

## Bayesian Estimation for Two Parameters of Gamma Distribution Under Precautionary Loss Function

Loaiy F. Naji

Department of Mathematics, Collage of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.  
louy.faeq@gmail.com

Huda A. Rasheed

Department of Mathematics, Collage of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.  
hudamath@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

**Article history:** Received 29 July 2018, Accepted 26 November 2018, Publish January 2019

### Abstract

In the current study, the researchers have been obtained Bayes estimators for the shape and scale parameters of Gamma distribution under the precautionary loss function, assuming the priors, represented by Gamma and Exponential priors for the shape and scale parameters respectively. Moment, Maximum likelihood estimators and Lindley's approximation have been used effectively in Bayesian estimation.

Based on Monte Carlo simulation method, those estimators are compared depending on the mean squared errors (MSE's). The results show that, the performance of Bayes estimator under precautionary loss function with Gamma and Exponential priors is better than other estimates in all cases.

**Keywords:** Gamma distribution; Maximum likelihood estimator; precautionary loss function; Exponential prior; Lindley's approximation.

### 1. Introduction

The gamma distribution is extremely important in reliability analysis and life testing. Hogg and et al. (2013), showed that, the gamma distribution is not only a good model for waiting times, but one for many nonnegative random variables of the continuous type [1].

Also, it is a flexible distribution that commonly offers a good fit to any variable such as in environmental, meteorology, climatology and other physical situations [2].

The probability density function of the Gamma distribution is defined as follows [3]

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \quad ; \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 0 \quad (1)$$

Where,

$\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are often called the shape and scale parameters, respectively. The Gamma function is

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx, \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0$$

The cumulative distribution function (CDF) is

$$F(x; \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^x \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u\beta} du$$

This function is called incomplete Gamma function. The formula for the cumulative distribution can be written as

$$F(x; \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{(\beta x)^j}{j!} e^{-\beta x} = \sum_{j=\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta x)^j}{j!} e^{-\beta x}$$

Therefore, the reliability functions for  $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  is [3]:

$$R(x; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{(\beta x)^j}{j!} e^{-\beta x}$$

## 2. Estimation Methods

In this paper, the moment estimators are used as primary estimators for maximum likelihood estimators of each of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . On the other hand, the maximum likelihood estimators are used as initial values for Bayesian estimators.

### 2.1. Moment Method

Suppose that,  $X$  be a random variable has a Gamma distribution defined by (1).

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from  $X$ . Defining the first  $k$  sample moments about origin as

$$m'_r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

The first  $k$  population moments about origin are given by  $\mu'_r = E(X^r)$ .

Now, equating these moments, that is

$$\mu'_r = m'_r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

The solutions to the above equations denote by  $\theta_1^{\hat{}}, \theta_2^{\hat{}}, \dots, \theta_k^{\hat{}}$ , yields the moment estimators of  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$  [4]

The moment method for estimating the two-parameter Gamma distribution can be derived as

$$m_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$$

$$m_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}$$

$$\mu'_1 = E(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

$$\mu'_2 = E(X^2) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^2$$

From  $m_1 = \mu'_1$ ,  $m_2 = \mu'_2$ , we get

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{n\bar{x}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{n\bar{x}}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \quad (3)$$

### 2.2. Maximum Likelihood Method

The maximum likelihood method is one of the best methods of obtaining a point estimator of a parameter. This technique was proposed by R.A. Fisher (1912), and he developed it in 1920s [5].

This method is the most popular procedure in estimating the parameter  $\theta$  which specifies a probability function  $f(x, \theta)$ , based on the observations  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which were independent sample from the distribution. The maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  of the parameter  $\theta$  which maximizes the likelihood function will be as follows [6]

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \pi_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta)$$

The likelihood function for two-parameter Gamma distribution is

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^{n\alpha}}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^n} \pi_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \tag{4}$$

Taking the logarithm for (4), yields

$$\ln L = -n \ln \Gamma(\alpha) + n \ln \beta + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

The parameters that maximize the likelihood function are the solution of the equations

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \alpha} = -n \Psi(\alpha) + n \ln \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n\alpha}{\beta} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \tag{6}$$

Observe that, the two equations (5) and (6) are difficult and complicated to solve, then it is impossible to find MLE for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  analytically, we can use the numerical analysis (numerical procedure) to obtain and estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  that maximize the likelihood function. One of these numerical procedures is Newton-Raphson method and using Hessian matrix, which is the second partial derivative of the log-likelihood function. We can construct Hessian matrix as follows [4]

$$g_1(\alpha) = -n \Psi(\alpha) + n \ln \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i$$

$$g_2(\beta) = \frac{n\alpha}{\beta} - n\bar{x}$$

The partial derivatives of  $g_1(\alpha)$  with respect to unknown parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are

$$\frac{\partial g_1(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = -n \Psi'(\alpha)$$

