



Based on Hierarchy Analysis Model of the New Rural Cooperative System Development Related Research

Hua Wang^a, Xuhui Yin^{*b}

^a College of Humanities and Social Development, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China

^b School of Marxism, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China

31415234882@qq.com

The new rural cooperative system development has become a focus in the study of today's problem, in this paper, by applying the analytic hierarchy process (ahp) model for the new rural cooperative system development problem to do the research, the choice of the weight of each indicator gives numerical, after that will develop the new rural cooperative system model is applied in the 14 parts of China on the issue of development of the new rural cooperative system. Finally, it is concluded that the ranking of various areas, including Changsha somewhere in various indicators ranked first, prove that the new type of rural cooperative system of the region is good and consistent with the actual development, illustrates the rationality and validity of the model.

1. Introduction

Cooperative has already become a trend in current social development, as a kind of market economy system, it drives rural economy to steady move forward, due to the aspect emergency in China is later than foreign countries, it has many imbalanced phenomenon, and so research on rural cooperatives has important significances in current new rural development (He and Lu, 2009).

Xue Ping in comparison of domestic and foreign rural cooperatives theories development, found Chinese rural cooperatives correlation theories shortcomings by comparing each country legislation and academic aspects, and through discussion on comparison of China and foreign countries, she put forward suggestions to perfect China's rural cooperatives countermeasures, verified feasibility and effectiveness of developing new pattern rural cooperatives (Zhang *et al.*, 2013).

Just on the basis of above researches, the paper carries on further analysis and researches on new pattern rural cooperatives development problems, makes quantization on them by applying questionnaire survey, analytic hierarchy process and other methods, the result is reasonable and effective (Huang and Yang, 2011).

2. Indicators selection

After entering into 21st century, China joined into WTO organization, its economy gradually fused into world economy entity, China's agricultural products and others due to family decentralized operation, it caused low competitiveness, low productivity as well as other drawbacks increasing, in the background of one place market economy gradually fusing into rural small-peasant economy, peasants started to establish various of producers' cooperatives (Chen and Wu, 2009), from which it mainly includes: economic complex, professional association, professional cooperatives, community cooperatives and so on, then, with economic development (Zheng, 2015), China established new pattern rural cooperatives, but from which some aspects were urgently to be improved, main aspects were as following (Zhang *et al.*, 2015):

- (1) Government roles in new rural cooperatives development were relative fuzzy;
- (2) New rural cooperatives continuously development weakness;
- (3) New rural cooperatives lacked of normalized internal running mechanism;
- (4) New rural cooperatives professional extent was not good enough;

- (5) New rural cooperatives scales were small, proportions were little;
 (6) New rural cooperatives cover area was small, cooperation extent was single

To solve above problems, the paper studies system development problems of them, selects correlation indicators from "New rural cooperatives" and other articles, analyzes obtained data by questionnaire survey, mathematical statistics and other methods (Chen, 2013), finally it gets each indicator table as following Table 1:

Table 1: New rural cooperatives system development problem system table

| First grade indicator | Second grade indicator | Third grade indicator |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| U1 Insurance system | T1 System requirements | T11 City one location scale |
| | | T12 Techniques |
| | | T13 Constitutional order |
| | | T14 Product price changes |
| | | T15 Factor price changes |
| | T2 New rural social insurance system | T16 Marketing channel |
| | | T21 Peasants aged relief law |
| | | T22 Aged and disabled social insurance law |
| | | T23 Work injury insurance law |
| | | T24 Sickness insurance law |
| T3 System conditions | T31 Interaction between supply and demand | |
| | T32 System innovation | |
| | T33 Balance between supply and demand | |
| U2 Legal safeguard | T4 System supplies | T41 Constitutional order |
| | | T42 Anticipated system cost |
| | T5 System accumulation | T43 System designing cost |
| | | T51 Upper level decision net profit |
| | | T52 Current system arrangement |
| | T6 Cooperative medical care system | T53 Knowledge accumulation |
| | | T61 Financial aid |
| T7 Vulnerable groups security system | T62 Social relief and aid | |
| | T63 Typical system fostering | |
| | T71 Legal aid system | |
| | | T72 Minimum subsistence guarantee system |
| | | T73 Execution of rescuing measures |

