the onset of early symptoms and delay by treatment staff (11, 12). Lack of access to medical centers (13) and lack of proper management of AIS patients in the hospital are among the factors influencing the time-to-treatment delay (14, 15). In this respect, Code 724 has been effective in reducing the delay in treating AIS patients (16).

In Iran, the stroke code (Code 724) was announced by Iran's Ministry of Health to the medical universities in 2016 to treat stroke patients more effectively. Thus, in addition to implementing this plan, it is essential to review the status of pre-hospital and hospital delays in Stroke Care Units (SCU) in Iranian cities. In every community, it is essential to investigate

*Corresponding Author: Nasrin Hanifi; Zanjan Nursing and Midwifery School, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Shahrak-e Karmandan street, Zanjan, Iran. P.O. Box:45154-13191, Email: nasrinhanifi@zums.ac.ir, Tel: 02433148336, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4027-2399>.



the factors influencing pre-hospital and in-hospital delay, the quality of care delivered, and the individual factors affecting timely treatment. These influencing factors can vary from community to community. The present study aimed to determine the factors influencing in-hospital and pre-hospital delays in treatment of AIS.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and setting

This cross-sectional descriptive study was performed in the SCU of Vali-Asr Hospital, Zanjan (northwest of Iran), from July to the end of October 2019. AIS cases or their relatives were interviewed about potential causes of delay in initiation of thrombolytic therapy using a predesigned questionnaire (appendix 1). The Ethics Committee of Zanjan University of Medical Sciences approved this study under the Ethics Code IR.ZUMS.REC.1398.095. The researcher described the study's aims to the patients or their families, and written consent was obtained. The participants were assured about the confidentiality of all their information and the right to leave the study at any time.

2.2. Participants

The samples were collected using convenience sampling. Therefore, the study participants included patients referring to the SCU during the sampling interval, who met the inclusion criteria. The physician confirmed the diagnosis of AIS based on clinical signs and brain CT-scan results. Willingness to participate in the study was considered the inclusion criterion. Patients diagnosed with a hemorrhagic stroke or transient ischemic attack (based on CT-scan results) were excluded from the study.

2.3. Procedure

SCU of Vali-Asr Hospital in Zanjan, was established in 2016 and is known as the stroke referral center in Zanjan Province. In Iran, Code 724 refers to stroke patients whose stroke symptoms have initiated less than 4 hours and 30 minutes before. Based on this code, as soon as the patients call the Emergency Medical Services (EMS), they are asked about the Face-Arms-Speech-Time (FAST) symptoms. Then, after the ambulance is sent to the patient's bedside, the emergency technician examines the FAST symptoms, and need to SCU is reported followed by confirmation. Patients from the neighboring provinces are immediately transferred from all medical centers to the SCU in Zanjan. After transferring the patient to the hospital, a neurologist examines them at the triage unit and sends him/her to the brain computed tomography (CT) scan if the diagnosis of a stroke is made based on the scan, the rTPA medication is administered there.

2.4. Data gathering

The variables in this study included demographic characteristics, factors affecting the time of treatment initiation in both in-hospital and pre-hospital phases, and stroke risk factors (hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking, and diabetes). A questionnaire was used to collect the data and identify the information on demographic features and factors affecting time-to-treatment and the average time between onset of symptoms to treatment (7, 11, 17-20). The questionnaire included three parts. Questions about the demographic features of the patients were included in the first part. The second part contained questions regarding the causes of pre-hospital delays. The third part included questions about the reasons for in-hospital delay (Appendix 1). These data were approved by the treating physician. To assess AIS severity, we considered the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) (21). This scale includes 11 items, for which a score of 0 denotes the individual's normal performance in the studied field, and a score of 4 represents maximum impairment in that field. The maximum and minimum scores on this scale are 42 and 0, respectively. In this regard, the score 0 denotes lack of stroke symptoms, 1 to 4 is mild stroke, 5-15 is moderate stroke, 16-20 is moderate to severe stroke, and 21-42 denotes severe stroke.