Where  $\Psi'(\alpha)$  is the derivative of  $\Psi(\alpha)$  which is called as tri-gamma

$$\frac{\partial g_1(\alpha)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta}$$

The partial derivatives of  $g_2(\beta)$  with respect to unknown parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are

$$\frac{\partial g_2(\beta)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\beta}$$

$$\frac{\partial g_2(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{n\alpha}{\beta^2}$$

Hence,

$$J_k = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial g_1(\alpha)}{\partial \beta} \\ \frac{\partial g_2(\beta)}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial g_2(\beta)}{\partial \beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where,  $J_k$  is the Jacobean matrix and  $J_k$  must be a non-singular symmetric matrix so, its inverse can be found as

$$J_K^{-1} = \frac{1}{|J|} \begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{k+1} \\ \beta_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} - J_{k_i}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g_1(\alpha) \\ g_2(\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{k+1} \\ \beta_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}} \begin{bmatrix} -na_{22}\Psi(\alpha_k) + na_{22}\ln\beta_k + a_{22} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \frac{na_{12}\alpha_k}{\beta_k} + na_{12}\bar{x} \\ na_{21}\Psi(\alpha_k) - na_{21}\ln\beta_k - a_{21} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i + \frac{na_{11}\alpha_k}{\beta_k} - na_{11}\bar{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

The absolute value for the difference between the new value for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in new iterative value with previous value for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in last iterative represent the error term, it's symbol is  $\varepsilon$ , which is a very small and assumed value. Then, error term is formulated as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{k+1}(\alpha) \\ \varepsilon_{k+1}(\beta) \end{bmatrix} = \left| \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{k+1} \\ \beta_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} \right| \tag{7}$$

Where  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  are the initial values for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively, for which are assumed.

### 3. Bayesian Estimation

#### 3.1. Posterior Density Functions Using Gamma and Exponential Priors

To estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  parameters for Gamma distribution, we assume that  $\alpha$  has a prior  $\pi_1(\cdot)$ , which follows Gamma (a, b). At this moment we do not assume any specific prior on  $\alpha$ . We simply assume that the prior on  $\beta$  is  $\pi_2(\cdot)$  and the density function of  $\pi_2(\cdot)$  is Exponential and it is independent of  $\pi_1(\cdot)$ .

$$\pi_1(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{(b)^a(\alpha)^{a-1}e^{-b\alpha}}{\Gamma(a)} & ; \quad a > 0, b > 0, \alpha > 0 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

$$\pi_2(\beta) = \begin{cases} c e^{-\beta c} & ; \quad c > 0, \beta \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

The equations (8) and (9) are prior distribution for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively.

The joint p.d.f is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) &= L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta) \\ &= \frac{\beta^{n\alpha}}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^n} \pi_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \frac{(b)^a(\alpha)^{a-1}e^{-b\alpha}}{\Gamma(a)} c e^{-\beta c} \end{aligned}$$

And the marginal p.d.f. of  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is given by

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta) d\alpha d\beta$$

Hence, the posterior density functions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can be written as follows

$$h(\alpha, \beta | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta)}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta) d\alpha d\beta}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\beta^n \alpha}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^n} \pi_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \frac{(b)^\alpha (\alpha)^{\alpha-1} e^{-b\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} c e^{-\beta c}}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta^n \alpha}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^n} \pi_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \frac{(b)^\alpha (\alpha)^{\alpha-1} e^{-b\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} c e^{-\beta c} d\alpha d\beta}$$

### 3.2. Bayes Estimator under Precautionary Loss Function

Norstrom (1996) introduced an asymmetric precautionary loss function, which can be defined as follows [7]

$$L(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \frac{(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2}{\hat{\theta}}$$

Based on precautionary loss function, risk function  $R_B(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$  can be derived as follows

$$R_B(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = E[L(\hat{\theta}, \theta)]$$

$$= \int_0^\infty L(\hat{\theta}, \theta) h(\theta | \underline{x}) d\theta$$

$$R_B(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2}{\hat{\theta}} h(\theta | \underline{x}) d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^\infty (\theta^2 \hat{\theta}^{-1}) h(\theta | \underline{x}) d\theta - \int_0^\infty 2\theta h(\theta | \underline{x}) d\theta + \int_0^\infty \hat{\theta} h(\theta | \underline{x}) d\theta$$

$$R_B(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = E(\theta^2 | \underline{x}) \hat{\theta}^{-1} - 2E(\theta | \underline{x}) + \hat{\theta}$$

