



Complex of Lascoux in Partition (3,3,2)

Haytham R. Hassan

Alaa Omer Aziz

Received in :26 October 2014 , Accepted in:5 January 2015

Abstract

In this paper, the complex of Lascoux in the case of partition (3,3,2) has been studied by using diagrams ,divided power of the place polarization $\partial_{ij}^{(k)}$,Capelli identities and the idea of mapping Cone .

Key words: Divided power algebra, Resolution of Weyl module, Place polarization, Mapping Cone.

1. Introduction

Let R be commutative ring with 1, F be a free module and $D_s F$ be the divided power of degree s . In [1] Buchsbaum used another type of maps whose images define Schur and Weyl modules which sends an element $a \otimes b$ of $D_{p+k} \otimes D_{q-k}$ to $\sum a_p \otimes a'_k b$, where $\sum a_p \otimes a'_k$ is the component of the diagonal of a in $D_p \otimes D_k$, the generalization of this map to ones, where there more factors were called in the 'box map'.

In [3], [4] and [5] the author studied the complex of characteristic zero in the partition $(2,2,2)$, $(3,3,3)$ and $(4,4,3)$, using this modified and the letter place methods [3], In this paper we study the complex of Lascoux in the case of partition $(3,3,2)$ as a diagram by using the idea of the mapping Cone [6], and the map $\partial_{ij}^{(k)}$ which means the k^{th} divided power of the place polarization ∂_{ij} where j must be less than i with it's Capelli identities [1], specifically in this work we used only the following identities

$$\partial_{32}^{(l)} \partial_{21}^{(k)} = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \partial_{21}^{(k-\alpha)} \partial_{32}^{(l-\alpha)} \partial_{31}^{(\alpha)} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\partial_{21}^{(k)} \partial_{32}^{(l)} = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} (-1)^\alpha \partial_{32}^{(l-\alpha)} \partial_{21}^{(k-\alpha)} \partial_{31}^{(\alpha)} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} = \partial_{31}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} = \partial_{31}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} \quad (1.3)$$

Where ∂_{ij} is the place polarization from place j to place i .

2. The terms of Lascoux complex in the case of partition

(3, 3, 2)

The terms of the Lascoux complex are obtained from the determinantal expansion of the Jacobi-Trudi matrix of the partition. The positions of the terms of the complex are determined by the length of the permutation to which they correspond [2], [3]. Now in the case of the partition $\lambda = (3,3,2)$, we have the following matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_3 F & D_2 F & D_0 F \\ D_4 F & D_3 F & D_1 F \\ D_5 F & D_4 F & D_2 F \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the Lascoux complex has the correspondence between it's terms as follows:

$$D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F \leftrightarrow \text{identity}$$

$$D_3 F \otimes D_1 F \otimes D_4 F \leftrightarrow (23)$$

$$D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \otimes D_5 F \leftrightarrow (123)$$

$$D_2 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \leftrightarrow (23)$$

$$D_0 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_5 F \leftrightarrow (13)$$

$$D_0 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \leftrightarrow (132)$$

So, the complex of Lascoux in the case of the partition $\lambda = (3,3,2)$ has the form:-

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F & & D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F & & \\
 D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F & \rightarrow & \oplus & \rightarrow & \oplus & \rightarrow & D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F \\
 & & D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F & & D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F & &
 \end{array}$$

3.The complex of Lascoux as a diagram

Consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F & \xrightarrow{p_1} & D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F & \xrightarrow{p_2} & D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F & & \\
 \downarrow k_1 & & \downarrow k_2 & & \downarrow k_3 & & \\
 D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F & \xrightarrow{q_1} & D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F & \xrightarrow{q_2} & D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F & &
 \end{array}$$

So, if we define

$$p_1 : D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F \rightarrow D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F$$

as $p_1(v) = \partial_{21}(v)$ where ; $v \in D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F$

$$k_1 : D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F \rightarrow D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F$$

as $k_1(v) = \partial_{32}(v)$ where ; $v \in D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F$

$$k_2 : D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F \rightarrow D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F$$

as $k_2(v) = \partial_{32}^{(2)}(v)$ where ; $v \in D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F$.