The content validity of the questionnaire was evaluated. The designed questionnaire was offered to 10 experts to make the essential modifications and alterations they believed to be necessary. Its reliability was assessed using inter-rater reliability. Two researchers completed the questionnaire for the same 10 patients, simultaneously. Then, Cohen's kappa coefficient was assessed between the data of the researcher-completed questionnaires, and the evaluators' reliability was confirmed by achieving $K = 0.973$. The reliability and validity of the NIHSS tool had been confirmed by Kasner et al. (21).

The data were collected through observation and interviews with patients and their families, if necessary. The patients referring to the SCU were chosen based on the inclusion criteria. The researcher completed the questionnaire after treatment and relative stabilization of the patient with the assistance of the patient or his/her caregivers. In this study, to reduce recall bias regarding the timing of the events by the patients and their families, and recording the times and factors influencing pre-hospital delays as accurately as possible we highlighted the critical times like news time, Azan time, and events of the day when asking about the events.

2.5. Data analysis

According to a pilot study on 20 AIS patients, we considered a sample size of 181, an effect size of 0.05, a sampling error of 20 min, and a confidence level of 95%. In this study, 204 patients with AIS referring to the SCU were assessed.



Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V.16 software. The data were distributed based on the normalized central limit theorem. Data were gathered through interviews and observations. For detecting the predictors of delay to treatment, a logistic regression model was performed using the Forward-LR method. To determine the factors affecting time-to-treatment, variables including age (age less than 60 years and age over 60 years), gender, previous history of stroke, calling EMS, consultation after the onset of symptoms, and patient's perception of early symptoms were entered to the model as independent variables. In contrast, delay in treatment was used as the dependent variable. In this study, the significance level was considered less than 0.05. There was no missing data in the present study, because the researchers collected data through interviews, observations, and patient records.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics of participants

This study was conducted on 230 patients with stroke referring to the SCU from early July to late October 2019. The data of 16 patients with transient ischemic attack and 10 patients with hemorrhagic stroke were excluded from the study. Ultimately, the data of 204 patients with acute ischemic stroke who had referred to the SCU were assessed. The treating physician diagnosed the ischemic stroke in these patients. In total, 204 patients were included in this study, 55.9% of which were male, 19.6% had a high school diploma, and 72.5% were illiterate. The participants' mean age was 68.99 ± 13.91 (28 - 98) years. Fifty percent of the patients lived in Zanjan. According to patients' statements, 87.7% had at least one risk factor. Hypertension (59.3%) was the most prevalent risk factor for AIS, and ischemic heart disease was in the second rank (30.4%). Moreover, about 77.9% of the patients were at home when the symptoms had initiated. The severity of the stroke was moderate in 52% of the patients. In this study, 140 (68.6%) patients were referred to the SCU with code 724. They arrived at the hospital within less than 4 hours (h) and 30 minutes (min) after the onset of symptoms. Moreover, rTPA was provided for 129 (63.2%) patients, but it was not used for 75 (36.8%) patients.

3.2. Analysis of delay to treatment

The reason for not receiving rTPA in 64 (31.4%) patients was that more than 4 hours and 30 minutes had passed from the symptoms' onset to referral to SCU. Table 1 shows the frequency of potential prehospital causes of delay in treatment of AIS cases. 70.6% of the patients considered their prime symptoms to be symptoms of other diseases and did not believe they had a stroke. Furthermore, 17.2% had no consultation with anyone after the onset of the symptoms and took no

action. After the onset of symptoms, about 47.5% of the patients referred to medical centers rather than SCU. It is noteworthy that they mostly (30.4%) referred to these centers because of availability or proximity. 46.1% of them referred to SCU using personal vehicles. A neurologist performed the first visit for more than half of the patients (62.7%). The mean onset-to-arrival time and the mean onset-to-treatment time were 288.19 ± 339.02 minutes and 314.13 ± 341.04 minutes, respectively.