Taking the partial derivative for  $R_B(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$  with respect to  $\hat{\theta}$  and setting it equal to zero, gives

$$\hat{\theta}_B^2 = E(\theta^2 | \underline{x})$$

Hence, Bayes estimator relative to precautionary loss function, denoted by  $\hat{\theta}_B$  is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_B = \sqrt{E(\theta^2 | \underline{x})} \tag{10}$$

In general,

$$E[u(\alpha, \beta)] = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(\alpha, \beta) h(\alpha, \beta | x_1, \dots, x_n) d\alpha d\beta$$

Where  $u(\alpha, \beta)$  be any function for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Therefore,

$$E[u(\alpha, \beta)] = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(\alpha, \beta) L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta) d\alpha d\beta}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta) d\alpha d\beta}$$

#### i) Bayesian Estimation for the Shape Parameter $\alpha$ under Precautionary Loss Function

To obtain Bayesian estimation for  $\alpha$ , assume that,

$$u(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha^2$$

$$\text{Therefore, } E(\alpha^2 | \underline{x}) = \frac{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \alpha^2 L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta) d\alpha d\beta}{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) \pi_1(\alpha) \pi_2(\beta) d\alpha d\beta}$$

Notice that, it is difficult to find the solution of the ratio of two integrals. Therefore, Lindley's approximate will be used to get  $E(\alpha^2 | \underline{x})$  as follows

$$u(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha^2$$

$$u_1 = \frac{\partial u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} = 2\alpha, u_{11} = \frac{\partial^2 u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha^2} = 2, u_2 = \frac{\partial u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} = 0, u_{22} = \frac{\partial^2 u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta^2} = 0$$

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{(b)^\alpha (\alpha)^{a-1} e^{-b\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} c e^{-c\beta}$$

$$p = \ln \pi(\alpha, \beta) = (a - 1) \ln \alpha + a \ln b - b\alpha - \ln \Gamma(\alpha) + \ln c - c\beta$$

$$p_1 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{a-1}{\alpha} - b, p_2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \beta} = -c$$

Recall that,

$$\ln L(x_1, \dots, x_n; \alpha, \beta) = n\alpha \ln \beta - n \ln \Gamma(\alpha) - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i$$

$$L_{12} = \frac{\partial^3 \ln L(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta^2} = -\frac{n}{\beta^2}$$

$$l_{21} = \frac{\partial^3 \ln l(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial^2 \partial \beta} = 0$$

$$l_{03} = \frac{\partial^3 \ln l(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta^3} = \frac{2n\alpha}{\beta^3}$$

$$l_{30} = \frac{\partial^3 \ln l(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha^3} = -n \Psi''(\alpha)$$

$$\sigma_{11} = -\frac{1}{l_{20}} = \frac{1}{n\Psi'(\alpha)}, \quad \sigma_{22} = -\frac{1}{l_{02}} = \frac{\beta^2}{n\alpha}$$

$$E(\alpha^2) \approx \hat{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(u_{11}\sigma_{11}) + p_1 u_1 \sigma_{11} + \frac{1}{2}(l_{30} u_1 \sigma_{11}^2) + \frac{1}{2}(l_{12} u_1 \sigma_{11} \sigma_{22})$$

$$\approx \hat{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 \frac{1}{n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha})} \right) + \left( \frac{a-1}{\hat{\alpha}} - b \right) 2\hat{\alpha} \frac{1}{n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha})} + \frac{1}{2} \left( -n \Psi''(\hat{\alpha}) \frac{2\hat{\alpha}}{(n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha}))^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{n}{\hat{\beta}^2} \frac{2\hat{\alpha}}{n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha})} \frac{\hat{\beta}^2}{n\hat{\alpha}} \right)$$

$$\approx \hat{\alpha}^2 + \frac{2\hat{\alpha}}{n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha})} \left( \left( \frac{a-1}{\hat{\alpha}} - b \right) - \frac{n \Psi''(\hat{\alpha}) \hat{\alpha}}{(n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha}))^2} \right) \tag{11}$$

