

# On Pairwise Semi-p-separation Axioms in Bitopological Spaces

R. N.Majeed

Department of Mathematics, College of Education Ibn Al- Haitham,  
University of Baghdad

Received in : 10 October 2010

Accepted in : 13 March 2011

## Abstract

In this paper, we define a new type of pairwise separation axioms called pairwise semi-p-separation axioms in bitopological spaces, also we study some properties of these spaces and relationships of each one with the ordinary separation axioms in the bitopological spaces.

**Keywords:** Bitopological space, pairwise semi-p- $T_0$ - space, pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ - space, pairwise semi-p- $T_2$ - space, pairwise semi-p-regular space, pairwise semi-p-normal space.

## 1-Introduction

The theory of bitopological spaces started with the paper of Kelly in [1]. A set equipped with two topologies is called a bitopological space. Since then several authors continued investigating such spaces. Furthermore, Kelly extended some of the standard results of separation axioms in a topological space to a bitopological space, such extensions are pairwise regular, pairwise Hausdorff and pairwise normal, concepts of pairwise  $T_2$  and pairwise  $T_1$  were introduced by Murdeshwar and Naimpally in [2].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate the notion of pairwise semi-p-separation axioms in bitopological spaces and study some properties of these spaces and relationships of each one with the ordinary separation axioms in the bitopological spaces.

## 2- Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some definitions and propositions, which is necessary for the paper.

**Definition 2.1**[3]:

A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a *pre-open set* if  $A \subseteq \overline{A}^{\tau}$ . The complement of pre-open set is called *pre-closed set*.

The family of all pre-open subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $PO(X)$ . The family of all pre-closed subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $PC(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.2** [4]:

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, then:

- 1-Every open set is a pre-open set.
- 2-Every closed set is a pre-closed set.

But the converse of (1) and (2) is not true in general.

**Proposition 2.3 [4]:**

The union of any family of pre-open sets is a pre-open set.

**Definition 2.4[3]:**

The union of all pre-open sets contained in  $A$  is called the *pre-interior of  $A$* , denoted by  $\text{pre-int } A$ .

The intersection of all pre-closed sets containing  $A$  is called the *pre-closure of  $A$* , and is denoted by  $\text{pre-cl } A$ .

**Proposition 2.5 [4]:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A, B$  be any two subsets of  $X$ , then:

$$\text{pre-cl } A \cup \text{pre-cl } B \subseteq \text{pre-cl } (A \cup B).$$

**Definition 2.6 [4]:**

A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *semi-p-open set* if and only if there exists a pre-open set in  $X$ , say  $U$ , such that  $U \subseteq A \subseteq \text{pre-cl } U$ .

The family of all semi-p-open sets of  $X$  is denoted by  $S-P(X)$ .

The complement of semi-p-open set is called *semi-p-closed set*.

The family of all semi-p-closed sets of  $X$  is denoted by  $S-P-C(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.7 [4]:**

- 1- Every open (closed) set is semi-p-open (closed) set respectively.
- 2- Every pre-open (pre-closed) set is semi-p-open (semi-p-closed) set respectively.

Also, the converse of (1) and (2) is not true in general.

**Proposition 2.8:**

The union of any family of semi-p-open sets is semi-p-open set.

**Proof:**

Let  $\{A_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \Delta$  be any family of semi-p-open sets in  $X$ , we must prove  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$  is a semi-p-open set, since  $A_\alpha$  is semi-p-open set, for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , which implies there exists a pre-open set  $U_\alpha$  such that  $U_\alpha \subseteq A_\alpha \subseteq \text{pre-cl } U_\alpha$ .

Thus  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{pre-cl } U_\alpha$  and from (Proposition 2.3 and 2.5) we have a pre-open set  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha$  such that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \subseteq \text{pre-cl}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha)$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$  is a semi-p-open set. ■

**Definition 2.9 [4]:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ , then:

- 1- The union of all semi-p-open sets contained in  $A$  is called the *semi-p-interior of  $A$* , denoted by  $\text{semi-p-int } A$ .
- 2- The intersection of all semi-p-closed sets containing  $A$  is called the *semi-p-closure of  $A$* , and denoted by  $\text{semi-p-cl } A$ .

**Definition 2.10 [4]:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $x \in X$ . A subset  $N$  of  $X$  is said to be *semi-p-neighborhood of  $x$*  if and only if there exists a semi-p-open set  $G$ , such that  $x \in G \subseteq N$ . We shall use the symbol  $\text{nbhd}$ . instead of the word neighborhood.

