

Three dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying certain curvature conditions

VENKATESHA AND DIVYASHREE G.

*Department of Mathematics,
Kuvempu University,
Shankaraghatta - 577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, INDIA.
vensmath@gmail.com, gdivyashree9@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present paper is to study pseudosymmetry conditions on f-Kenmotsu manifolds.

RESUMEN

El propósito del presente artículo es estudiar condiciones de pseudosimetría en variedades f-Kenmotsu.

Keywords and Phrases: f-Kenmotsu manifold, cyclic parallel Ricci tensor, almost pseudo Ricci symmetry, pseudosymmetry, Ricci pseudosymmetry, Ricci generalized pseudosymmetry.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A63; 47A99.

1 Introduction

Let M^n be an almost contact manifold with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) [1]. We denote by Φ , the fundamental 2-form of M^n i.e., $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ for any vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M^n)$, where $\chi(M^n)$ being the Lie algebra of differentiable vector fields on M^n . Furthermore, we recollect the following definitions [1, 3, 8].

The manifold M^n and its structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be:

- i) normal if the almost complex structure defined on the product manifold $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is integrable (equivalently, $[\phi, \phi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$),
- ii) almost cosymplectic if $d\eta = 0$ and $d\Phi = 0$,
- iii) cosymplectic if it is normal and almost cosymplectic (equivalently, $\nabla\phi = 0$, where ∇ is covariant differentiation with respect to the Levi-Civita connection).

The manifold M^n is called locally conformal almost cosymplectic (respectively, locally conformal cosymplectic) if M^n has an open covering $\{U_t\}$ endowed with differentiable functions $\sigma_t : U_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that over each U_t the almost contact metric structure $(\phi_t, \xi_t, \eta_t, g_t)$ defined by

$$\phi_t = \phi, \quad \xi_t = e^{\sigma_t} \xi, \quad \eta_t = e^{-\sigma_t} \eta, \quad g_t = e^{-2\sigma_t} g$$

is almost cosymplectic (respectively, locally conformal cosymplectic).

Normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold were studied by Olszak and Rosca [7]. An almost contact metric manifold is said to be f -Kenmotsu if it is normal and locally conformal almost cosymplectic. The same type of manifold was also studied by Yildiz et al. [9] using the projective curvature tensor. Olszak and Rosca [7] also gave a geometric interpretation of f -Kenmotsu manifolds and studied some curvature restrictions. Among others, they proved that a Ricci symmetric f -Kenmotsu manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Our work is structured in the following way: After introduction, we have given some basic equations of f -Kenmotsu manifold in section 2. Section 3 deals with the study of 3-dimensional f -Kenmotsu manifold with cyclic parallel Ricci tensor. And we study almost pseudo Ricci symmetric, pseudosymmetric, Ricci pseudosymmetric and Ricci generalized pseudosymmetric 3-dimensional f -Kenmotsu manifolds in sections 4, 5, 6 and 7, respectively.

2 f -Kenmotsu manifolds

Let M^n be a smooth $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold endowed with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) which satisfy

$$\phi^2 = -id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta \cdot \phi = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.2)$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M^n)$ where id is the identity of the tangent bundle TM^n , ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, ξ is a vector field, η is a 1-form and g is a Riemannian metric.

We say that $(M^n, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an f-Kenmotsu manifold if the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of ϕ satisfies the condition [6]

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = f[g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X], \quad (2.3)$$

where $f \in C^\infty(M^n)$ is strictly positive and $df \wedge \eta = 0$. If $f = 0$, then the manifold is cosymplectic [5]. An f-Kenmotsu manifold is called regular if $f^2 + f' \neq 0$ where $f' = \xi f$.

In an f-Kenmotsu manifold, from (2.3) we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = f[X - \eta(X)\xi]. \quad (2.4)$$

The condition $df \wedge \eta = 0$ holds if $\dim M^n \geq 5$ but it does not hold if $\dim M^n = 3$ [7].

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = f[g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)]. \quad (2.5)$$

In a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY + S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In a 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold, we see that [7]

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \left(\frac{r}{2} + 2f^2 + 2f'\right)(X \wedge Y)Z - \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3f^2 + 3f'\right)\{\eta(X)(\xi \wedge Y)Z \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)(X \wedge \xi)Z\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$S(X, Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} + f^2 + f'\right)g(X, Y) - \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3f^2 + 3f'\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.8)$$

where R, S, Q and r are the Riemannian curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, the Ricci operator and the scalar curvature, respectively.

