

Applications and Lipschitz results of Approximation by Smooth Picard and Gauss-Weierstrass Type Singular Integrals

RAZVAN A. MEZEI

The University of Memphis,

Department of Mathematical Sciences,

Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.

email: rmezei@memphis.edu

ABSTRACT

We continue our studies in higher order uniform convergence with rates and in L_p convergence with rates. Namely, in this article we establish some Lipschitz type results for the smooth Picard type singular integral operators and for the smooth Gauss-Weierstrass type singular integral operators.

RESUMEN

Continuamos nuestros estudios sobre convergencia uniforme de orden superior con radios y sobre convergencia L_p con radios. Concretamente, en este artículo establecemos algunos resultados de tipo Lipschitz para operadores integrales suaves del tipo Picard singulares y para operadores integrales singulares de tipo Gauss-Weierstrass.

Keywords: Smooth Picard Type singular integral, Smooth Gauss-Weierstrass Type singular integral, modulus of smoothness, rate of convergence, L_p convergence, Higher Order Uniform Convergence with Rates, sharp inequality, Lipschitz functions.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A15, 26D15, 41A17, 41A35, 41A60, 41A80.

1. Introduction

We are motivated by [1], [2], [3] and [4].

We denote by L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, the classes of functions $f(x)$, integrable in $-\infty < x < \infty$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u)|^p du \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (1.1)$$

The *Picard singular integral* $P_\xi(f; x)$ corresponding to the function $f(x)$, is defined as follows

$$P_\xi(f; x) = \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) e^{-|y|/\xi} dy, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The *Gauss Weierstrass singular integral* $W_\xi(f; x)$ corresponding to the function $f(x)$, is defined as follows

$$W_\xi(f; x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) e^{-y^2/\xi} dy, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

2. Convergence with Rates of Smooth Picard Singular Integral Operators

In the next we deal with the following *smooth Picard singular integral operators* $P_{r,\xi}(f; x)$ defined as follows.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we set

$$\alpha_j = \begin{cases} (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} j^{-n}, & j = 1, \dots, r, \\ 1 - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} j^{-n}, & j = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

that is $\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j = 1$. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable, we define for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi > 0$ the Lebesgue integral

$$P_{r,\xi}(f; x) := \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j f(x+jt) \right) e^{-|t|/\xi} dt. \quad (2.2)$$

We assume that $P_{r,\xi}(f; x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We mention the useful here formula

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^k e^{-t/\xi} dt = \Gamma(k+1) \xi^{k+1}, \quad k > -1. \quad (2.3)$$

We need to introduce

$$\delta_k := \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j j^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

Denote by $[\cdot]$ the integral part.

We give a special related result.

Proposition 1. Let f be defined as above in this section. *It holds that*

$$|P_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^{|\xi t|} \omega_2(f', w) dw \right) e^{-t/\xi} dt. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [1] we use $n = 1, r = 2$. □

We also present the Lipschitz type result corresponding to the Theorem 1 of [1].

Theorem 2. Let f be defined as above in this section, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, \delta) \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0, 0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then it holds that*

$$\left| P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} f^{(2m)}(x) \delta_{2m} \xi^{2m} \right| \leq K\Gamma(\gamma + r) \xi^{n+r+\gamma-1}. \quad (2.6)$$

In L.H.S.(2.6) the sum collapses when $n = 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, of [1], we get again that

$$P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \delta_k \frac{1}{2\xi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty t^k e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \right) + \mathcal{R}_n^*, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_n^* := \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathcal{R}_n(0, t) e^{-|t|/\xi} dt, \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_n(0, t) := \int_0^t \frac{(t-w)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \tau(w) dw, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\tau(w) := \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j j^n f^{(n)}(x + jw) - \delta_n f^{(n)}(x).$$

Also we get

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(0, t)| \leq \int_0^{|\xi t|} \frac{(|t| - w)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, w) dw. \quad (2.10)$$

Using the Lipschitz type condition we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{R}_n(0, t)| &\leq \int_0^{|t|} \frac{(|t| - w)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} K w^{r-1+\gamma} dw \\
 &= \frac{K|t|^{n+r+\gamma-2}}{(n-1)!} \int_0^{|t|} \left(1 - \frac{w}{|t|}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{w}{|t|}\right)^{r-1+\gamma} dw \\
 &= \frac{K|t|^{n+r+\gamma-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-y)^{n-1} y^{r-1+\gamma} dy \\
 &= \frac{K|t|^{n+r+\gamma-1} \Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)}. \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{R}_n^*| &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K|t|^{n+r+\gamma-1} \Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \\
 &= \frac{K}{2\xi} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{n+r+\gamma-1} e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \\
 &= \frac{K}{\xi} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{n+r+\gamma-1} e^{-t/\xi} dt \\
 &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} K\Gamma(\gamma+r) \xi^{n+r+\gamma-1}. \tag{2.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

We also notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \delta_k \frac{1}{2\xi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \right) &= \\
 P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} f^{(2m)}(x) \delta_{2m} \xi^{2m} &= \mathcal{R}_n^*. \tag{2.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (2.12) and (2.13) we complete the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 3. Let f be defined as above in this section. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition $\omega_2(f', \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$|P_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K\Gamma(\gamma+2) \xi^{2+\gamma}. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. In Theorem 2 we use $n = 1$, $r = 2$. \square

For the case $n = 0$ we have

Theorem 4. Let f be defined as above in this section, with $n = 0$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f, \delta) \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. It holds that

$$|P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K\Gamma(r+\gamma) \xi^{r+\gamma-1}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 1, of [1], with $n = 0$, using the Lipschitz type condition, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\infty \omega_r(f, t) e^{-t/\xi} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\infty K t^{r-1+\gamma} e^{-t/\xi} dt \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} K \Gamma(r + \gamma) \xi^{r+\gamma-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4. \square

Corollary 5. Let f be defined as above in this section, with $n = 0$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$|P_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq K \Gamma(2 + \gamma) \xi^{\gamma+1}. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. In Theorem 4 we use $r = 2$. \square

In the next we consider $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 2$ even and the simple *smooth singular operator of symmetric convolution type*

$$P_\xi(f, x_0) := \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x_0 + y) e^{-|y|/\xi} dy, \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \tag{2.18}$$