Table 2 shows the time interval between onset of symptoms and treatment based on pre-hospital and in-hospital factors. Among the pre-hospital delay factors, the delay in deciding to contact the emergency service or making the effort to refer to medical centers (204.74 ± 321.38 minutes) was longer compared to the time of patient transfer to the hospital (83.52 ± 72.38 minutes).

In identifying the predictors of delay in treatment, among the predictor variables included in the model, calling EMS, patient's perception of early symptoms, and consultation after the onset of symptoms could effectively predict this delay. The odds of decreasing the delay in treatment for transportation by EMS, patient's perception of early symptoms, and consultation after the onset of symptoms were 0.12 (95%CI: 0.033-.435), 7.46 (95%CI: 2.04-27.3), and 0.008 (95%CI: 0.001-0.05), respectively (table 3).

4. Discussion

Our results indicated that pre-hospital delay was longer compared to the hospital delay. The delays in making the effort to refer to the medical center or the decision to call the emergency service were longer compared to the time of patient transfer to the hospital. In a study in Hamadan (Iran), Ghiasian et al. reported that the time interval between symptom onset to arrival at the hospital was 282 min, while it was 192 min in the study of Griesser et al (11, 22). This result is consistent with the findings of our study. Nevertheless, in the study of Ayromlou et al. in Tabriz (Iran), this time was 916 min, which is not in line with our results (13). In the mentioned study, which was conducted in the metropolitan area of Tabriz, the delay in patients' arrival could be caused by traffic problems in this city. In the smaller towns around the provinces equipped with SCUs, accurate diagnosis of the stroke, the existence of neurologists, and administering thrombolytic medication can dramatically decrease the onset-to-treatment time.

Koksal et al., Ruiz et al., Faiz et al., Sobral et al., Springer et al., and Haiqiang et al. showed that access to transfer with EMS shortens the delay in hospital arrival (12, 17, 19, 23-25). In our study, also, less delay was experienced by the patients referring via EMS. Consistent with our study, the findings of studies conducted in America, Asia, and Europe indicated



Table 1: The frequency of potential pre-hospital causes of delay in initiation of treatment for patients with acute ischemic stroke

Variables	Number (%)
Variables	
Patient's perception of early symptoms	
Neurologic	60 (29.4)
Non-neurologic	144 (70.6)
Consultation after the onset of symptoms	
Spouse	49 (24.0)
Children	94 (46.0)
Colleague	4 (2.0)
Relatives	18 (8.8)
Nurse	4 (2)
Not consulting anyone	35 (17.2)
Center visited after symptom onset	
SCU in Zanjan	107 (52.5)
Other medical centers	55 (27.0)
Clinic	28 (13.6)
Private office	13 (6.4)
Private hospital	1 (0.5)
Reasons for not referring to SCU	
Proximity or availability of another center	62 (30.4)
Not being aware of stroke center at SCU	8 (3.9)
Not considering the disease seriously by the patient	27 (13.2)
Referred to hospital by	
Personal vehicle	94 (46.1)
Emergency medical Services (EMS)	64 (31.4)
Ambulance from other medical centers	44 (21.5)
Stroke inside the hospital	1 (0.5)
Air emergency	1 (0.5)
The first visitor of the patient	
General Practitioner	2 (1.0)
Resident of Neurology	128 (62.7)
Emergency medicine specialist	68 (33.3)
Neurologist	5 (2.5)
Non-neurology Resident	1 (0.5)

that absence of awareness of stroke symptoms, patients' beliefs and misconceptions about the prime symptoms, and failure to consult an individual after the onset of the symptoms resulted in longer delays in hospital arrival and time-to-treatment for stroke patients (11, 12, 17, 19, 22-27). The results indicate that consulting with others after initiation of the symptoms may help prevent a delay in cases the symptoms of the patients are not well-recognized or taken seriously.