Now, we have to define the following map which makes the diagram S commutative:

$$q_1 : D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \rightarrow D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F$$

so we have:

$$q_1 \circ k_1 = k_2 \circ p_1$$

which implies that

$$q_1 \circ \partial_{32} = \partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21}$$

Now we use Capelli identities from (1.1), (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21} &= \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)} + \partial_{31}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} \\ &= (\partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{31}^{(1)}) \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus , $q_1 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{31}^{(1)}$.

On the other hand, if we define

$$q_2 : D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F \rightarrow D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F$$

as $q_2(v) = \partial_{21}(v)$ where ; $v \in D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F$

and $k_3 : D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F \rightarrow D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F$

as $k_3(v) = \partial_{32}(v)$ where ; $v \in D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F$.

Now we need to define p_2 to make the diagram H commute:

$$p_2 : D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F \rightarrow D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F .$$

Such that $k_3 \circ p_2 = q_2 \circ k_2$ i.e. $\partial_{32} \circ p_2 = \partial_{21} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)}$

again by using Capelli identities we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)} &= \partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} \\ &= \partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ (\frac{1}{2} \partial_{32}^{(1)} - \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

then $p_2 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)}$.

Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F & \xrightarrow{p_1} & D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F & \xrightarrow{p_2} & D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F \\ \downarrow k & & \searrow \omega & & \downarrow F \\ D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F & \xrightarrow{q_1} & D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F & \xrightarrow{q_2} & D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F \end{array}$$

k_3

Define $\omega : D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \rightarrow D_2 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F$

by $\omega(v) = \partial_{21}^{(2)}(v)$ where ; $v \in D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F$.

Proposition 3.1: The diagram E is commutative.

proof:- To prove E is commutative, we need to prove $p_2 \circ p_1 = \omega \circ k_1$

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 \circ p_1 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)}\right) \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} \\ &= \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} \\ &= \partial_{21}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} \\ &= \partial_{21}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} \\ &= \omega \circ k_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2: The diagram F is commutative .

proof:-

$$\begin{aligned} q_2 \circ q_1 &= \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{31}^{(1)}\right) \\ &= \partial_{21}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} \\ &= \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)} - \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} + \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} \\ &= \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)} \\ &= k_3 \circ \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by using the mapping Cone we can define the maps σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3 : D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F &\longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F \\ \oplus \\ D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \end{array} \\ \sigma_2 : \begin{array}{c} D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F \\ \oplus \\ D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \end{array} &\longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F \\ \oplus \\ D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_1 : \begin{array}{c} D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F \\ \oplus \\ D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F \end{array} \longrightarrow D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F$$

$$0 \rightarrow D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F \xrightarrow{\sigma_3} \begin{array}{c} D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F \\ \oplus \\ D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \end{array} \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \begin{array}{c} D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F \\ \oplus \\ D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F \end{array} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F$$

by

- $\sigma_3(x) = (p_1(x), k_1(x)); \forall x \in D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F$
- $\sigma_2((x_1, x_2)) = (p_2(x_1) - \omega(x_2)), k_1(x_2) - k_2(x_1);$

$$\forall (x_1, x_2) \in \begin{array}{c} D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F \\ \oplus \\ D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \end{array}$$

- $\sigma_1((x_1, x_2)) = (k_3(x_1) + q_2(x_2));$

$$\forall (x_1, x_2) \in \begin{array}{c} D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F \\ \oplus \\ D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.3 :

$$0 \rightarrow D_5 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_0 F \xrightarrow{\sigma_3} \begin{array}{c} D_4 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_0 F \\ \oplus \\ D_5 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_1 F \end{array} \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} \begin{array}{c} D_3 F \otimes D_4 F \otimes D_1 F \\ \oplus \\ D_4 F \otimes D_2 F \otimes D_2 F \end{array} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} D_3 F \otimes D_3 F \otimes D_2 F$$

is complex.