That is

$$P_\xi(f; x_0) = \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_0^\infty (f(x_0 + y) + f(x_0 - y)) e^{-y/\xi} dy, \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \tag{2.19}$$

We assume that f is such that

$$P_\xi(f; x_0) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \xi > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2(f^{(n)}, h) < \infty, h > 0.$$

Note that $P_{1,\xi} = P_\xi$ and if $P_\xi(f; x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ then $P_{r,\xi}(f; x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 6. Assume $\omega_2(f, h) < \infty$, $h > 0$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{K \Gamma(2 + \gamma)}{2} \xi^{\gamma+1}. \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. Using Proposition 1 of [1] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |P_\xi(f; x_0) - f(x_0)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_0^\infty \omega_2(f, y) e^{-y/\xi} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_0^\infty K y^{1+\gamma} e^{-y/\xi} dy \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{K \Gamma(2 + \gamma)}{2} \xi^{\gamma+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

proving the claim of the proposition. □

Let

$$K_2(x_0) := P_\xi(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - \sum_{\rho=1}^{n/2} f^{(2\rho)}(x_0) \xi^{2\rho}. \quad (2.22)$$

We give

Theorem 7. *Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$, n even, $P_\xi(f)$ real valued. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(n)}, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$|K_2(x_0)| \leq \frac{K\Gamma(n + \gamma + 2)}{2n!} \xi^{n+\gamma+1}. \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. Using Theorem 6 of [1] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2(x_0)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi n!} \int_0^\infty \omega_2(f^{(n)}, y) y^n e^{-y/\xi} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi n!} \int_0^\infty K y^{1+\gamma} y^n e^{-y/\xi} dy \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{K\Gamma(n + \gamma + 2)}{2n!} \xi^{n+\gamma+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

proving the claim of the theorem. □

In particular we have

Corollary 8. *Let $f \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$ such that $P_\xi(f)$ is real valued. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(4)}, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$|K_2(x_0)| \leq \frac{K\Gamma(\gamma + 6)}{48} \xi^{\gamma+5}. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof. In Theorem 7 we use $n = 4$. □

We also give

Corollary 9. *Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, such that*

$$\omega_2(f'', |y|) \leq 2A|y|^\gamma, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 2, \quad A > 0.$$

Then for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$|P_\xi(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - f''(x_0)\xi^2| \leq \Gamma(\alpha + 1)A\xi^{\gamma+2}. \quad (2.26)$$

Inequality (2.16) is sharp, namely it is attained at $x_0 = 0$ by

$$f_*(y) = \frac{A|y|^{\gamma+2}}{(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)}.$$

Proof. In Theorem 7 of [1] we use $n = 2$. □

We also give

Corollary 10. Assume that $\omega_2(f, \xi) < \infty$ and $n = 0$. Then

$$\|P_{2,\xi}(f) - f\|_\infty \leq 5\omega_2(f, \xi), \tag{2.27}$$

and as $\xi \rightarrow 0$,

$$P_{2,\xi} \xrightarrow{u} I \text{ with rates.}$$

Proof. By formula (37) of [1] with $r = 2$. □

Next let

$$K_1 := \left\| P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [f^{(2m)}(x)\delta_{2m}\xi^{2m}] \right\|_{\infty, x}. \tag{2.28}$$

We present

Corollary 11. Assuming $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega_2(f'', \xi) < \infty$, $\xi > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \|P_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - f''(x)\delta_2\xi^2\|_{\infty, x} \\ &\leq \frac{21}{4}\xi^2\omega_2(f'', \xi). \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

That is as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $P_{2,\xi} \rightarrow I$, pointwise with rates, given that $\|f''\|_\infty < \infty$.

Proof. In Theorem 11 of [1] we use $r = n = 2$. □

We also present

Corollary 12. Assuming $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega_2(f'', \xi) < \infty$, $\xi > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_2(x)\|_{\infty, x} &= \|P_\xi(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - f''(x_0)\xi^2\|_{\infty, x} \\ &\leq \frac{21}{8}\xi^2\omega_2(f'', \xi). \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

That is as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $P_\xi \rightarrow I$, pointwise with rates, given that $\|f''\|_\infty < \infty$.

Proof. In Theorem 12 of [1] we use $n = 2$. □

3. L_p Convergence with Rates of Smooth Picard Singular Integral Operators

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we let α_j as in (2.1).

Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi > 0$ the Lebesgue integral $P_{r,\xi}(f;x)$ as in (2.2).

We need the r th L_p -modulus of smoothness

$$\omega_r(f^{(n)}, h)_p := \sup_{|t| \leq h} \|\Delta_t^r f^{(n)}(x)\|_{p,x}, \quad h > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\Delta_t^r f^{(n)}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} f^{(n)}(x + jt), \quad (3.2)$$

Here we have that $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, h)_p < \infty$, $h > 0$.

We need to introduce δ_k 's as in (2.4).

We define

$$\Delta(x) := P_{r,\xi}(f;x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} f^{(2m)}(x) \delta_{2m} \xi^{2m}. \quad (3.3)$$

We have the following results.

Corollary 13. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the rest as above in this section. Then*

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}\xi^n}{\sqrt{(2r+1)(4n-2)(n-1)!}} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, \xi)_2, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$0 < \tau := \left[\int_0^\infty (1+u)^{2r+1} u^{2n-1} e^{-u} du - (2n-1)! \right] < \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then $\|P_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [2], we place $p = q = 2$. □

Corollary 14. *Let f be as above in this section. In particular, for $n = 1$, we have*

$$\|P_{r,\xi}(f; \cdot) - f\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{\tau}\xi}{\sqrt{(2r+1)}} \omega_r(f', \xi)_2, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$0 < \tau := \left[\int_0^\infty (1+u)^{2r+1} u e^{-u} du - 1 \right] < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|P_{r,\xi}(f; \cdot) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [2], we place $p = q = 2, n = 1$. □

Corollary 15. Let f be as above in this section and $n = 2$. Then

$$\|P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - f''(x)\delta_2\xi^2\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}\xi^2}{\sqrt{6(2r+1)}} \omega_r(f'', \xi)_2, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$0 < \tau := \left[\int_0^\infty (1+u)^{2r+1} u^3 e^{-u} du - 6 \right] < \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f'' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|P_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [2], we place $p = q = n = 2$. □

Next we present the Lipschitz type result corresponding to Theorem 1 of [2].