The results of our investigation on factors causing hospital delay in AIS patients revealed that there were no delay for AIS patients receiving Code 724. In this study, the time interval between hospital arrival to rTPA implementation (25.18 ± 17.01 min) and between hospital arrival to brain CT scan (10.60 ± 6.79 min) was much shorter compared to the time proposed by the American Stroke Association guidelines (7). In the study by Dhaliwal et al. in the US, the mean initial CT

Table 2: The time interval between onset of symptoms and treatment based on pre-hospital and in-hospital factors

Variables	Mean ± SD
Pre-hospital time intervals (minutes)	
Onset –to- decision time	204.74 ± 321.4
The transfer time	83.52 ± 72.4
Onset –to- arrival time	288.19 ± 339
In-hospital time intervals (minutes)	
Door –to –examination time for with Code 724	3.07 ± 2.5
Door –to –examination time for without Code 724	15.08 ± 8.5
Door –to –SCU entry time for with Code 724	17.99 ± 13.1
Door –to –SCU entry time for without Code 724	216.98 ± 173.5
Door –to –imaging time for with Code 724	10.6 ± 6.9
Door –to –treatment decision making for with Code 724	21.87 ± 13.9
Door –to –order time for with Code 724	23.08 ± 16.5
Door –to –needle time for with Code 724	25.01 ± 17
Door –to – treatment time for without Code 724	29.07 ± 33.8
Onset –to –treatment time in stroke patients	314.13 ± 341

SD: standard deviation; SCU: stroke care unit.

scan time was 13.66 min, the CT scan interpretation time was 25.20 min, and the time between the arrival of the patients and rTPA injection was 51.27 min (15). Hasankhani et al. in Tabriz (Iran) found that the mean time between hospital arrival and rTPA injection is 69 min (14). In the study of Mowla et al. in New York, the maximum imaging delay was longer than 25 min (28). According to the findings obtained in Iran and other countries, the time interval between hospital arrival and treatment in patients with Code 724 is much longer compared to our results. This indicates that the management of the stroke code team in Zanjan city have been able to significantly shorten time-to-treatment.

5. Limitations

The low accuracy of recalling the times, particularly in elderly patients, was among the limitations of this study. The researchers tried to record the times and factors influencing pre-hospital delays as accurately as possible by highlighting the critical times like news time, Azan time, and events of the day. Considering the geographical and cultural position of Zanjan, the present results cannot be generalized to other communities.

6. Conclusion

In the present study, a longer pre-hospital delay was found compared to hospital delay in stroke events. Among the pre-hospital delay factors, the delay in visiting a medical center or



Table 3: Independent predictors of delay in treatment of acute ischemic stroke cases

Variables	Logistic regression analysis					
	B	S.E	Wald	df	P	EXP(B)
Consultation after the onset of symptoms	4.536	917	24.468	1	0.001	0.008
Transportation by EMS	2.369	0.646	13.433	1	0.001	0.12
Patient's perception of early symptoms	-1.565	0.536	8.532	1	0.003	7.46
Constant	-2.796	0.627	19.886	1	0.001	8.92

B=Beta, S.E= Standard Error, df=degrees of freedom, EXP (B)= Expected Beta; *P-value< 0.05.

deciding to call the EMS was longer than the time of patient transfer to the hospital. In other words, a more significant portion of the delays in the pre-hospital phase is caused by the delay in patients' decision to refer to the hospital. It appears that giving information to at-risk people, particularly those over 60 years, about the stroke risk factors, the importance of rapidly initiating treatment to enhance the disease outcomes, and the early stroke symptoms will help patients comprehend their symptoms properly. Hence, they will be transferred to the hospital faster by calling the emergency system.