proof:-

Since $\partial_{32}^{(1)}$ and $\partial_{21}^{(1)}$ are injective from it is definition (see [1]), then we get σ_3 is injective.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3(x) &= \sigma_2(p_1(x), k_1(x)) \\ &= \sigma_2(\partial_{21}(x), \partial_{32}(x)) \\ &= (p_2(\partial_{21}(x) - \omega(\partial_{32}(x))), q_1(\partial_{32}(x)) - k_2(\partial_{21}(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(\partial_{21}(x)) - \omega(\partial_{32}(x)) &= (\frac{1}{2} \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)}) \circ \partial_{21}(x) - \partial_{21}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{32}(x) \\ &= (\partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21} - \partial_{21}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{32})(x) \\ &= (\partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)} - \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} - \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)} + \partial_{21} \circ \partial_{31})(x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(\partial_{32}(x)) - g_2(\partial_{21}(x)) &= (\partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{31}^{(1)}) \circ \partial_{31}(x) - \partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21}(x) \\ &= (\partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)} + \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31} - \partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21})(x) \\ &= (\partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{32} \circ \partial_{31} + \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31} - \partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21})(x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

so we get $(\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3)(x) = 0$.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2)(x_1, x_2) &= \sigma_1(p_2(x_1) - \omega(x_2), q_2(x_2) - k_2(x_1)) \\
 &= \sigma_1((\frac{1}{2}\partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)})(x_1) - \partial_{21}^{(2)}(x_2), (\frac{1}{2}\partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{31}^{(1)})(x_2) - \partial_{32}^{(2)}(x_1)) \\
 &= \partial_{32}^{(1)}(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{31}^{(1)})(x_1) - \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)}(x_2) \\
 &\quad + \partial_{21}^{(1)}(\partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \frac{1}{2}\partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{31}^{(1)})(x_2) - \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)}(x_1) \\
 &= (\partial_{32}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(1)} - \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} - \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)})(x_1) \\
 &\quad + (\partial_{21}^{(2)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(1)} + \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} - \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)})(x_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

from then (1.1) and (1.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)(x_1, x_2) &= (\partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)} + \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} - \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} - \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{32}^{(2)})(x_1) \\
 &\quad + (\partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)} - \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} + \partial_{21}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{31}^{(1)} - \partial_{32}^{(1)} \circ \partial_{21}^{(2)})(x_2) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] Buchsbaum D.A. and Rota G.C., (2001), Approches to resolution of Weyl modules, Adv. In applied Math. 27 , 82-191.
- [2] Akin K., Buchshaum D.A. and Weyman J., (1982), Schur functors and complexes, Adv. Math. 44, 207-278.
- [3] Buchsbaum D.A., (1986) A characteristic-free realizations of the Giambelli and Jacoby-Trudi determinantal identities, proc. of K.I.T. workshop on Algebra and Topology, Springer-Verlag.
- [4] Haytham R. Hassan, (2006), Application of the characteristic-free resolution of Weyl Module to the Lascoux resolution in the case (3,3,3). Ph. D. thesis, universita di Roma "Tor Vergata".
- [5] Haytham R. Hassan, (2012), The Reduction of Resolution of Weyl Module from Characteristic-Free Resolution in Case (4,4,3), J. Ibn Al-Haitham for pur and applied science, 25, 341-355.
- [6] Rotman J.J., (1979), Introduction to homological algebra, Academic Press, INC.

سلسلة لاسكو في التجزئة (3,3,2)

هيثم رزوقي حسن

آلاء عمر عزيز

الجامعة المستنصرية/كلية العلوم/قسم الرياضيات

أستلم البحث في: 26 تشرين الاول 2014 , قبل البحث في: 5 كانون الثاني 2015

الخلاصة

درست في هذا البحث سلسلة لاسكو في حالة التجزئة (3,3,2) بأعتماد المخططات ، والقوى المقسمة لاستقطاب مكان $\partial_{ij}^{(k)}$ مع مشخصات كابللي وتطبيقات كون .

الكلمات المفتاحية: القوى المقسمة الجبرية ،تحلل مقاس وايل ،مكان الاستقطاب ،تطبيق كون .