Theorem 16. Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the rest as above in this section. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}, K > 0, 0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_p \leq \frac{(\Gamma(p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1))^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{(r+\gamma+n)} K}{\left[(n-1)! q^{\frac{1}{q}} p^{r-\frac{1}{q}+\gamma+n} (q(n-1)+1)^{\frac{1}{q}} (p(r-1+\gamma)+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]} \xi^{(r-1+\gamma+n)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R}), m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then $\|P_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, [2], we get again

$$\begin{aligned} I & : = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\Delta(x)|^p dx \\ & \leq c_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(\left(\int_0^{|t|} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, w)_p^p dw \right) |t|^{np-1} e^{-|pt|/2\xi} \right) dt \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$c_1 := \frac{2^{p-2}}{\xi q^{p-1} ((n-1)!)^p (q(n-1)+1)^{p/q}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Using the Lipschitz condition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\leq c_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{|t|} (Kw^{r-1+\gamma})^p dw \right) |t|^{np-1} e^{-p|t|/2\xi} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{c_1 K^p}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)} e^{-p|t|/2\xi} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{2c_1 K^p}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \left(\int_0^{\infty} t^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)} e^{-pt/2\xi} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{2c_1 K^p}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \left(\frac{2}{p} \right)^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1} \left(\int_0^{\infty} z^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)} e^{-z/\xi} dz \right) \\
 &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{2c_1 K^p \Gamma(p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1)}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \left(\frac{2}{p} \right)^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1} \xi^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}. \quad (3.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$I \leq \frac{\Gamma(p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1)}{q^{p-1}((n-1)!)^p(q(n-1)+1)^{p/q} p^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}} \frac{2^{p(r+\gamma+n)} K^p}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \xi^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)}. \quad (3.14)$$

That is finishing the proof of the theorem. \square

In particular we have

Corollary 17. *Let f such that the following Lipschitz condition holds: $\omega_7(f^{(4)}, \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{6+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$, and the rest as above in this section. Then*

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \leq \frac{K}{6} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2\gamma+21)}{7(2\gamma+13)}} \xi^{(\gamma+10)}. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2$, then $\|P_{7,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 16 we place $p = q = 2$, $n = 4$, and $r = 7$. \square

The counterpart of Theorem 16 follows, case of $p = 1$.

Theorem 18. *Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{(n-1)!(r+\gamma)} \Gamma(r+\gamma+n) \xi^{r+\gamma+n-1}. \quad (3.16)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then $\|P_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2 of [2] we get

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2\xi(n-1)!} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{|t|} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, w)_1 dw \right) |t|^{n-1} e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \right). \quad (3.17)$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(x)\|_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi(n-1)!} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{|t|} K w^{r-1+\gamma} dw \right) |t|^{n-1} e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{K}{2\xi(n-1)!} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|t|^{r+\gamma}}{r+\gamma} \right) |t|^{n-1} e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{K}{2\xi(n-1)! (r+\gamma)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{r+\gamma+n-1} e^{-|t|/\xi} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{K}{\xi(n-1)! (r+\gamma)} \left(\int_0^{\infty} t^{r+\gamma+n-1} e^{-t/\xi} dt \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{K}{(n-1)! (r+\gamma)} \Gamma(r+\gamma+n) \xi^{r+\gamma+n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

proving (3.16). □

Corollary 19. Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f'' \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f'', \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{(2+\gamma)} \Gamma(4+\gamma) \xi^{\gamma+3}. \quad (3.20)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f'' \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|P_{2,\xi}(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 18 we place $n = r = 2$. □

Next, when $n = 0$ we get

Proposition 20. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and the rest as above. Then

$$\|P_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \leq \theta^{1/2} \omega_r(f, \xi)_2, \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$0 < \theta := \int_0^{\infty} (1+x)^{2r} e^{-x} dx < \infty. \quad (3.22)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $P_{r,\xi} \rightarrow$ unit operator I in the L_2 norm.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 1 of [2] we use $p = q = 2$. □

We continue with

Proposition 21. Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and the rest as above. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|P_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{\Gamma(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \frac{K}{q^{1/q}} \frac{2^{(r+\gamma)} \xi^{(r+\gamma-1)}}{p^{(r-1+\gamma+\frac{1}{p})}}. \quad (3.23)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $P_{r,\xi} \rightarrow$ unit operator I in the L_p norm, $p > 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 1 of [2] we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)|^p dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-1} \xi^p} \left(\frac{4\xi}{q}\right)^{p/q} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \omega_r(f, t)_p^p e^{-pt/(2\xi)} dt \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-1} \xi^p} \left(\frac{4\xi}{q}\right)^{p/q} \left(\int_0^{\infty} (Kt^{r-1+\gamma})^p e^{-pt/(2\xi)} dt \right) \\ & \stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{K^p}{q^{p-1}} \frac{\Gamma(p(r-1+\gamma)+1) 2^{p(r+\gamma)} \xi^{(r-1+\gamma)p}}{p^{(p(r+\gamma-1)+1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

We have established the claim of the proposition. □

Corollary 22. Let f such that the following Lipschitz condition holds: $\omega_4(f, \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{3+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$, and the rest as above in this section. Then

$$\|P_{4,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\Gamma(2\gamma+7)} K \xi^{(3+\gamma)}. \quad (3.25)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $P_{4,\xi} \rightarrow$ unit operator I in the L_2 norm.