Now from (2.7), we have the following:

$$R(X, Y)\xi = -(f^2 + f')[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \quad (2.9)$$

$$R(\xi, Y)Z = -(f^2 + f')[g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y], \quad (2.10)$$

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = -(f^2 + f')[g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)]. \quad (2.11)$$

And from (2.8), we get

$$S(X, \xi) = -2(f^2 + f')\eta(X), \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$Q\xi = -2(f^2 + f')\xi. \quad (2.13)$$

3 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold with cyclic parallel Ricci tensor

Suppose the manifold M^n under consideration satisfies the cyclic parallel Ricci tensor condition [4]. Then we have

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M^n)$.

From the above equation, it is seen that r is constant. And we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) &= -\left(\frac{r}{2} + 3f^2 + 3f'\right)[(\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \eta)(Z) + (\nabla_Y \eta)(Z)\eta(X) \\ &\quad + \eta(Z)(\nabla_Y \eta)(X) + (\nabla_Z \eta)(X)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad + \eta(X)(\nabla_Z \eta)(Y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3f^2 + 3f'\right)[(\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\eta(Z) + \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \eta)(Z) + (\nabla_Y \eta)(Z)\eta(X) \\ + \eta(Z)(\nabla_Y \eta)(X) + (\nabla_Z \eta)(X)\eta(Y) + \eta(X)(\nabla_Z \eta)(Y)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Using (2.5) in (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{r}{2} + 3f^2 + 3f'\right)[g(X, Y)\eta(Z) + g(X, Z)\eta(Y) + g(Y, Z)\eta(X) + g(Y, X)\eta(Z) \\ + g(Z, X)\eta(Y) + g(Z, Y)\eta(X) - 6\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)] = 0, \quad \text{since } f \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

On substituting $X = Y = e_i$ in (3.4), where e_i is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking summation over i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, which gives

$$4\left[\frac{r}{2} + 3f^2 + 3f'\right]\eta(Z) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, we get $\eta(Z) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, from (3.5) we have

$$r = -6(f^2 + f'). \quad (3.6)$$

Conversely, if $r = -6(f^2 + f')$ then from (3.2), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

From the above discussions we have the following:

Theorem 3.1. *A 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold satisfies cyclic parallel Ricci tensor if and only if the scalar curvature $r = -6(f^2 + f')$, provided $f \neq 0$.*

4 Almost pseudo Ricci symmetric 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying cyclic Ricci tensor

Chaki and Kawaguchi [2] introduced the concept of almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds as an extended class of pseudo symmetric manifolds. A Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called an almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold $(APRS)_n$, if its Ricci tensor S of type $(0, 2)$ is not identically zero and satisfies the following condition

$$(\nabla_U S)(V, W) = [A(U) + B(U)]S(V, W) + A(V)S(U, W) + A(W)S(U, V), \quad (4.1)$$

where A and B are two non-zero 1-forms defined by

$$A(U) = g(U, P_1), B(U) = g(U, P_2). \quad (4.2)$$

By taking the cyclic sum of (4.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_U S)(V, W) + (\nabla_V S)(W, U) + (\nabla_W S)(U, V) &= [3A(U) + B(U)]S(V, W) \\ &+ [3A(V) + B(V)]S(U, W) + [3A(W) + B(W)]S(U, V). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Let M^n admit a cyclic Ricci tensor, then (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [3A(U) + B(U)]S(V, W) + [3A(V) + B(V)]S(U, W) + \\ [3A(W) + B(W)]S(U, V) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Replacing W by ξ in the above equation and using (2.12) and (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\{2(f^2 + f')\}[3A(U) + B(U)]\eta(V) - \{2(f^2 + f')\}[3A(V) + B(V)]\eta(U) \\ + [3\eta(P_1) + \eta(P_2)]S(U, V) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

In (4.5), substituting $V = \xi$ and using (2.12) and (4.2), we have

$$-\{2(f^2 + f')\}[3A(U) + B(U)] - 4\{2(f^2 + f')\}[3\eta(P_1) + \eta(P_2)]\eta(U) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Again treating U by ξ and using (4.2) in (4.6), we obtain

$$\{f^2 + f'\}[3\eta(P_1) + \eta(P_2)] = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

which implies

$$[3\eta(P_1) + \eta(P_2)] = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

since $\{f^2 + f'\} \neq 0$.

From (4.8) and (4.6), it follows that

$$3A(U) + B(U) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Thus, we can state:

Theorem 4.1. *There is no almost pseudo Ricci symmetric 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold admitting cyclic Ricci tensor, unless $3A + B$ vanishes everywhere.*

5 Pseudosymmetric 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold

Let M^n be an pseudosymmetric 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold. Then we have,

$$(\mathbf{R}(X, Y) \cdot \mathbf{R})(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} = f_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{Q}(g, \mathbf{R})(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}; X, Y), \quad (5.1)$$

for all $X, Y, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W} \in \chi(M^n)$.