Now, Substituting (11) into (10) yields,

$$\hat{\alpha}_B \approx \sqrt{\hat{\alpha}^2 + \frac{2\hat{\alpha}}{n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha})} \left( \left( \frac{a-1}{\hat{\alpha}} - b \right) - \frac{n \Psi''(\hat{\alpha}) \hat{\alpha}}{(n\Psi'(\hat{\alpha}))^2} \right)}$$

ii) Bayesian Estimation for the Scale Parameter  $\beta$  under Precautionary Loss Function

Assume that,

$$u(\alpha, \beta) = \beta^2 \text{ then,}$$

$$u_1 = \frac{\partial u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} = 0, \quad u_{11} = \frac{\partial^2 u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha^2} = 0, \quad u_2 = \frac{\partial u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} = 2\beta, \quad u_{22} = \frac{\partial^2 u(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta^2} = 2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } E(\beta^2) &\approx \hat{\beta}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(u_{22}\sigma_{22}) + p_2 u_2 \sigma_{22} + \frac{1}{2}(l_{03} u_2 \sigma_{22}^2) \\ &\approx \hat{\beta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\hat{\beta}^2}{n\hat{\alpha}} \right) + \left( -c \frac{2\hat{\beta}^3}{n\hat{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2n\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}^3} \frac{\hat{\beta}^4}{n\hat{\alpha}} \right) \right) \\ &\approx \hat{\beta}^2 + \frac{3\hat{\beta}^2}{n\hat{\alpha}} - \frac{2c\hat{\beta}^3}{n\hat{\alpha}} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

After Substituting (12) into (10) yields,

$$\hat{\beta}_B \approx \sqrt{\hat{\beta}^2 + \frac{3\hat{\beta}^2}{n\hat{\alpha}} - \frac{2c\hat{\beta}^3}{n\hat{\alpha}}}$$

Where  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$  are the maximum likelihood estimators for  $\alpha, \beta$  respectively.

#### 4. Simulation Study

In this section, Monte – Carlo simulation is employed to compare the performance of three estimates (moment, Maximum likelihood and Bayes Estimators under precautionary loss function) for unknown shape and scale parameters based on the mean squared errors (MSE's) as follows

$$MSE(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta)^2}{I}$$

Where, I is the number of replications.

We generated I = 3000 samples of size n = 20, 30, 50, and 100 to represent small, moderate and large sample sizes from Gamma distribution with  $\alpha = 2, 3$  and  $\beta = 0.5, 1$ . The values of  $\alpha$ 's prior parameters are chosen as a = 3, b = 3 and for  $\beta$ 's prior parameter, c = 4.

#### 5. Discussion and Conclusion

The expected values and (MSE's) for estimating  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are tabulated in Tables (1-8).

The results of the Tables can be summarized by the following points

1. The performance of Bayes estimates under precautionary loss function for two parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the best, since they give smallest mean square error, as indicated for all combinations of initial values of parameters. Followed by maximum-likelihood estimates, for all cases
2. It is clear that, the result for  $\alpha$  (expected values and MSE's) at  $\beta = 0.5$  are the same as the corresponding result when  $\beta = 1$ , the reason can be clarified easily, as follows

According to moment method we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} &= \frac{n\bar{x}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Note that,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a random sample from a Gamma distribution defined by (1), where each observation say  $x_i$  is generated independently and identically by the following equation

$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-1}{\beta} \log(u_{ij}) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{14}$$

Where,  $u_{ij}$  is a random number followed uniform distribution with (0,1), i.e.,  $u_{ij} \sim U(0, 1)$

After substituting (14) into (13) yields,

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} \frac{-1}{\beta} \log(u_{ij})$$

Therefore,  $\beta$  will be canceled from moment estimation for  $\alpha$ . Recall that, the moment is the initial value for MLE. Also Bayesian estimator are depending on MLE , So the result for expected values and MSE for  $\hat{\alpha}$  are the same as the corresponding value of  $\hat{\alpha}$  for different values of  $\beta$ .

3. It is observed that, MSE's of all estimators of shape parameter is increasing with the increase of the value of the shape parameter. Also, MSE values for all estimates are increasing with the increase of the scale parameter value in all cases.