Proof. In Proposition 21 we place $p = q = 2$ and $r = 4$. □

In general, for the L_1 case, $n = 0$ we have

Proposition 23. It holds

$$\|P_{2,\xi}f - f\|_1 \leq 5\omega_2(f, \xi)_1. \quad (3.26)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $P_{2,\xi} \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 2 of [2] we use $r = 2$. □

Proposition 24. We assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|P_{r,\xi}f - f\|_1 \leq K\Gamma(r+\gamma) \xi^{r-1+\gamma}. \quad (3.27)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $P_{r,\xi} \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2 of [2] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P_{r,\xi}(f;x) - f(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^{\infty} \omega_r(f,t)_1 e^{-t/\xi} dt \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\xi} \int_0^{\infty} t^{r-1+\gamma} e^{-t/\xi} dt \\ &= K\Gamma(r+\gamma) \xi^{r-1+\gamma}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

proving the claim. □

Corollary 25. Assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f,\delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|P_{2,\xi}f - f\|_1 \leq K\Gamma(2+\gamma) \xi^{1+\gamma}. \tag{3.29}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $P_{2,\xi} \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. In Proposition 24 we place $r = 2$. □

In the next we consider $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $n = 0$ or $n \geq 2$ even, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and the similar smooth singular operator of symmetric convolution type

$$P_\xi(f;x) = \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) e^{-|y|/\xi} dy, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \tag{3.30}$$

Denote

$$K(x) := P_\xi(f;x) - f(x) - \sum_{\rho=1}^{n/2} f^{(2\rho)}(x) \xi^{2\rho}. \tag{3.31}$$

We give

Theorem 26. Let $n \geq 2$ even and the rest as above. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_2 \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{20(2n-1)}} \right) \frac{\xi^n}{(n-1)!} \omega_2(f^{(n)}, \xi)_2, \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$0 < \tilde{\tau} = \left(\int_0^{\infty} (1+x)^5 x^{2n-1} e^{-x} dx - (2n-1)! \right) < \infty. \tag{3.33}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $\|K(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ then $\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3 of [2] we use $p = q = 2$. □

It follows a Lipschitz type approximation result.

Theorem 27. Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $n \geq 2$ even and the rest as above. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(n)}, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_p \leq \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{(\gamma+n+1)} \frac{K[\Gamma(p(\gamma+n+1)+1)]^{1/p}}{(n-1)!q^{1/q}p^{1/p}(q(n-1)+1)^{1/q}[p(\gamma+1)+1]^{1/p}} \xi^{\gamma+n+1}. \quad (3.34)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $\|K(x)\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ then $\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3, of [2] we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(x)|^p dx &\leq c_2 \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^y \omega_2(f^{(n)}, t)_p^p dt \right) y^{pn-1} e^{-py/(2\xi)} dy \right) \\ &\leq K^p c_2 \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{y^{p(\gamma+1)+1}}{p(\gamma+1)+1} \right) y^{pn-1} e^{-py/(2\xi)} dy \right) \\ &= \frac{K^p c_2}{p(\gamma+1)+1} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{p(\gamma+n+1)+1} \left(\int_0^{\infty} z^{p(\gamma+n+1)} e^{-z/\xi} dz \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{K^p c_2 \Gamma(p(\gamma+n+1)+1)}{p(\gamma+1)+1} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{p(\gamma+n+1)+1} \xi^{p(\gamma+n+1)+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where here we denoted

$$c_2 := \frac{1}{2\xi q^{p/q} ((n-1)!)^p (q(n-1)+1)^{p/q}}. \quad (3.36)$$

We have established the claim of the theorem. \square

Corollary 28. Assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f'', \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$, and the rest as above in this section. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2\gamma+7)}{6\gamma+9}} \frac{K}{2} \xi^{\gamma+3}. \quad (3.37)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $\|K(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f'' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 27 we place $p = q = n = 2$. \square

Theorem 29. Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f'' \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Here $K(x) = P_\xi(f; x) - f(x) - f''(x)\xi^2$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_1 \leq 8\omega_2(f'', \xi)_1 \xi^2. \quad (3.38)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|K(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Also $\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 4 of [2] we use $n = 2$. □

The Lipschitz case of $p = 1$ follows.

Theorem 30. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 2$ even. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(n)}, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + n + 2) K}{2(n-1)!(\gamma + 2)} \xi^{\gamma+n+1}. \tag{3.39}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|K(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ then $\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4 of [2] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(x)\|_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^y \omega_2(f^{(n)}, t)_1 dt \right) \frac{y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-y/\xi} dy \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^y Kt^{\gamma+1} dt \right) \frac{y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-y/\xi} dy \right) \\ &= \frac{K}{2\xi(n-1)!(\gamma+2)} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{\gamma+n+1} e^{-y/\xi} dy \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + n + 2) K}{2(n-1)!(\gamma + 2)} \xi^{\gamma+n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

We have proved the claim of the theorem. □

Corollary 31. Let $f \in C^6(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(6)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(6)}, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 8) K}{240(\gamma + 2)} \xi^{\gamma+7}. \tag{3.41}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|K(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, 3$ then $\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 30 we place $n = 6$. □

The case of $n = 0$ follows.

Proposition 32. Let f as above in this section. Then

$$\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{65}}{2} \omega_2(f, \xi)_2. \tag{3.42}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $P_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_2 norm.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 3 of [2] we use $p = q = 2$. □

The related Lipschitz case for $n = 0$ comes next.

Proposition 33. *Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and the rest as above. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_p \leq \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{1+\gamma} \frac{[\Gamma((1+\gamma)p + 1)]^{1/p} K}{q^{1/q} p^{1/p}} \xi^{1+\gamma}. \quad (3.43)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $P_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_p norm, $p > 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3 of [2] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P_\xi(f; x) - f(x)|^p dx &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi q^{p/q}} \left(\int_0^\infty \omega_2(f, y)_p^p e^{-py/(2\xi)} dy \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K^p}{2\xi q^{p/q}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{(1+\gamma)p} e^{-py/(2\xi)} dy \right) \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{K^p}{q^{p/q} p} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{(1+\gamma)p} \Gamma((1+\gamma)p + 1) \xi^{(1+\gamma)p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

The proof of the claim is now completed. □

A particular example follows

Corollary 34. *Let f as above in this section. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\|P_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \leq \frac{K}{2} \sqrt{\Gamma(3 + 2\gamma)} \xi^{1+\gamma}. \quad (3.45)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $P_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_2 norm.

Proof. In Proposition 33 we place $p = q = 2$. □

It follows the Lipschitz type result

Proposition 35. *Assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. It holds,*

$$\|P_\xi f - f\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{2} \Gamma(\gamma + 2) \xi^{\gamma+1}. \quad (3.46)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $P_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4 of [2] we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |P_\xi(f; x) - f(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_0^\infty \omega_2(f, y)_1 e^{-y/\xi} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_0^\infty Ky^{\gamma+1} e^{-y/\xi} dy \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{K}{2} \Gamma(\gamma + 2) \xi^{\gamma+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

proving the claim. □

4. Convergence with Rates of Smooth Gauss Weierstrass Singular Integral Operators

In the next we deal with the following *smooth Gauss Weierstrass singular integral operators* $W_{r,\xi}(f; x)$ defined as follows.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we set α_j 's as in (2.1).