From the above relation it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{R}(X, Y)\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}(X, Y)\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{R}(X, Y)\mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} \\ & - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{R}(X, Y)\mathbf{W} = f_{\mathbf{R}}[(X \wedge_g Y)\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{R}((X \wedge_g Y)\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} \\ & - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, (X \wedge_g Y)\mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})(X \wedge_g Y)\mathbf{W}], \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$(X \wedge_g Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y. \quad (5.3)$$

Substituting X by ξ and using (2.10) and (5.3), (5.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & [(f^2 + f') + f_{\mathbf{R}}]\{g(Y, \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W})\xi - \eta(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W})Y - g(Y, \mathbf{U})\mathbf{R}(\xi, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} \\ & + \eta(\mathbf{U})\mathbf{R}(Y, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W} - g(Y, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \xi)\mathbf{W} + \eta(\mathbf{V})\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, Y)\mathbf{W} - g(Y, \mathbf{W})\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\xi \\ & + \eta(\mathbf{W})\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})Y\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Taking inner product of (5.4) with ξ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [(f^2 + f') + f_{\mathbf{R}}]\{\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W}) - g(Y, \mathbf{U})\eta(\mathbf{R}(\xi, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W}) \\ & + \eta(\mathbf{U})\eta(\mathbf{R}(Y, \mathbf{V})\mathbf{W}) - g(Y, \mathbf{V})\eta(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \xi)\mathbf{W}) + \eta(\mathbf{V})\eta(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, Y)\mathbf{W}) \\ & - g(Y, \mathbf{W})\eta(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})\xi) + \eta(\mathbf{W})\eta(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})Y)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

By using (2.11), (5.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & [(f^2 + f') + f_{\mathbf{R}}]\{\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}, Y) - (f^2 + f')[-g(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})\eta(Y)\eta(\mathbf{U}) \\ & + g(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})\eta(Y)\eta(\mathbf{V}) - g(Y, \mathbf{U})g(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) + g(Y, \mathbf{U})\eta(\mathbf{V})\eta(\mathbf{W}) + g(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})\eta(\mathbf{U})\eta(Y) \\ & - g(Y, \mathbf{W})\eta(\mathbf{U})\eta(\mathbf{V}) - g(Y, \mathbf{V})\eta(\mathbf{W})\eta(\mathbf{U}) + g(Y, \mathbf{V})g(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W}) + g(Y, \mathbf{W})\eta(\mathbf{V})\eta(\mathbf{U}) \\ & - g(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W})\eta(Y)\eta(\mathbf{V}) + g(\mathbf{V}, Y)\eta(\mathbf{W})\eta(\mathbf{U}) - g(\mathbf{U}, Y)\eta(\mathbf{V})\eta(\mathbf{W})]\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Contracting the above equation, we obtain

$$[(f^2 + f') + f_{\mathbf{R}}]\{S(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) + 2(f^2 + f')g(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})\} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

The above equation can hold only if either

- (i) $(f^2 + f') = -f_{\mathbf{R}}$, or

(ii) $S(V, W) = \alpha g(V, W)$, where $\alpha = -2(f^2 + f')$.

This leads to the following:

Theorem 5.1. *A 3-dimensional pseudosymmetric f-Kenmotsu manifold with never vanishing function $\{(f^2 + f') = -f_R\}$ is an Einstein manifold.*

6 Ricci pseudosymmetric 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold

Suppose (M^n, g) be a 3-dimensional Ricci pseudosymmetric f-Kenmotsu manifold. Then we have,

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot S)(U, V) = f_S Q(g, S)(U, V; X, Y), \tag{6.1}$$

for all $X, Y, U, V, W \in \chi(M^n)$. From the above relation it follows that

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot S)(U, V) = f_S ((X \wedge_g Y) \cdot S)(U, V),$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} -S(R(X, Y)U, V) - S(U, R(X, Y)V) &= f[-g(Y, U)S(X, V) + g(X, U)S(Y, V) \\ -g(Y, V)S(U, X) + g(X, V)S(U, Y)]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Replacing X and U by ξ and using (2.1), (2.10) and (2.12) in the above equation, we get

$$[(f^2 + f') + f_S]\{S(Y, V) + 2(f^2 + f')g(Y, V)\} = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

which follows that either $[(f^2 + f') + f_S] = 0$ or

$$S(Y, V) = \alpha g(Y, V), \tag{6.4}$$

where $\alpha = -2(f^2 + f')$.