7. Declarations

7.1. Acknowledgments

This study is based on a research project with the code A-11-148-19. Here, the researchers thank the research participants for their cooperation and Zanjan University of Medical Sciences Vice-Chancellor for financial support.

7.2. Funding and Support

This article results from a Master of Nursing thesis funded by the research department of Zanjan University of Medical Sciences.

7.3. Author contribution

NH designed the study, carried out statistical analyses of the data, was involved in interpreting the data, and wrote the manuscript. NG, who also collected the data, was involved in the interpretation of the data. MR D was involved in the interpretation of the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

7.4. Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

7.5. Pre print this article

Part of this article on the site Research Square is online as pre print.

Available in: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-135115/v1> DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-135115/v1

References

- Ropper AH. Adams and Victor's principles of neurology: McGraw-Hill Medical Pub. Division New York; 2005.
- Bernaitis N, Anoopkumar-Dukie S, Bills S, Crilly J. Evaluation of adult stroke presentations at an Emergency Department in Queensland Australia. *International emergency nursing*. 2019;44:25-9.
- Kuhrij LS, Marang-van de Mheen PJ, van den Berg-Vos RM, de Leeuw F-E, Nederkoorn PJ. Determinants of extended door-to-needle time in acute ischemic stroke and its influence on in-hospital mortality: results of a nationwide Dutch clinical audit. *BMC neurology*. 2019;19(1):1-8.
- Cohen JE, Itshayek E, Moskovici S, Gomori JM, Fraifeld S, Eichel R, et al. State-of-the-art reperfusion strategies for acute ischemic stroke. *Journal of clinical neuroscience*. 2011;18(3):319-23.
- Scherf S, Limburg M, Wimmers R, Middelkoop I, Lingsma H. Increase in national intravenous thrombolysis rates for ischaemic stroke between 2005 and 2012: is bigger better? *Bmc Neurology*. 2016;16(1):1-6.
- Bradley WG. *Neurology in clinical practice: principles of diagnosis and management*: Taylor & Francis; 2004.
- Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, Adeoye OM, Bambakidis NC, Becker K, et al. 2018 guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2018;49(3):e46-e99.
- Ebinger M, Kunz A, Wendt M, Rozanski M, Winter B, Waldschmidt C, et al. Effects of golden hour thrombolysis: a Prehospital Acute Neurological Treatment and Optimization of Medical Care in Stroke (PHANTOM-S) substudy. *JAMA neurology*. 2015;72(1):25-30.
- Evenson KR, Foraker R, Morris DL, Rosamond WD. A comprehensive review of prehospital and in-hospital delay times in acute stroke care. *International Journal of Stroke*. 2009;4(3):187-99.
- Metts EL, Bailey AM, Weant KA, Justice SB. Identification of rate-limiting steps in the provision of thrombolytics for acute ischemic stroke. *Journal of pharmacy practice*. 2017;30(6):606-11.