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable, we define for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi > 0$ the Lebesgue integral

$$W_{r,\xi}(f; x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j f(x + jt) \right) e^{-t^2/\xi} dt. \tag{4.1}$$

We assume that $W_{r,\xi}(f; x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We mention the useful here formula

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^k e^{-t^2/\xi} dt = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{k+1}{2}}, \text{ for any } k > -1. \tag{4.2}$$

We also need to introduce δ_k 's as in (2.4).

Proposition 36. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be defined as above in this section, and assume that $W_{2,\xi}(f; x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|W_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{|t|} \omega_2(f', w) dw \right) e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [3] we use $n = 1$, $r = 2$. □

We present the Lipschitz type result corresponding to the Theorem 1 of [3].

Theorem 37. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and assume that $W_{r,\xi}(f; x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, \delta) \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} f^{(2m)}(x) \delta_{2m} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right)^m \right| \\ & \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+r+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{n+r+\gamma-1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

In L.H.S.(4.4) the sum collapses when $n = 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, of [3], we get again that

$$W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \delta_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt \right) + \mathcal{R}_n^*, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_n^* := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n(0, t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt, \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_n(0, t) := \int_0^t \frac{(t-w)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \tau(w) dw, \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$\tau(w) := \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j j^n f^{(n)}(x + jw) - \delta_n f^{(n)}(x).$$

Also we get

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(0, t)| \leq \int_0^{|t|} \frac{(|t| - w)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, w) dw. \quad (4.8)$$

Using the Lipschitz type condition we obtain again

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(0, t)| \leq \frac{K |t|^{n+r+\gamma-1} \Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)}, \quad (4.9)$$

and, using (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}_n^*| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K |t|^{n+r+\gamma-1} \Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt \\ &= \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{n+r+\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt \\ &= \frac{2K}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{n+r+\gamma-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+r)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma+r)} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+r+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{n+r+\gamma-1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

We notice also that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \delta_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt \right) &= \\ W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left[\frac{f^{(2m)}(x)}{(2m)! \sqrt{\pi}} \delta_{2m} \Gamma\left(\frac{2m+1}{2}\right) \xi^m \right] &= \mathcal{R}_n^*. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Furthermore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2m)!\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2m+1}{2}\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2m) \cdot (2m-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{2m-1}{2} \cdot \frac{2m-3}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^m. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) we complete the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 38. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, and assume that $W_{2,\xi}(f; x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f', \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$|W_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{K}{(\gamma+2)\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. In Theorem 37 we use $n = 1$, $r = 2$. □

For the case $n = 0$ we have

Theorem 39. Let f be defined as above in this section, with $n = 0$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f, \delta) \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. It holds that

$$|W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{r+\gamma-1}{2}}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 1, of [3], with $n = 0$, using the Lipschitz type condition, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^\infty \omega_r(f, t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^\infty Kt^{r-1+\gamma} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\xi}} dt \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{r+\gamma-1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 39. □

Corollary 40. Let f be defined as above in this section, with $n = 0$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$|W_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. In Theorem 39 we use $r = 2$. □

In the next we consider $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 2$ even and the simple *smooth singular operator of symmetric convolution type*

$$W_\xi(f, x_0) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_0 + y) e^{-y^2/\xi} dy, \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \quad (4.17)$$

That is

$$W_\xi(f; x_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^{\infty} (f(x_0 + y) + f(x_0 - y)) e^{-y^2/\xi} dy, \quad \text{for all } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \quad (4.18)$$

We assume that f is such that

$$W_\xi(f; x_0) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \xi > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2(f^{(n)}, h) < \infty, \quad h > 0.$$

Note that $W_{1,\xi} = W_\xi$ and if $W_\xi(f; x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ then $W_{r,\xi}(f; x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 41. *Assume $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$, $\omega_2(f, h) < \infty$, $h > 0$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \frac{K}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. Using Proposition 1 of [3] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |W_\xi(f; x_0) - f(x_0)| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^{\infty} \omega_2(f, y) e^{-y^2/\xi} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^{\infty} Ky^{1+\gamma} e^{-y^2/\xi} dy \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

proving the claim of the proposition. \square

Define the quantity

$$\bar{K}_2(x_0) := W_\xi(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - \sum_{\rho=1}^{n/2} f^{(2\rho)}(x_0) \frac{1}{\rho!} \left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right)^\rho. \quad (4.21)$$

We give

Theorem 42. *Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$, n even, $W_\xi(f)$ real valued. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(n)}, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$|\bar{K}_2(x_0)| \leq \frac{K}{n!2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+\gamma+2}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{n+\gamma+1}{2}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. Using Theorem 6 of [3] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{K}_2(x_0)| &\leq \frac{1}{n!\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^\infty \omega_2(f^{(n)}, y) y^n e^{-y^2/\xi} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n!\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^\infty Ky^{1+\gamma} y^n e^{-y^2/\xi} dy \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K}{n!2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+\gamma+2}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{n+\gamma+1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

proving the claim of the theorem. □

In particular we have

Corollary 43. Let $f \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$ such that $W_\xi(f)$ is real valued. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(4)}, \delta) \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$|\bar{K}_2(x_0)| \leq \frac{K}{48\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+6}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{\gamma+5}{2}}. \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. In Theorem 42 we use $n = 4$. □

We also give

Corollary 44. Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$\omega_2(f'', |y|) \leq 2A|y|^\gamma, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 2, \quad A > 0.$$

Then for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\left| W_\xi(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - \frac{f''(x_0)\xi}{4} \right| \leq \frac{A}{(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{2+\gamma}{2}}. \tag{4.25}$$

Inequality (4.25) is sharp, namely it is attained at $x_0 = 0$ by

$$f_*(y) = \frac{A|y|^{\gamma+2}}{(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)}.$$

Proof. In Theorem 7 of [3] we use $n = 2$. □

We also give

Corollary 45. Assume that $\omega_2(f, \xi) < \infty$ and $n = 0$. Then

$$\|W_{2,\xi}(f) - f\|_\infty \leq \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{3}{2} \right] \omega_2(f, \sqrt{\xi}). \tag{4.26}$$

and as $\xi \rightarrow 0$,

$W_{2,\xi} \xrightarrow{u} I$ with rates.