Thus we can state:

Theorem 6.1. *If a 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold M^n is Ricci pseudosymmetric with restrictions $X = U = \xi$, then either $[(f^2 + f') + f_S] = 0$ or the manifold is an Einstein manifold.*

7 Ricci generalized pseudosymmetric 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold

Consider a Ricci generalized pseudosymmetric 3-dimensional f-Kenmotsu manifold. Then we have

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot R)(U, V)W = f((X \wedge_S Y) \cdot R)(U, V)W, \tag{7.1}$$

for all $X, Y, U, V, W \in \chi(M^n)$.

We can write the above form as

$$\begin{aligned} & R(X, Y)R(U, V)W - R(R(X, Y)U, V)W - R(U, R(X, Y)V)W \\ & - R(U, V)R(X, Y)W = f[S(Y, R(U, V)W)X - S(X, R(U, V)W)Y \\ & - S(Y, U)R(X, V)W + S(X, U)R(Y, V)W - S(Y, V)R(U, X)W \\ & + S(X, V)R(U, Y)W - S(Y, W)R(U, V)X + S(X, W)R(U, V)Y]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

On substituting $X = U = \xi$, and using (2.10) and (2.12), (7.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & -(f^2 + f')[(f^2 + f')g(V, W)Y + R(Y, V)W - (f^2 + f')g(Y, W)V] \\ & = f[(f^2 + f')S(Y, V)\eta(W)\xi - 2(f^2 + f')^2g(V, W)Y - 2(f^2 + f')R(Y, V)W \\ & + 2(f^2 + f')^2g(Y, W)\eta(V)\xi + (f^2 + f')S(Y, W)\eta(V)\xi - (f^2 + f')S(Y, W)V \\ & + 2(f^2 + f')^2g(V, Y)\eta(W)\xi]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Taking inner product of the above equation with Z , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -(f^2 + f')[(f^2 + f')g(V, W)g(Y, Z) + g(R(Y, V)W, Z) - (f^2 + f')g(Y, W)g(V, Z)] \\ & = f[(f^2 + f')S(Y, V)\eta(W)\eta(Z) - 2(f^2 + f')^2g(V, W)g(Y, Z) \\ & - 2(f^2 + f')g(R(Y, V)W, Z) + 2(f^2 + f')^2g(Y, W)\eta(V)\eta(Z) \\ & + (f^2 + f')S(Y, W)\eta(V)\eta(Z) - (f^2 + f')S(Y, W)g(V, Z) \\ & + 2(f^2 + f')^2g(V, Y)\eta(W)\eta(Z)]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Contracting (7.4) and simplifying gives

$$(f^2 + f')(3f - 1)[S(Y, Z) + 2(f^2 + f')g(Y, Z)] = 0, \quad (7.5)$$

which means that either $(f^2 + f')(3f - 1) = 0$ or $S(Y, Z) = \alpha g(Y, Z)$, where $\alpha = -2(f^2 + f')$.

Hence we can state the following:

Theorem 7.1. *If a 3-dimensional f -Kenmotsu manifold is Ricci generalized pseudosymmetric then either*

- (i) $(f^2 + f')(3f - 1) = 0$, or
- (ii) *it is an Einstein manifold.*

Acknowledgement: The second author is thankful to UGC for financial support in the form of Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship (F1-17.1/2015-16/RGNF-2015-17-SC-KAR-26367).

References

- [1] D.E Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

-
- [2] M.C. Chaki and T. Kawaguchi, *On almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Tensor N. S. 68, 10-14, 2007.
- [3] Goldberg S. I. and Yano K, *Integrability of almost cosymplectic structures*, Pacific J. Math., 31, 373-382, 1969.
- [4] A. Gray, *Two classes of Riemannian manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata. 7, 259-280, 1978.
- [5] Janssens D and Vanhecke L, *Almost contact structures and curvature tensors*, Kodai Math. J. 4(1), 1-27, 1981.
- [6] Olszak Z, *Locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds*, Colloq. math. 57, 73-87, 1989.
- [7] Olszak Z and Rosca R, *Normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 39, 315-323, 1991.
- [8] Sasaki S. and Hatakeyama Y, *On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structures II*, Tohoku Math. J., 13, 281-294, 1961.
- [9] Yildiz A, De U.C. and Turan M, *On 3-dimensional f- Kenmotsu manifolds and Ricci solitons*, Ukrainian Math. J., 65(5), 684-693, 2013.