3. Model establishments

AHP features are layering complicated problems, making clear about primary and secondary, possessing stronger logicity and hierarchical structure, the algorithm mainly is calculating indicators' weights. It is applicable to comprehensive assessment system, is a powerful mathematical method that converts problems into quantitative research. Nowadays analytic hierarchy process has already widely used in each field to solve practical problems (Cai and Cao, 2015). New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem involves multiple reference indicators; the decision problem is suitable to analytic hierarchy process. Analytic hierarchy process respectively reflect each factor interactive relationship both in horizontal and vertical directions, due to decision-maker weights on different factors are not certainly the same, so it establishes hierarchical structure model to compare mutual importance, therefore it needs to construct judgment comparison matrix.

In formula, b_{ij} the two compared importance uses quantized value to express, it uses 1-9 number to describe, and number representative meaning is as following Table 2 show:

Table 2: 1-9 scale meaning

| Scale | Meaning |
|-------------|---|
| 1 | Indicates two factors have equal importance by comparing |
| 3 | Indicates the former is slightly more important than the later by comparing two factors |
| 5 | Indicates the former is more important than the later by comparing two factors |
| 7 | Indicates the former is relatively more important than the later by comparing two factors |
| 9 | Indicates the former is extremely more important than the later by comparing two factors |
| Even number | Represents importance is between two odd numbers |
| Reciprocal | Represents factors positive and negative comparison order |

3.1 Weight vector and maximum features calculation

According to first grade indicators judgment matrix vectors, carry on normalization processing with them, solve the sum by line and then make normalization, it can get weight vectors. According to feature values and feature vectors relationships, it can solve feature values.

3.2 Consistency test

To matrix $u=(b_{ij})_{n \times n}$, if matrix element meets $b_{ij}b_{jk}=b_{ik}$, then matrix is consistent matrix. Among them, $b_{ij}>0$, $b_{ij=1}/b_{ji}$. In order to use it to calculate factor weight, it requires that matrix inconsistency only under acceptable conditions. When problems are relative complicated, we cannot take all factors into account, which causes paired comparison construct judgment matrix instant, judgment matrix cannot arrive at ideal state consistency. Judgment matrix consistency indicator CI , and judgment matrix consistency ratio CR , its computational method is as following formula show: $CI=(\lambda_{max}-n)/(n-1)$

Among them, n represent order number of judgment matrix that is also the number of compared factors:

$$CR = \frac{CI}{RI}$$

Among them, RI represents Random Consistency Index value.

When $CR \geq 0.1$, it is thought that judgment matrix occurs inconsistency that needs to make adjustment on judgment matrix again. When $CR < 0.1$, judgment matrix inconsistency is within acceptable range.

Next step is doing combination consistency testing. Assume that in one layer, m pieces of factors weight calculation result is α_m , corresponding consistency indicator value respectively is CI_m , combination consistency test consistency ratio is:

$$CR = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j CI_j}{\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j RI_j}$$

By calculating, combination consistency ratio calculated value is: $CR < 0.1$

So hierarchical total arrangement's consistency testing meets consistency requirement.

3.3 Weight calculation arrangement

If in one layer, m pieces of factors weight calculation result is α_m , corresponding consistency indicator value respectively is CI_m , in next layer n pieces of factors to A layer calculation weight is β_{nm} , then in T layer factors total arrangement weight is:

$$w_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \beta_{ij}$$

By above formula calculating, it gets each indicator weight in total target.