Proof. By formula (37) of [3] with $r = 2$. □

Define the quantity

$$\bar{K}_1 := \left\| W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} f^{(2m)}(x) \delta_{2m} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right)^m \right\|_{\infty, x}. \quad (4.27)$$

We present

Corollary 46. Assuming $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega_2(f'', \xi) < \infty$, $\xi > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_1 &= \left\| W_{2,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - f''(x) \delta_2 \frac{\xi}{4} \right\|_{\infty, x} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{3\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{5}{16} \right\} \omega_2(f'', \sqrt{\xi}) \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

That is as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $W_{2,\xi} \rightarrow I$, pointwise with rates, given that $\|f''\|_{\infty} < \infty$.

Proof. In Theorem 11 of [3] we use $r = n = 2$. □

We also present

Corollary 47. Assuming $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\omega_2(f'', \xi) < \infty$, $\xi > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{K}_2(x)\|_{\infty, x} &= \left\| W_{\xi}(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - f''(x_0) \frac{\xi}{4} \right\|_{\infty, x} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{5}{32} \right\} \omega_2(f'', \sqrt{\xi}) \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

That is as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $W_{\xi} \rightarrow I$, pointwise with rates, given that $\|f''\|_{\infty} < \infty$.

Proof. In Theorem 12 of [3] we use $n = 2$. □

5. L_p Convergence with Rates of Smooth Gauss Weierstrass Singular Integral Operators

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we let α_j as in (2.1).

Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi > 0$ the Lebesgue integral $W_{r,\xi}(f; x)$ as in (4.1).

The r th L_p -modulus of smoothness $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, h)_p$ was defined in (3.1). Here we have that $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, h)_p < \infty$, $h > 0$.

The δ_k 's were introduced in (2.4).

We define

$$\Delta(x) := W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} f^{(2m)}(x) \delta_{2m} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right)^m. \quad (5.1)$$

We have the following results.

Corollary 48. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the rest as above in this section. Then*

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}\xi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(n-1)! \sqrt[4]{\pi} \sqrt{(2r+1)(2n-1)}} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, \sqrt{\xi})_2, \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$0 < \tau := \left[\int_0^\infty (1+u)^{2r+1} u^{2n-1} e^{-u^2} du - \int_0^\infty u^{2n-1} e^{-u^2} du \right] < \infty. \quad (5.3)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then $\|W_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [4], we place $p = q = 2$. □

Corollary 49. *Let f be as above in this section. In particular, for $n = 1$, we have*

$$\|W_{r,\xi}(f; \cdot) - f\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}}{\sqrt[4]{\pi} \sqrt{(2r+1)}} \sqrt{\xi} \omega_r(f', \sqrt{\xi})_2, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$0 < \tau := \left[\int_0^\infty (1+u)^{2r+1} u e^{-u^2} du - \frac{1}{2} \right] < \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|W_{r,\xi}(f; \cdot) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [4], we place $p = q = 2$, $n = 1$. □

Corollary 50. *Let f be as above in this section and $n = 2$. Then*

$$\|W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x) - \frac{f''(x)\delta_2}{4} \xi\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}}{\sqrt[4]{\pi} \sqrt{3(2r+1)}} \xi \omega_r(f'', \sqrt{\xi})_2, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$0 < \tau := \left[\int_0^\infty (1+u)^{2r+1} u^3 e^{-u^2} du - \frac{1}{2} \right] < \infty. \tag{5.7}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f'' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|W_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 1 of [4], we place $p = q = n = 2$. □

Next we present the Lipschitz type result corresponding to Theorem 1 of [4].

Theorem 51. Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the rest as above in this section. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_p \leq \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}{2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{\frac{(r+\gamma+n)}{2}} K \xi^{\frac{(r-1+\gamma+n)}{2}}}{\left[(n-1)! p^{\frac{r-\frac{1}{q}+\gamma+n}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2q}} \pi^{\frac{1}{2p}} (q(n-1)+1)^{\frac{1}{q}} (p(r-1+\gamma)+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]}. \tag{5.8}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then $\|W_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, [4], we get again

$$I := \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\Delta(x)|^p dx \leq c_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(\int_0^{|t|} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, w)_p^p dw \right) |t|^{np-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2\xi}} dt \right), \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$c_1 := \frac{2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{q^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi\xi} ((n-1)!)^p (q(n-1)+1)^{p/q}}. \tag{5.10}$$

Using the Lipschitz condition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq c_1 \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(\int_0^{|t|} (Kw^{r-1+\gamma})^p dw \right) |t|^{np-1} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2\xi}} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{c_1 K^p}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty |t|^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2\xi}} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{2c_1 K^p}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{p(r-1+\gamma+n)} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2\xi}} dt \right) \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{c_1 K^p \Gamma\left(\frac{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}{2}\right)}{(p(r-1+\gamma)+1)} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}{2}} \xi^{\frac{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Thus we obtain

$$I \leq \frac{K p 2^{\frac{p(r+\gamma+n)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{p(r-1+\gamma+n)}{2}}}{q^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} ((n-1)!)^p (q(n-1)+1)^{p/q} (p(r-1+\gamma)+1) p^{\frac{p(r-1+\gamma+n)+1}{2}}}. \quad (5.12)$$

That is finishing the proof of the theorem. \square

In particular we have

Corollary 52. *Let f such that the following Lipschitz condition holds: $\omega_7(f^{(4)}, \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{6+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$, and the rest as above in this section. Then*

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \leq \frac{K}{6} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\gamma+21}{2}\right)}{7\sqrt{\pi}(2\gamma+13)}} \xi^{\frac{(\gamma+10)}{2}}. \quad (5.13)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2$, then $\|W_{7,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 51 we place $p = q = 2$, $n = 4$, and $r = 7$. \square

The counterpart of Theorem 51 follows, case of $p = 1$.