11. Ghiasian M, Mazaheri Sh, F HR. Factors Delaying Hospital Arrival After Acute Stroke Onset. *Avicenna J Clin Med*. 2017;23(4):293-9.
12. Koksai EK, Gazioglu S, Boz C, Can G, Alioglu Z. Factors associated with early hospital arrival in acute ischemic stroke patients. *Neurological Sciences*. 2014;35(10):1567-72.
13. Ayromlou H, Soleimanpour H, Farhoudi M, Taheraghdam A, Hokmabadi ES, Ghafouri RR, et al. Eligibility assessment for intravenous thrombolytic therapy in acute ischemic stroke patients; evaluating barriers for implementation. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*. 2014;16(5):e11284.
14. Hassankhani H, Soheili A, Vahdati SS, Mozaffari FA, Fraser JE, Gilani N. Treatment Delays for Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke in an Iranian Emergency Department: A Retrospective Chart Review. *Annals of emergency medicine*. 2019;73(2):118-29.
15. Dhaliwal J, Ferrigno B, Abiola O, Moskalik A, Sposito J, Wolansky LJ, et al. Hospital-based intervention to reduce tPA administration time. *Interdisciplinary Neurosurgery*. 2019;15:15-8.
16. Hsiao C-L, Su Y-C, Yang F-Y, Liu C-Y, Chiang H-L, Chen G-C, et al. Impact of code stroke on thrombolytic therapy in patients with acute ischemic stroke at a secondary referral hospital in Taiwan. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*. 2018;81(11):942-8.
17. Jin H, Zhu S, Wei JW, Wang J, Liu M, Wu Y, et al. Factors associated with prehospital delays in the presentation of acute stroke in urban China. *Stroke*. 2012;43(2):362-70.
18. Boehme AK, Esenwa C, Elkind MS. Stroke risk factors, genetics, and prevention. *Circulation research*. 2017;120(3):472-95.
19. Faiz KW, Sundseth A, Thommessen B, Rønning OM. Prehospital delay in acute stroke and TIA. *Emerg Med J*. 2013;30(8):669-74.
20. Al Khathaami AM, Mohammad YO, Alibrahim FS, Jradi HA. Factors associated with late arrival of acute stroke patients to emergency department in Saudi Arabia. *SAGE open medicine*. 2018;6:205.
21. Kasner SE, Chalela JA, Luciano JM, Cucchiara BL, Raps EC, McGarvey ML, et al. Reliability and validity of estimating the NIH stroke scale score from medical records. *Stroke*. 1999;30(8):1534-7.
22. Griesser A, Wagner G, Njamnshi A, Temperli P, Niquille M. Factors influencing emergency delays in acute stroke management. *Swiss medical weekly*. 2009;139(2728).
23. Ruiz RG, Fernández JS, Ruiz RMG, Bermejo MR, Arias ÁA, del Sázc Saucedo P, et al. Response to symptoms and prehospital delay in stroke patients. Is it time to reconsider stroke awareness campaigns? *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2018;27(3):625-32.
24. Springer MV, Labovitz DL. The Effect of Being Found with Stroke Symptoms on Predictors of Hospital Arrival. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2018;27(5):1363-7.
25. Sobral S, Taveira I, Seixas R, Vicente AC, Duarte J, Goes AT, et al. Late Hospital Arrival for Thrombolysis after Stroke in Southern Portugal: Who Is at Risk? *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*. 2019;28(4):900-5.
26. Bahnasy WS, Ragab OAA, Elhassanien ME. Stroke onset to needle delay: Where these golden hours are lost? An Egyptian center experience. *eNeurologicalSci*. 2019;14:68-71.
27. Sharma M, Helzner E, Sinert R, Levine SR, Brandler ES. Patient characteristics affecting stroke identification by emergency medical service providers in Brooklyn, New York. *Internal and emergency medicine*. 2016;11(2):229-36.
28. Mowla A, Doyle J, Lail NS, Rajabzadeh-Oghaz H, Deline C, Shirani P, et al. Delays in door-to-needle time for acute ischemic stroke in the emergency department: a comprehensive stroke center experience. *Journal of the neurological sciences*. 2017;376:102-5.