If  $N$  is semi-p-open subset of  $X$ , then  $N$  is a semi-p-open  $\text{nbhd}$  of  $x$ .

**Proposition 2.11:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, then every semi-p-nbd is a semi-p-open set.

**Proof:**

Let  $N$  be any semi-p-nbds for each of its points, that is means for each  $x \in N$ , there exists a semi-p- open set  $G$  such that  $x \in G \subseteq N$ . now we must prove  $N$  is a semi-p-open set, since  $N = \bigcup_{x \in N} \{x\}$  and since  $N$  is a semi-p- nbd for all  $x \in N$ .

Thus  $N = \bigcup_{x \in N} \{G: G \text{ is a semi-p-open set such that } x \in G \subseteq N\}$ , and from (Proposition 2.8) we have  $N$  is a semi-p-open set. ■

**Definition 2.12 [1]:**

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, let  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be any two topologies on  $X$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a bitopological space.

**Note 2.13:**

In the space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , we shall denote to the set of all semi-p- open sets in  $\tau_1$  ( $\tau_2$ ) by  $S-P(X, \tau_1)$  ( $S-P(X, \tau_2)$ ) respectively.

**Definition 2.14 [2]:**

A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be:

- 1- **Pairwise  $T_0$ -space** if for every pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  such that  $x \neq y$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -open set containing  $x$  but not  $y$  or  $y$  but not  $x$  or a  $\tau_2$ -open set containing  $y$  but not  $x$  or  $x$  but not  $y$ .
- 2- **Pairwise  $T_1$ -space** if for every pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  such that  $x \neq y$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -open set  $U$  and a  $\tau_2$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U, y \in U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ .

**Definition 2.15[1]:**

A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be:

- 1- **Pairwise  $T_2$ -space** if every two distinct points in  $X$  can be separated by disjoint  $\tau_1$ -open set and  $\tau_2$ -open sets.
- 2- **Pairwise regular space**, if for each point  $x \in X$  and each  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $F$  not containing  $x$ , there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  and  $\tau_j$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U, F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ .
- 3- **Pairwise normal space**, if for each  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $A$  and  $\tau_j$ -closed set  $B$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , there exist sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U$  is  $\tau_j$ -open,  $V$  is  $\tau_i$ -open,  $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset, i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$ .

**3-Pairwise semi-p-separation axioms**

We begin with the definition of pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ -spaces.

**Definition 3.1:**

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ -space* if for any pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set or  $\tau_2$ -semi-p-open set which contains one of them but not the other.

**Proposition 3.2:**

If a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $T_3$ - space, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ - space.

**Proof:**

For any  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ , we must prove there exists a semi-p-open in  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$  which contains one of them but not the other.

Now, let  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $T_3$ - space, then there exists open set  $U$  in  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . But from (Proposition 2.7 part (1)) there exists semi-p-open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . Thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ - space. ■

**Remark 3.3:**

The converse of (Proposition 3.2 ) is not true in general, as the following example shows:

**Example 1:**

Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{2, 3\}\}$ ,  $PO(X, \tau_1) = S-P(X, \tau_1) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ ,  $PO(X, \tau_2) = S-P(X, \tau_2) = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ . Then, clearly the space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ - space, but not pairwise  $T_3$ - space, since  $2 \neq 3$  in  $X$  but there is no open set  $U \in \tau_1$  or  $U \in \tau_2$  such that  $2 \in U$  and  $3 \notin U$ .

**Theorem 3.4 :**

For a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent :

- (1)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_3$  -space .
- (2) For every  $x \in X, \{x\} = \tau_1 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\} \cap \tau_2 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\}$ .
- (3) For every  $x \in X$ , the intersection of all  $\tau_1 - \text{semi-p-neighbourhoods of } x$  and all  $\tau_2 - \text{semi-p-neighbourhoods of } x$  is  $\{x\}$ .

**Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)**

Suppose  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$  or a  $\tau_2$ -semi-p-open set  $V$  containing  $y$  but not  $x$ . That means mean either  $x \notin \tau_1 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{y\}$  or  $y \notin \tau_2 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\}$ .

Hence for a point  $x, y \notin \tau_1 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\} \cap \tau_2 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\}$ . Thus  $\{x\} = \tau_1 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\} \cap \tau_2 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\}$ . **(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)**

Suppose there exists  $y \neq x$  such that  $y$  belongs to the intersection of all  $\tau_1 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } x$  and all  $\tau_2 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } x$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ -space, implies  $\tau_1 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\} \cap \tau_2 - \text{semi-p-cl}\{x\} \neq \{x\}$  which is a contradiction, thus the intersection of all  $\tau_1 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } x$  and all  $\tau_2 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } x$  is  $\{x\}$ .