Theorem 53. *Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f^{(n)}, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{(n-1)!(r+\gamma)\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\gamma+n}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{r+\gamma+n-1}{2}}. \quad (5.14)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then $\|W_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2, [4] we get

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!\sqrt{\pi}\xi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{|t|} \omega_r(f^{(n)}, w)_1 dw \right) |t|^{n-1} e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Delta(x)\|_1 &\leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{|t|} K w^{r-1+\gamma} dw \right) |t|^{n-1} e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{K}{(n-1)!\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|t|^{r+\gamma}}{r+\gamma} \right) |t|^{n-1} e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{K}{(n-1)!(r+\gamma)\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{r+\gamma+n-1} e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{2K}{(n-1)!(r+\gamma)\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} t^{r+\gamma+n-1} e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \right) \\
 &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K}{(n-1)!(r+\gamma)\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\gamma+n}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{r+\gamma+n}{2}}. \tag{5.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have gotten that

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{(n-1)!(r+\gamma)\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\gamma+n}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{r+\gamma+n-1}{2}}. \tag{5.17}$$

Hence the validity of (5.14). \square

Corollary 54. Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f'' \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f'', \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{(2+\gamma)\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{4+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{\gamma+3}{2}}. \tag{5.18}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|\Delta(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Also we get $\|W_{2,\xi}(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 53 we place $n = r = 2$. \square

Next, when $n = 0$ we get

Proposition 55. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and the rest as above. Then

$$\|W_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \leq \frac{2^{\frac{3}{4}}\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{4}}\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \omega_r(f, \sqrt{\xi})_2, \tag{5.19}$$

where

$$0 < \theta := \int_0^{\infty} (1+t)^{2r} e^{-t^2} dt < \infty. \tag{5.20}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $W_{r,\xi} \rightarrow$ unit operator I in the L_2 norm, $p > 1$.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 1 of [4] we use $p = q = 2$. \square

We continue with

Proposition 56. *Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and the rest as above. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\|W_{r,\xi}(f) - f\|_p \leq \sqrt[p]{\Gamma\left(\frac{p(r-1+\gamma)+1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{r+\gamma}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \frac{K}{\sqrt[p]{\pi}} \xi^{\frac{(r-1+\gamma)}{2}}. \quad (5.21)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $W_{r,\xi} \rightarrow$ unit operator I in the L_p norm, $p > 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 1 of [4] we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)|^p dx \\ & \leq \frac{2}{(\pi\xi)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{q}\right)^{\frac{p}{2q}} \int_0^{\infty} \omega_r(f, t)_p^p e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2\xi}} dt \\ & \leq \frac{2K^p}{(\pi\xi)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left(\frac{2\pi\xi}{q}\right)^{\frac{p}{2q}} \int_0^{\infty} t^{p(r-1+\gamma)} e^{-\frac{pt^2}{2\xi}} dt \\ & \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K^p}{\pi^{\frac{p}{2}}} \left(\frac{2\pi}{q}\right)^{\frac{p}{2q}} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{p(r-1+\gamma)+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p(r-1+\gamma)+1}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{p(r-1+\gamma)}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

We have established the claim of the proposition. □

Corollary 57. *Let f such that the following Lipschitz condition holds: $\omega_4(f, \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{3+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$, and the rest as above in this section. Then*

$$\|W_{4,\xi}(f) - f\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{2\gamma+7}{2}\right)} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \xi^{\frac{(3+\gamma)}{2}}. \quad (5.23)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $W_{4,\xi} \rightarrow$ unit operator I in the L_2 norm.

Proof. In Proposition 56 we place $p = q = 2$ and $r = 4$. □

In the L_1 case, $n = 0$ we have

Proposition 58. It holds

$$\|W_{2,\xi}f - f\|_1 \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{3}{2}\right) \omega_2(f, \sqrt{\xi})_1. \quad (5.24)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $W_{2,\xi} \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 2 of [4] we use $r = 2$. □

Proposition 59. *We assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_r(f, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{r-1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\|W_{r,\xi}f - f\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{r-1+\gamma}{2}}. \quad (5.25)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $W_{r,\xi} \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2 of [4] we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |W_{r,\xi}(f; x) - f(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_r(f, |t|)_1 e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K|t|^{r-1+\gamma} e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \\
 &= \frac{2K}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^{\infty} t^{r-1+\gamma} e^{-t^2/\xi} dt \\
 &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{r-1+\gamma}{2}}. \tag{5.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the claim of the proposition. \square

Corollary 60. Assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|W_{2,\xi}f - f\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\gamma}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}. \tag{5.27}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $W_{2,\xi} \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. In Proposition 59 we place $r = 2$. \square

In the next we consider $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $n = 0$ or $n \geq 2$ even, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and the similar smooth singular operator of symmetric convolution type

$$W_\xi(f; x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) e^{-y^2/\xi} dy, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \xi > 0. \tag{5.28}$$

Denote

$$K(x) := W_\xi(f; x) - f(x) - \sum_{\rho=1}^{n/2} \frac{f^{(2\rho)}(x)}{\rho!} \cdot \left(\frac{\xi}{4}\right)^\rho. \tag{5.29}$$

We give

Theorem 61. Let $n \geq 2$ even and the rest as above. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{10\sqrt{\pi}(2n-1)}} \frac{\xi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(n-1)!} \omega_2(f^{(n)}, \sqrt{\xi})_2, \tag{5.30}$$

where

$$0 < \tilde{\tau} = \int_0^{\infty} \left((1+u)^5 - 1\right) u^{2n-1} e^{-u^2} du < \infty. \tag{5.31}$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $\|K(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ then $\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3 of [4] we use $p = q = 2$. □

It follows a Lipschitz type approximation result.