Contact Number...	SITS ID...	Name and Surname...	File No...
1. Gender: male <input type="checkbox"/> female <input type="checkbox"/>		2. Age ...	
3. Place of Residence: City... Village ...			
4. Occupation: Worker <input type="checkbox"/> Employee <input type="checkbox"/> Housewife <input type="checkbox"/> Retired <input type="checkbox"/> Free <input type="checkbox"/>			
5. Monthly Income: Less than \$ 100 <input type="checkbox"/> \$ 100 to \$ 200 <input type="checkbox"/> More than 100 \$ <input type="checkbox"/>			
6. Marital Status: Single <input type="checkbox"/> Married <input type="checkbox"/> Divorced <input type="checkbox"/>			
7. Who Do You Live With: Alone <input type="checkbox"/> Wife <input type="checkbox"/> Children <input type="checkbox"/> Family <input type="checkbox"/>			
8. Degree: Uneducated <input type="checkbox"/> Some education <input type="checkbox"/> Diploma <input type="checkbox"/> Academic <input type="checkbox"/>			
9. Do you have supplementary insurance? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
10. Type of insurance: Social Security <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Services <input type="checkbox"/> Armed Forces <input type="checkbox"/>			
11. Risk Factors:			
A history of TIA <input type="checkbox"/>		Atrial fibrillation <input type="checkbox"/>	Hypertension <input type="checkbox"/>
A history of Stroke in the last 3 months <input type="checkbox"/>		A history of MI <input type="checkbox"/>	Diabetes <input type="checkbox"/>
A history of Stroke before the last 3 months <input type="checkbox"/>		CHF <input type="checkbox"/>	Hyperlipidemia <input type="checkbox"/>
A history of Abortion (in recent months) <input type="checkbox"/>		IHD <input type="checkbox"/>	Smoking <input type="checkbox"/>
A history of Pregnant (in recent months) <input type="checkbox"/>		Valvular Heart Disease <input type="checkbox"/>	Drug Use <input type="checkbox"/>
A history of using OCP <input type="checkbox"/>		Liver Disease <input type="checkbox"/>	Smoking <input type="checkbox"/>
		Kidney Disease <input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal before a Stroke <input type="checkbox"/>
12. Do you have a previous medical history? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Name it..... No <input type="checkbox"/>			
13. Have you ever had a history of hospitalization due to neurological diseases? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Number of hospitalizations..... No <input type="checkbox"/>			
14. Which of the following was present in your early symptoms?			
Imbalance <input type="checkbox"/>	Hemipares <input type="checkbox"/>	Headache <input type="checkbox"/>	
Diplopia <input type="checkbox"/>	Paresthesia <input type="checkbox"/>	Consciousness Disorder <input type="checkbox"/>	
Falling <input type="checkbox"/>	Hemiplegia <input type="checkbox"/>	Vertigo <input type="checkbox"/>	
Dysarthria <input type="checkbox"/>	Aphasia <input type="checkbox"/>	Visual Disorder <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Dysphagia <input type="checkbox"/>	Facial Paralysis <input type="checkbox"/>	
15. Which of the following was your impression of the initial symptoms?			
Neurological disease <input type="checkbox"/>		Cold sickness <input type="checkbox"/>	Ocular Disease <input type="checkbox"/> Psycho Disorder <input type="checkbox"/>
Hypertension <input type="checkbox"/>		Hypotension <input type="checkbox"/>	Hyperglycemia <input type="checkbox"/> hypoglycemia <input type="checkbox"/> Weakness <input type="checkbox"/>
Other <input type="checkbox"/> Name it			
16. Did you have any symptoms of a stroke right after waking up? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
17. History of taking anticoagulants?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
18. History of taking Anti-platelet?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
19. History of taking Antihypertensive?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
20. History of taking Anti-diabetes?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
22. History of taking Statins?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
23. Have medications been taken regularly?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
24. rTPA injection history?		Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Appendix 1: TIA: transient ischemic attack; OCP: oral contraceptive; MI: myocardial infarction; CHF: Chronic Heart Failure; IHD: Ischemic Heart Disease.