**Table 1.** The expected values for different estimators for unknown shape parameter  $\alpha$  of Gamma distribution when  $\alpha = 2$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	2.486393	2.486393	2.33479	2.334791	2.14737	2.147371
30	2.298321	2.298321	2.194657	2.194658	2.085778	2.085778
50	2.183145	2.183145	2.118412	2.118412	2.058392	2.058392
100	2.090724	2.090724	2.055311	2.055311	2.027358	2.027357

**Table 2.** The expected values for different estimators for unknown shape parameter  $\alpha$  of Gamma distribution when  $\alpha = 3$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	3.600494	3.600494	3.447432	3.447433	3.091556	3.091556
30	3.405721	3.405721	3.299321	3.299319	3.082031	3.08203
50	3.255532	3.405721	3.18809	3.299319	3.066335	3.08203
100	3.126059	3.126059	3.089527	3.089528	3.032201	3.032202

**Table 3.** The MSE values for different estimators for unknown shape parameter  $\alpha$  of Gamma distribution when  $\alpha = 2$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	1.13161	1.13161	0.80765	0.80765	0.52387	0.52387
30	0.58915	0.58915	0.38833	0.38833	0.29354	0.29354
50	0.29714	0.29714	0.18510	0.18510	0.15579	0.15579
100	0.13609	0.13609	0.08313	0.08313	0.07647	0.07647



**Table 4.** The MSE values for different estimators for unknown shape parameter  $\alpha$  of Gamma distribution when  $\alpha = 3$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	2.06203	2.06203	1.62539	1.62540	1.02131	1.02132
30	1.11338	1.11338	0.84883	0.84883	0.62012	0.62012
50	0.61598	1.11338	0.44923	0.84883	0.37063	0.62012
100	0.25924	0.25924	0.18543	0.18543	0.16827	0.16827

**Table 5.** The expected values for different estimators for unknown scale parameter  $\beta$  of Gamma distribution when  $\beta = 0.5$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	0.63802	0.61058	0.59870	0.58464	0.58620	0.57713
30	0.58456	0.57368	0.55831	0.55562	0.55171	0.55141
50	0.55128	0.54540	0.53514	0.53420	0.53178	0.53201
100	0.52472	0.52256	0.51588	0.51658	0.51444	0.51562

**Table 6.** The expected values for different estimators for unknown scale parameter  $\beta$  of Gamma distribution when  $\beta = 1$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	1.27605	1.22115	1.19739	1.16928	1.10595	1.11258
30	1.16913	1.14735	1.11661	1.11124	1.06368	1.07708
50	1.10256	1.14735	1.07027	1.11124	1.04133	1.07708
100	1.04945	1.04511	1.03176	1.03317	1.01838	1.02428

**Table 7.** The MSE values for different estimators for unknown scale parameter  $\beta$  of Gamma distribution when  $\beta = 0.5$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	0.09335	0.06904	0.06875	0.05533	0.05957	0.05055
30	0.04509	0.03596	0.03113	0.02778	0.02835	0.02615
50	0.02224	0.01936	0.01490	0.01465	0.01408	0.01412
100	0.01023	0.00824	0.00681	0.00627	0.00662	0.00615

**Table 8.** The MSE values for different estimators for unknown scale parameter  $\beta$  of Gamma distribution when  $\beta = 1$

n \ Method	$\hat{\alpha}_{MO}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$		$\hat{\alpha}_{BE}$	
	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$	$\beta=0.5$	$\beta=1$
20	0.37339	0.27617	0.27500	0.22131	0.18993	0.17587
30	0.18037	0.14383	0.12452	0.11114	0.09764	0.09486
50	0.08896	0.14383	0.05961	0.11114	0.05129	0.09490
100	0.04091	0.03295	0.02723	0.02508	0.02535	0.02386

## References

1. Hogg, R.V.; McKean, J.W.; Craig, A.T. *Introduction to Mathematical Statistics*, 7th ed., Pearson Education Publication, **2014**.
2. Apolloni, B.; Bassis, S. Algorithmic inference of two-parameter gamma distribution. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*. **2009**, 38, 9, 1950 – 1968.
3. Douglas, C.M.; George, C.R. *Applied Statistics and Probability for Engineering*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. **2003**.
4. Sahoo, S.A. *Study on Bayesian Estimation of Parameters of Some Well Known Distribution Functions*; Master thesis, Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Rourkela-769008, India, **2014**.
5. He, H.; Zhou, N.; Zhang, R. On estimation for the Pareto distribution. *Statistical Methodology*. **2014**, 21, 49–58.
6. Alabadee, F.A.; Al-Mayali, Y.M.; Neama, I.A. A comparison between the Bayesian and the classical estimators of weibull distribution. *J. Kufa Math. Comput.* **2013**, 1, 8, 21-28.
7. Li, J.; Ren, H. Estimation of one parameter exponential family under a precautionary loss function based on record values. *International Journal of Engineering and Manufacturing*. **2012**. 2, 3, 75-81.