Theorem 62. Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $n \geq 2$ even and the rest as above. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(n)}, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_p \leq \frac{K \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{p(\gamma+n+1)+1}{2} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}^{\frac{1}{p}} (n-1)! p^{\frac{1}{2p}} q^{\frac{1}{2q}} [q(n-1)+1]^{\frac{1}{q}} [p(\gamma+1)+1]^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{2}{p} \right)^{\frac{(\gamma+n+1)}{2}} \xi^{\frac{(\gamma+n+1)}{2}}. \quad (5.32)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $\|K(x)\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ then $\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3, of [4] we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(x)|^p dx &\leq c_2 \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^y \omega_2(f^{(n)}, t)_p^p dt \right) y^{pn-1} e^{-\frac{py^2}{2\xi}} dy \right) \\ &\leq K^p c_2 \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{y^{p(\gamma+1)+1}}{p(\gamma+1)+1} \right) y^{pn-1} e^{-\frac{py^2}{2\xi}} dy \right) \\ &= \frac{K^p c_2}{p(\gamma+1)+1} \left(\int_0^{\infty} y^{p(\gamma+n+1)} e^{-\frac{py^2}{2\xi}} dy \right) \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K^p c_2}{p(\gamma+1)+1} \left(\frac{2}{p} \right)^{\frac{p(\gamma+n+1)+1}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{p(\gamma+n+1)+1}{2} \right) \xi^{\frac{p(\gamma+n+1)+1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

where here we denoted

$$c_2 := \frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{2q}} q^{\frac{p}{2q}} (q(n-1)+1)^{p/q} ((n-1)!)^p \sqrt{\pi\xi}}. \quad (5.34)$$

We have established the claim of the theorem. □

Corollary 63. Assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f'', \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$, and the rest as above in this section. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{\left[\Gamma \left(\frac{2\gamma+7}{2} \right) \right]}{\sqrt{\pi} [6\gamma+9]}} \frac{K}{2} \xi^{\frac{(\gamma+3)}{2}}. \quad (5.35)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $\|K(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f'' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 62 we place $p = q = n = 2$. □

Theorem 64. Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f'' \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Here $K(x) = W_\xi(f; x) - f(x) - \frac{f''(x)}{4}\xi$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{3}{8} \right) \omega_2(f'', \sqrt{\xi})_1 \xi. \quad (5.36)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|K(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Also $\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 4 of [4] we use $n = 2$. □

The Lipschitz case of $p = 1$ follows.

Theorem 65. Let $f \in C^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(n)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 2$ even. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(n)}, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+n+2}{2}\right) K}{(n-1)!(\gamma+2)2\sqrt{\pi}} \xi^{\frac{\gamma+n+1}{2}}. \quad (5.37)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|K(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ then $\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4 of [4] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(x)\|_1 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^\infty \left(\left(\int_0^y \omega_2(f^{(n)}, t)_1 dt \right) \frac{y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-y^2/\xi} \right) dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^\infty \left(\left(\int_0^y Kt^{\gamma+1} dt \right) \frac{y^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-y^2/\xi} \right) dy \\ &= \frac{K}{(n-1)!(\gamma+2)\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^\infty \left(y^{\gamma+n+1} e^{-y^2/\xi} \right) dy \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+n+2}{2}\right) K}{(n-1)!(\gamma+2)2\sqrt{\pi}} \xi^{\frac{\gamma+n+1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

We have proved the claim of the theorem. □

Corollary 66. Let $f \in C^6(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(6)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f^{(6)}, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|K(x)\|_1 \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+8}{2}\right) K}{240(\gamma+2)\sqrt{\pi}} \xi^{\frac{\gamma+7}{2}}. \quad (5.39)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\|K(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

If additionally $f^{(2m)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, $m = 1, 2, 3$ then $\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, as $\xi \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. In Theorem 65 we place $n = 6$. □

The case of $n = 0$ follows.

Proposition 67. Let f as above in this section. Then

$$\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{19}{16}} \omega_2(f, \sqrt{\xi})_2. \quad (5.40)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $W_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_2 norm.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 3 of [4] we use $p = q = 2$. □

The related Lipschitz case for $n = 0$ comes next.

Proposition 68. Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and the rest as above. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta)_p \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_p \leq \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{(1+\gamma)}{2}} \frac{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{(1+\gamma)p+1}{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} K}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2p}} p^{\frac{1}{2p}} q^{\frac{1}{2q}} \sqrt{2}} \xi^{\frac{(1+\gamma)}{2}}. \quad (5.41)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $W_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_p norm, $p > 1$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3 of [4] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |W_\xi(f; x) - f(x)|^p dx &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi} (2q)^{\frac{p}{2q}}} \int_0^{\infty} \omega_2(f, y)_p^p e^{-\frac{py^2}{2\xi}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi} (2q)^{\frac{p}{2q}}} \int_0^{\infty} (Ky^{1+\gamma})^p e^{-\frac{py^2}{2\xi}} dy \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K^p}{\sqrt{\pi} (2q)^{\frac{p}{2q}}} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{(1+\gamma)p+1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{(1+\gamma)p+1}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{(1+\gamma)p}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

The proof of the claim is now completed. □

A particular example follows

Corollary 69. Let f as above in this section. Furthermore we assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta)_2 \leq K\delta^{1+\gamma}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\|W_\xi(f) - f\|_2 \leq \frac{K}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+2\gamma}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}} \xi^{\frac{(1+\gamma)}{2}}. \quad (5.43)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $W_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_2 norm.

Proof. In Proposition 68 we place $p = q = 2$. □

We finish with the Lipschitz type result

Proposition 70. *Assume the following Lipschitz condition: $\omega_2(f, \delta)_1 \leq K\delta^{\gamma+1}$, $K > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$. It holds,*

$$\|W_\xi f - f\|_1 \leq \frac{K}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+2}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}. \quad (5.44)$$

Hence as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ we get $W_\xi \rightarrow I$ in the L_1 norm.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4 of [4] we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |W_\xi(f; x) - f(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^{\infty} \omega_2(f, y)_1 e^{-y^2/\xi} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\xi}} \int_0^{\infty} Ky^{\gamma+1} e^{-y^2/\xi} dy \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \frac{K}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+2}{2}\right) \xi^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

We have established the claim. □

Received: September 2009. Revised: July 2010.

References

- [1] George A. Anastassiou, "Basic Convergence with Rates of Smooth Picard Singular Operators", *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, 8 (2006), 313–334.
- [2] George A. Anastassiou, "Lp convergence with rates of smooth Picard singular operators", *Differential & difference equations and applications*, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, (2006), 31–45.
- [3] George A. Anastassiou, Razvan A. Mezei, "Uniform Convergence with Rates of Smooth Gauss-Weierstrass Singular Integral Operators", *Applicable Analysis*, 88:7 (2009), 1015 — 1037.
- [4] George A. Anastassiou, Razvan A. Mezei, "Lp Convergence with Rates of Smooth Gauss-Weierstrass Singular Operators", *Nonlinear Studies*, accepted 2009.