

**Direct Estimation for Approximation by
Bernstein Polynomial
by Using Ditzian-Totik and Average
in $L_p[a,b], 1 \leq p < \infty$
Modulus of Smoothness**

N. M. Kasim

**Department of Mathematics, College of
Education , Ibn Al- Haitham, Baghdad
University**

Abstract

The purpose of the paper is to find the degree of the approximation of a functions f be bounded , measurable and defined in interval $[a,b]$ by Bernstein polynomial in L_p space $1 \leq p < \infty$ by using Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness and k^{th} average modulus of smoothness.

Introduction

Let f be abounded and measurable function in $[0,1]$ for which

$$\left(\int_{[a,b]} |f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

Bernstein polynomial of f is defined by

$$B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{n,k}(x);$$

$$P_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \quad , n \geq 2$$

[1.1]

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let us define

$$\Delta x = \frac{\sqrt{\varphi(x)}}{\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{n}}$$

Where [1.2]

$$\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$$

The Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness which is defined for such f will be follows

$$\omega_r^\varphi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \|\Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^r(f, \cdot)\|_{L_p[a, b]} \quad [1.3]$$

where

$$\Delta_{h\varphi}^r f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} f(x + ih\varphi) & x + ih\varphi \in [a, b] \\ 0 & x + ih\varphi \notin [a, b] \end{cases} \quad [1.4]$$

The K^{th} average modulus of smoothness for $f \in L_p[a, b], 1 \leq p < \infty$ is defined by

$$\tau_2(f, \delta, [a, b]) = \|\omega_k(f, \delta, [a, b])\|_p \quad [1.5]$$

Let $W_p^r[a, b]$ be the set of all functions f on $[a, b] \ni f^{(k-1)}$ which are absolutely continuous and $f^{(k)} \in L_p, (1)$.

Consider now

$$S_u(x) = \begin{cases} u(x-1) & 0 \leq u \leq x \leq 1 \\ x(u-1) & 0 \leq x \leq u \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

At a number of places in this paper use some standard inequalities concerning sums and integrals which(2),(3) are:

1-If $f, g \in L_p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ then $\|f + g\|_p \leq c(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p), c$ is constant [1.6]

2- $f, g \in L_p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ then

$$\left(\int_x |f \cdot g|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\int_x |f|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_x |g|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \ni \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \quad [1.7]$$

Lemma 1 (4)

S_u has the following properties

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad (B_n(S_u, x) - S_u(x)) \geq 0 \\ (ii) \quad \int_0^1 (B_n(S_u, x) - S_u(x)) dx \leq n^{-1} \varphi(u), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Lemma 2

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and g, g' are absolutely continuous functions on $[0,1]$ then

$$B_n(g, x) - g(x) = \int_0^1 (B_n(S_u, X) - S_u(x)) g''(u) du, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Proof:-

For $x=0$ or $x=1$, we obviously have the result, so let $0 < x < 1$ then by using definition of $S_u(x)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 S_u(x) g''(u) du &= \int_0^x u(x-1) g''(u) du + \int_x^1 x(u-1) g''(u) du \\ &= (x-1) \int_0^x u g''(u) du + x \int_x^1 (u-1) g''(u) du \end{aligned} \quad [1]$$

By integrating by parts, we get that

$$\int_0^x u g''(u) du = xg'(x) - g(x) + g(0) \quad [2]$$

And

$$\int_x^1 (u-1) g''(u) du = -(x-1)g'(x) - g(1) + g(x) \quad [3]$$

Then by substituting [2] and [3] in (1), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 S_u(x)g''(u)du = g(x) + g(0)(x-1) - g(1)x$$

Now , for $\int_0^1 B_n(S_u, x)g''(u)du$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 B_n(S_u, x)g''(u)du &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n S_u\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)P_{n,k}(x)g''(u)du \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 S_u\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)g''(u)du \end{aligned}$$

Evaluate $\int_0^1 S_u\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)g''(u)du$, we have:

$$\int_0^1 S_u\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)g''(u)du = \int_0^{k/n} u\left(\frac{k}{n}-1\right)g''(u)du + \int_{k/n}^1 \frac{k}{n}(u-1)g''(u)du$$

By the same lines used for $\int_0^1 S_u(x)g''(u)du$ we obtain

$$\int_0^1 S_u\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)g''(u)du = g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + g(0)\left(\frac{k}{n}-1\right) - g(1)\frac{k}{n}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 B_n(S_u, x)g''(u)du &= \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n,k}(x)\left(g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + g(0)\left(\frac{k}{n}-1\right) - g(1)\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &= B_n(g; x) + B_n(g(0)(x-1); x) - B_n(g(1)x; x) \end{aligned}$$

Now since Bernstein polynomial is linear operator and preserves linear function , we obtain

$$\int_0^1 B_n(S_u, x)g''(u)du = B_n(g; x) + g(0)(x-1) - g(1)x$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (B_n(S_u, x) - S_u(x))g''(u)du &= B_n(g; x) + g(0)(x-1) - g(1)x - g(x) - g(0)(x-1) + g(1)x \\ &= B_n(g, x) - g(x). \end{aligned}$$

The main result

We shall prove a direct theorem in $L_p[a, b], 1 \leq p < \infty$ for Bernstein operator $B_n(f)$ in terms of Ditzian modulus of smoothness and average modulus of smoothness .