3.4 Model application

By using yaah0.53 software, the paper scores new pattern rural cooperatives system development problem involved each indicator, firstly it needs to define judgment matrix, calculate new pattern rural cooperatives system development problems evaluation, specific process is as following Table 3-13 shows:

Table 3: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem second grade judgment matrix and weights

| A | U1 | U5 | w_i |
|----|----|-----|--------|
| U1 | 1 | 1/5 | 0.5556 |
| U5 | 5 | 1 | 0.6666 |

Table 4: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem U1 fourth grade judgment matrix and weights

| U1 | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | w_i |
|----|-----|-----|-----|----|--------|
| T1 | 1 | 1/5 | 5 | 5 | 0.5511 |
| T2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0.3900 |
| T3 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1 | 5 | 0.1654 |
| T4 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1 | 0.1143 |

Note: Weight on total target: 0.5555; Judgment matrix consistency proportion: 0.0343;

Table 5: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem U2 third grade judgment matrix and weights

| U2 | T5 | T6 | T7 | w_i |
|----|----|----|----|--------|
| T5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5555 |
| T6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5555 |
| T7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5555 |

Table 6: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem T1 sixth grade judgment matrix and weights

| T1 | T11 | T15 | T15 | T13 | T14 | T16 | w_i |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| T11 | 1 | 4 | 1/5 | 1 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 0.1142 |
| T15 | 1/4 | 1 | 1/8 | 1 | 1/6 | 1/4 | 0.0308 |
| T15 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0.5199 |
| T13 | 1 | 1 | 1/3 | 1 | 1/3 | 1/5 | 0.0736 |
| T14 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0.5459 |
| T16 | 5 | 4 | 1/5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0.1945 |

Table 7: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem T2 fourth grade judgment matrix and weights

| T2 | T21 | T22 | T23 | T24 | w_i |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| T21 | 1 | 1/4 | 1 | 1/5 | 0.1055 |
| T22 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0.5974 |
| T23 | 1 | 1/5 | 1 | 1/4 | 0.1057 |
| T24 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0.5972 |

Table 8: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem T3 fourth grade judgment matrix and weights

| T3 | T31 | T32 | T33 | w_i |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| T31 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0.4579 |
| T32 | 1/5 | 1 | 1/5 | 0.1595 |
| T33 | 1/5 | 5 | 1 | 0.5554 |

Table 9: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem T4 third grade judgment matrix and weights

| T4 | T41 | T42 | T43 | w_i |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| T41 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0.4955 |
| T42 | 1/5 | 1 | 5 | 0.5396 |
| T43 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1 | 0.1471 |

Table 10: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem T4 third grade judgment matrix and weights

| T5 | T51 | T52 | T53 | w_i |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| T51 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0.410 |
| T52 | 1/5 | 1 | 1 | 0.5300 |
| T53 | 1/5 | 1 | 1 | 0.5400 |

Table 11: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem T6 third grade judgment matrix and weights

| T6 | T61 | T62 | T63 | w_i |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| T61 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0.3952 |
| T62 | 1/5 | 1 | 1/5 | 0.1949 |
| T63 | 1/5 | 5 | 1 | 0.5108 |

Table 12: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem T7 third grade judgment matrix and weights

| | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| T7 | T71 | T72 | T73 | w_i |
| T71 | 1 | 5 | 1/5 | 0.5971 |
| T72 | 1/5 | 1 | 1/5 | 0.1652 |
| T73 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0.4596 |

Table 13: New pattern rural cooperatives system development problem final weights

| Alternative offer | Weight | Alternative offer | Weight | Alternative offer | Weight |
|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| T11 | 0.0088 | T53 | 0.0639 | T45 | 0.0445 |
| T15 | 0.0051 | T51 | 0.0586 | T61 | 0.1096 |
| T15 | 0.0535 | T55 | 0.0076 | T65 | 0.0352 |
| T13 | 0.0048 | T55 | 0.0182 | T65 | 0.0694 |
| T14 | 0.0198 | T31 | 0.0559 | T71 | 0.0660 |
| T16 | 0.0141 | T35 | 0.0097 | T75 | 0.0561 |
| T51 | 0.0168 | T35 | 0.0065 | T75 | 0.1190 |
| T55 | 0.0638 | T41 | 0.1112 | | |
| T55 | 0.0167 | T45 | 0.0447 | | |