1. Where were you when the symptoms started?
2. When did your early symptoms begin?
3. Did you take medication at the beginning of the symptoms? What medicine? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Name it... No <input type="checkbox"/>
4. Who did you consult first after the onset of symptoms?
5. When did you decide to call EMS or go to the hospital?
6. Before going to the SCU, which of the following centers did you go? Private offices <input type="checkbox"/> clinics <input type="checkbox"/> Private hospitals <input type="checkbox"/> Other medical centers <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/>
7. What was your reason for visiting medical centers (Private offices, clinics, private hospitals, other medical centers)? The proximity or availability of that center <input type="checkbox"/> The low cost of treatment at that center <input type="checkbox"/> Not awareness of stroke center at SCU <input type="checkbox"/> Not considering the disease seriously by the patient <input type="checkbox"/>
8. How did you get to SCU? By personal vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> By Emergency Services (EMS) <input type="checkbox"/> Air Emergency <input type="checkbox"/> Referral by ambulance from other medical centers <input type="checkbox"/> Stroke inside the hospital <input type="checkbox"/>
9. Did you call EMS before going to the hospital? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
10. If you called EMS, what time did they arrive?
11. If you called EMS, what time did they get to the hospital?
12. Have you been sent to SCU from other hospitals? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> From which center (Time of departure from the primary center...) (time of arrival at SCU...)
13. Has the patient's referral from the primary center to SCU been coordinated? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
14. What were your reasons for coming to the hospital? My symptoms were getting worse by the minute <input type="checkbox"/> I came to the hospital on the advice of doctors <input type="checkbox"/> Based on my background and information <input type="checkbox"/> I guessed it was a stroke <input type="checkbox"/> I came to the hospital with an EMS recommendation <input type="checkbox"/> I came to the hospital on the advice of others <input type="checkbox"/>
15. What were your initial symptoms when you first arrived at the hospital? It was reduced <input type="checkbox"/> it was relieved <input type="checkbox"/> it was intensified <input type="checkbox"/> it was not changed <input type="checkbox"/>

Appendix 2: EMS: Emergency Medical Services; SCU: Stroke Care Unit.



Questions about potential in-hospital causes of delay in treatment of acute ischemic stroke

1. When was the patient triaged by a nurse?
2. What time has the patient entered the emergency room?
3. Who made the first visit? Intern <input type="checkbox"/> General Practitioner <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Neurologist Resident <input type="checkbox"/> Neurologist Resident <input type="checkbox"/> Specialist <input type="checkbox"/> Type of Specialty
4. What time did the first visit take place?
5. Primary blood pressure..... Primary BS.... Primary NIHSS.... Primary PT/PTT/INR..... Was there a previous disability caused by Stroke? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
6. What was the time of the first brain imaging?
7. How long did the brain imaging take?
8. When was the definitive diagnosis made?
9. What time did the patient be transferred to the SCU?
10. CT scan findings: normal <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of current stroke <input type="checkbox"/> Stroke more than 1.3 vascular <input type="checkbox"/> old infract <input type="checkbox"/> McA Dense sing <input type="checkbox"/> ICH <input type="checkbox"/>
11.Type of stroke: Ischemic <input type="checkbox"/> TIA <input type="checkbox"/> ICH <input type="checkbox"/> SAH <input type="checkbox"/> CVT <input type="checkbox"/>
12.TPA injection prescription time:
13.Time of TPA injection by a nurse:
14. How was the TPA prepared? It was available in the ward <input type="checkbox"/> It was provided from other wards <input type="checkbox"/> It was provided by the patient's companion <input type="checkbox"/>
15. In which unit the TPA injection was performed? CT unit <input type="checkbox"/> emergency room <input type="checkbox"/> SCU <input type="checkbox"/>
16.The reason for not injecting intravenous TPA:
17.NIHSS rate 2 hours after TPA injection:
18.NIHSS rate 24 hours after TPA injection:
19.NIHSS Rate at the time of discharge:
20. Date of discharge....
21. If Death: Cause of death..... Date of death.....

Appendix 3: BS: blood sugar; NIHSS: NIH stroke scale; PT: prothrombin time; PTT: partial thromboplastin time; INR: international normalized ratio; SCU: Stroke Care Unit; CT: computed tomography; ICH: intracranial hemorrhage; TIA: transient ischemic attack; SAH: Subarachnoid hemorrhage; CVT: Cerebral venous thrombosis; TPA: tissue plasminogen activator.