The direct theorem

If f is a measurable and bounded function on $[a, b]$ then $1 \leq p < \infty$
 We have

$$\|f(x) - B_n(f)\| \leq c \omega_r^\varphi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p + \tau_2(f, \delta, [a, b]).$$

Where δ may be a function of x .

Now we also need the following lemmas to prove our theorem:

Lemma 3

For $n \in N$ and $g \in \omega_r^\varphi[a, b], 1 \leq p < \infty$ we have

$$\|B_n(g) - g\|_p \leq cn^{-p} \|\varphi \cdot g''\|_p \text{ where } c \text{ is constant.}$$

Proof

Consider the linear operator[3]

$$T(f) = \int_0^1 (B_n(S_u, X) - S_u(X)) \varphi^{-1}(u) f(u) du$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\|_p &= \left\| \int_0^1 (B_n(S_u, X) - S_u(X)) \varphi^{-1}(u) f(u) du \right\|_p \\ &= \left[\int_0^1 \left| \int_0^1 (B_n(S_u, X) - S_u(X)) \varphi^{-1}(u) f(u) du \right|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[\int_0^1 |n^{-1} \varphi(u) \varphi^{-1}(u) f(u) du|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

by (1.6) we get

$$\|T(f)\|_p \leq \frac{c}{n} \left(\int_0^1 |f(u)|^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\|T(f)\|_p \leq \frac{c}{n} \|f\|_p$$

then let $f = \varphi.g''$ and by lemma (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(\varphi.g'')\|_p &= \left\| \int_0^1 (B_n(S_u, X) - S_u(X)) \varphi^{-1}(u) \varphi(u) g''(u) du \right\|_p \\ &= \|B_n(g) - g\|_p \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n(g) - g\|_p &= \|T(\varphi.g'')\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^p} \|\varphi.g''\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

lemma 4

Let $f \in W'_p[a, b]$ then $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have

$$\|\Delta^2 F''\|_p \leq \frac{c}{n^p} \omega'_p(f, \delta, [a, b])$$

Proof:

Setting

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{n^{1+p}} \sum_{i=1,2} (-1)^{3-i} \binom{2}{i} \left(\frac{2}{\phi ih}\right)^2 \int_0^{\frac{\phi ih}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{\phi ih}{2}} \varphi^{-1}(x) f(x + u_1 + u_2) du_1 du_2, h = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$F''(x) = \frac{\phi^{-1}(x)}{n^{1+p}} \sum_{i=1,2} (-1)^{3-i} \binom{2}{i} \left(\frac{2}{\phi ih}\right)^2 \Delta^2_{\frac{\phi ih}{2}} f(x)$$

Now clear from (1.2) we have

$$\|\Delta^2 F''\|_p = \left\| \left(\frac{\sqrt{\phi(x)}}{\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{2n}}\right)^2 . F'' \right\|_p$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\| \frac{\phi(x)}{n} \cdot \frac{\phi^{-1}(x)}{n^{1+p}} \sum_{i=1,2} (-1)^{3-i} \binom{2}{i} \left(\frac{2}{\phi h} \right)^2 \Delta_{\frac{\phi h}{2}}^2 f(x) \right\|_p \\ \|\Delta^2 F^n\|_p &\leq \frac{1}{n^{2+p}} \left\| 8 \Delta_{\frac{\phi h}{2}}^2 f(x) - \Delta_{\phi h}^2 f(x) \right\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^{2+p}} \left(\left\| \Delta_{\frac{\phi h}{2}}^2 f(x) \right\|_p + \left\| \Delta_{\phi h}^2 f(x) \right\|_p \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^{2+p}} \left(\sup_{h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\phi h}{2}}^2 f(x) \right\|_p + \sup_{h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\phi h}^2 f(x) \right\|_p \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^{2+p}} \omega_2^\phi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^p} \omega_2^\phi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5

If $f \in L_p[a, b]$, then $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ we have

$$|F(x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega_2^\phi(f, x+h, h)$$