4. Application examples

In order to clearly present the model effectiveness, the paper researches on China's 14 regions new pattern rural cooperatives system development problems, and applies above process into the examples, gets each indicator second grade scores, arranges them, as following Table 14:

Table 14: Fourteen regions new pattern rural cooperatives development scores and ranking

| | C1 | | C2 | | C3 | | C4 | | C5 | | C6 | | C7 | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Score | Ranking |
| Changsha city | 7.72 | 1 | 16.34 | 1 | 5.48 | 1 | 3.85 | 1 | 22.22 | 1 | 22.24 | 1 | 22.22 | 2 |
| Hengyang city | 6.01 | 3 | 12.05 | 5 | 5.38 | 3 | 2.91 | 3 | 9.51 | 12 | 18.54 | 8 | 14.15 | 9 |
| Xiangtan city | 6.46 | 2 | 12.87 | 3 | 4.36 | 6 | 2.94 | 2 | 16.71 | 2 | 22.24 | 1 | 22.75 | 1 |
| Zhuzhou city | 5.29 | 6 | 10.42 | 9 | 3.67 | 12 | 2.73 | 4 | 14.48 | 3 | 16.67 | 13 | 16.94 | 6 |
| Changde city | 4.60 | 13 | 9.01 | 13 | 4.01 | 8 | 2.05 | 10 | 11.35 | 9 | 17.35 | 11 | 15.54 | 7 |
| Yueyang city | 5.69 | 5 | 11.18 | 7 | 5.44 | 2 | 2.53 | 5 | 13.64 | 4 | 19.77 | 5 | 18.05 | 3 |
| Shaoyang city | 5.77 | 4 | 10.04 | 10 | 4.36 | 6 | 2.44 | 7 | 11.52 | 8 | 18.54 | 8 | 17.98 | 4 |
| Zhangjiajie | 5.12 | 10 | 11.87 | 6 | 3.13 | 14 | 1.88 | 12 | 8.92 | 13 | 21.13 | 3 | 15.45 | 8 |
| Yongzhou city | 5.20 | 8 | 13.13 | 2 | 4.89 | 4 | 2.47 | 6 | 11.58 | 7 | 19.21 | 6 | 13.72 | 11 |
| Chenzhou city | 5.27 | 7 | 9.08 | 12 | 4.77 | 5 | 2.35 | 8 | 9.23 | 11 | 14.89 | 14 | 13.88 | 10 |
| Yiyang city | 5.12 | 9 | 9.86 | 11 | 4.06 | 9 | 2.28 | 9 | 11.79 | 6 | 18.19 | 10 | 17.26 | 5 |
| Huaihua city | 4.65 | 12 | 10.55 | 8 | 3.99 | 10 | 1.87 | 13 | 8.80 | 14 | 19.06 | 7 | 12.24 | 12 |
| Xiangxi Autonomous Prefecture | 5.07 | 11 | 12.72 | 4 | 3.16 | 13 | 1.93 | 11 | 12.08 | 5 | 21.35 | 4 | 11.12 | 13 |
| Loudi city | 4.29 | 14 | 8.80 | 14 | 3.83 | 11 | 11.71 | 14 | 10.59 | 10 | 17.23 | 12 | 9.08 | 14 |
| Average value | 5.45 | / | 11.27 | / | 4.33 | / | 2.42 | / | 12.30 | / | 19.03 | / | 15.75 | / |
| Standard deviation | 0.87 | / | 2.07 | / | 0.78 | / | 0.57 | / | 3.62 | / | 2.16 | / | 3.85 | / |

Apply Excel software to process with above calculation result, and apply formula $ISA = \sum W_i S_i$ (from which S represents each factor standard value, W represents corresponding weight) therefore we can get each indicator total scores, and arrange them that result is as following Table 15 shows:

Table 15: Each region comprehensive ranking

| | U1 | | U2 | | U3 | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Score | Ranking | Score | Ranking | Score | Ranking |
| Changsha city | 33.3 | 1 | 66.6 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 |
| Hengyang city | 26.36 | 3 | 42. | 11 | 68.7 | 7 |
| Xiangtan city | 26.5 | 2 | 61.7 | 2 | 88.3 | 2 |
| Zhuzhou city | 21.8 | 9 | 48. | 4 | 69.9 | 6 |
| Changde city | 19.7 | 13 | 44. | 10 | 62. | 11 |
| Yueyang city | 24.8 | 5 | 51.0 | 3 | 75.9 | 3 |
| Shaoyang city | 22.6 | 7 | 48.0 | 5 | 70.6 | 4 |
| Zhangjiajie | 22.0 | 8 | 45.5 | 7 | 67.5 | 9 |
| Yongzhou city | 25.70 | 4 | 44.5 | 9 | 70.2 | 5 |
| Chenzhou city | 21.4 | 10 | 38.0 | 13 | 59.4 | 13 |
| Yiyang city | 21.3 | 11 | 47.2 | 6 | 68.5 | 8 |
| Huaihua city | 21.0 | 12 | 40.1 | 12 | 61.1 | 12 |
| Xiangxi Autonomous Prefecture | 22.8 | 6 | 44.5 | 8 | 67.42 | 10 |
| Loudi city | 18.6 | 14 | 36.9 | 14 | 55.5 | 14 |
| Average value | 23.4 | / | 47.0 | / | 70.4 | / |
| Standard deviation | 3.7 | / | 8.31 | / | 11.5 | / |

5. Conclusion

The paper researches new pattern rural cooperatives system development problem model by applying analytic hierarchy process method, and assigns values on selected each indicator weight, after that applies new pattern rural cooperatives system development problem model into practical problem, makes statistics of China's 14 regions' new pattern rural cooperatives system development problem's second grade indicators, first grade indicators scores and final scores, gets each region ranking, from which Changsha city one place respectively ranks first in each indicator, it proves the region new pattern rural cooperatives system development is good, it is just consistent to practices.

Acknowledgements

Northwest agriculture and Forestry University of science and technology, humanities and social science project: the rural basic education resource allocation fairness problem research (item number 2015 rwyb15).

Reference

- Cai D.H., Cao X.X., 2015, Peasants' information demand status and countermeasure research take Hainan province rural information demand status survey as an example. *Journal of agricultural library information*, (7): 97-100.
- Chen X.W., 2013, Coordinate development between urban and rural need to be driven by informatization. *Journal of Chinese information industry*, 3(55): 8-11.
- Chen Y., Wu Y., 2009, Hunan province rural cultural information demand survey report. *Journal of the library*, 5009 (5): 48-66.
- He D.H., Lu Y.B., 2009, The empirical study on the rural residents to accept mobile information services. *China's rural economy*, (1): 70-71.
- Huang T.Y., Yang Y., 2011, Nearly 30 years of China's rural information needs and services research review. *Journal of library theory and practice*, (9): 55-58.
- Zhang B., Cao X.F., Jiang W.Q., Liu L., Li F., 2015, Grey clustering analysis-based tennis racket of nanometer materials kinematic mechanics applied research. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, 12(10): 3218-3222. DOI: 10.1166/jctn.2015.4104.
- Zhang B., Gao S., Zhang J.J., Zeng Q.H., Li M., 2013, The competitiveness evaluation and empirical research of sports tourism industry for China's city. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 51(21): 293-300.
- Zheng G.H., 2015, The Role of Endurance Contests in the Construction of Authority and Social Order in Rural China: Cases in the Qing Dynasty and the Republic of China. *The International Journal of the History of Sport*, Vol. 32(8): 1057-1070. DOI: 10.1080/09523367.2015.1022719.