Proof:-

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \frac{\phi^{-1}(x)}{n^{1+p}} \sum_{r=1,2} (-1)^{3-r} \binom{2}{r} \left(\frac{2}{r\phi h} \right)^2 \int_0^{r\phi h/2} \int_0^{r\phi h/2} f(x+u_1+u_2) du_1 du_2 - f(x) \right|, h = \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{r=1,2} (-1)^{2-r} \binom{2}{r} (\phi h)^{-2} \int_0^{\phi h} \int_0^{\phi h} f(x+r(\frac{t_1+t_2}{2})) dt_1 dt_2 + f(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| (\phi h)^{-2} \int_0^{\phi h} \int_0^{\phi h} \sum_{r=0}^2 (-1)^{2-r} \binom{2}{r} f(x+r(\frac{t_1+t_2}{2})) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\ &\leq (\phi h)^{-2} \int_0^{\phi h} \int_0^{\phi h} \left| \Delta_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}^2 f(x) \right| dt_1 dt_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let $t_1 = 2u_1 - u_2$ and $t_2 = u_2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |F(x) - f(x)| &\leq 2(\phi h)^{-2} \int_0^{\phi h} \int_0^{\phi h} |\Delta_{u_1}^2 f(x)| du_1 du_2 \\
 &\leq 2(\phi h)^{-1} \int_0^{\phi h} |\Delta_{u_1}^2 f(x)| du \\
 &\leq 2(\phi h)^{-1} \int_0^{\phi h} \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \phi h} |\Delta_{u_1}^2 f(x)| du \\
 &\leq 2\omega_2^\phi(f, x + h, h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6

If $f \in L_p[a, b]$, then $(1 \leq p < \infty), n \geq 2$ we have

$$\|B_n(f) - B_n(F)\| \leq \tau_2(f, \delta, [a, b])_p.$$

Proof

By (1.1) and (lemma 5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B_n(f) - B_n(F)\|_p &= \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n (f(\frac{k}{n}) - F(\frac{k}{n})) P_{n,k}(x) \right|^p dx \\
 &\leq c \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_2^\phi \left(f, \frac{\phi(k+1)}{n}, [a, b] \right) P_{n,k}(x) \right|^p dx
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B_n(f) - B_n(F)\|_p &\leq c_p \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \int_0^1 \left| \omega_2^\phi \left(f, \frac{\phi(k+1)}{n}, [a, b] \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 (P_{n,k}(x))^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \ni \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \\
 &= M_1 M_2 \quad , \quad M_2 = c \quad , c \text{ is constant}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1 &\leq c_p \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} \left| \omega_2^\phi \left(f, \frac{\phi(k+1)}{n}, [a, b] \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq c_p \int_{\phi h}^{1+\phi h} \omega_2^\phi(f, x + \phi h, [a, b])^p \\
 &= \tau_2(f, x, [a, b])_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \in W_p^r[a, b], 1 \leq p < \infty$ we have

$$\|F - B_n(F)\| \leq c\omega_2^\phi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p.$$

Proof

By using (lemma 3) and (lemma 4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F - B_n(F)\|_p &\leq \frac{c}{n^p} \|\phi F''\|_p \\ &\leq cn^{-3-p} \|\Delta^2 F''\|_p \\ &\leq c\omega_2^\phi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the direct theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - B_n(F)\|_p &= \|f - F + F - B_n(F) + B_n(F) + B_n(f)\|_p \\ &\leq \|f - F\|_p + \|F - B_n(F)\|_p + \|B_n(F) - B_n(f)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

Using (lemma 5), (lemma 6), (lemma 7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - B_n(F)\|_p &\leq 2\omega_2^\phi(f, x+h, h)_p + c_1\omega_2^\phi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p + \tau_2(f, x, [a, b])_p \\ &\leq c_2\omega_2^\phi(f, \delta, [a, b])_p + \tau_2(f, x, [a, b])_p . \end{aligned}$$

References

- 1 . Hu, Y.; Kopotun, K. Yu, X.M. (1997) . Canada.J.of math.,49(1);74-99.
- 2 . Ygmund, A. Z (1958): Trigonometric Series . Voi.I.II comberidge ,p.28.
- 3 . Siddiq ,A.H. (1986): functional analysis with applications , Professor of Mathematics Aligarh Muslim university , Algarh ,p.292.
- 4 . Ivanov ,K.G. (1984).Bulg, Acad of Sci . 48 :421-429.

التقدير المباشر للتقريب باستعمال متعددة حدود
الفضاءات $L_p[a, b]$ $1 \leq p < \infty$ برنشتاين في
باستخدام مقياس نعومة ديترز و متوسط المقياس

نبأ ميري قاسم

قسم الرياضيات ، كلية التربية- ابن الهيثم، جامعة بغداد

المستخلص

الغرض من هذا البحث ايجاد درجة اقتراب الدوال المقيدة القابلة للقياس و المعرفة على المدة $1 \leq p < \infty$ باستعمال متعددة حدود برنشتاين بدلالة مقياس نعومة ديترز و متوسط المقياس .. .