**(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)**

Let  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , since  $\{x\} =$  the intersection of all  $\tau_1 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } x$  and  $\tau_2 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } x$ . Hence, there exists either on  $\tau_1 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } y$  not containing  $x$  or a  $\tau_2 - \text{semi-p-nbds of } y$  not containing  $x$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ -space. ■

**Theorem 3.5:**

The product of an arbitrary family of pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ -spaces is pairwise semi-p- $T_3$ -space.

**Proof:**

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \prod_{\alpha \in A} (X_\alpha, \tau_{1_\alpha}, \tau_{2_\alpha})$  be the product of an arbitrary family of pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -spaces, where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are the product topologies on X generated by  $\tau_{1_\alpha}, \tau_{2_\alpha}$  respectively and  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ .

Let x and y be two distinct points of X. Hence  $x_\lambda \neq y_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in A$ . But  $(X_\lambda, \tau_{1_\lambda}, \tau_{2_\lambda})$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -space, therefore, there exists either a  $\tau_{1_\lambda}$ -semi-p-open set  $U_\lambda$  containing  $x_\lambda$  but not  $y_\lambda$  or a  $\tau_{2_\lambda}$ -semi-p-open set  $V_\lambda$  containing  $y_\lambda$  but not  $x_\lambda$ . Define  $U = \prod_{\alpha \neq \lambda} X_\alpha \times U_\lambda$  and  $V = \prod_{\alpha \neq \lambda} X_\alpha \times V_\lambda$ . Then U is a  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set and V is  $\tau_2$ -semi-p-open set, also, U contains x but not y. Hence  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} (X_\alpha, \tau_{1_\alpha}, \tau_{2_\alpha})$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -space. ■

**Definition 3.6:**

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -space*, if for any pair of distinct points x and y in X, there exists a  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set U and  $\tau_2$ -semi-p-open set V such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ .

**Proposition 3.7:**

If a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $T_1$ -space, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -space.

**Proof:**

For any  $x \neq y$  in X, since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $T_1$ -space, then there exists  $\tau_1$ -open set U and  $\tau_2$ -open set V such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ . And since every open set is semi-p-open set ( by Proposition 2.7 part (1)), which implies U is semi-p-open set in  $\tau_1$  containing x but not y and V is semi-p-open set in  $\tau_2$  containing y but not x. Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -space. ■

**Remark 3.8:**

The converse of (Proposition 3.7) is not true in general as the following example shows: Consider Example 1, where:

$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}, \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}\}, \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{2, 3\}\},$$

$$PO(X, \tau_1) = S-P(X, \tau_1) = \{\{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\},$$

$PO(X, \tau_2) = S-P(X, \tau_2) = \{\{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ . Then, clearly that the space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -space, but not pairwise  $T_1$ -space, since  $2 \neq 3$  in X, but there is no  $\tau_1$ -open set containing 2 but not containing 3 and there is no  $\tau_2$ -open set containing 3 but not 2.

**Theorem 3.9:**

The product of an arbitrary family of pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -spaces is pairwise semi-p- $T_1$ -space.

**Proof:** Similar to the proof of ( Theorem 3.5). ■

**Definition 3.10:**

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *pairwise semi-p-T<sub>2</sub>-space*, if for any pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  and  $\tau_2$ -semi-p-open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 3.11:**

If a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise -T<sub>2</sub>- space, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-T<sub>2</sub>- space.

**Proof:** similar of the proof of (Proposition 3.7). ■

**Remark 3.12:**

The converse of (Proposition 3.11) is not true in general; consider example 1:

$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}, \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}\}, \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{2, 3\}\},$$

$$PO(X, \tau_1) = S-P(X, \tau_1) = \{\{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\},$$

$PO(X, \tau_2) = S-P(X, \tau_2) = \{\{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ , clearly  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-T<sub>2</sub>- space, but not pairwise -T<sub>2</sub>- space, since  $2 \neq 3$  in  $X$ , but there is no two disjoint open sets in  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , which contain 2 and 3 respectively.

**Theorem 3.13:**

For a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1-  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-T<sub>2</sub>- space.
- 2- For each  $x \in X$  and for each  $y \in X$  such that  $y \neq x$ , there exists a  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $y \notin \tau_2$ -semi-pclU.
- 3- For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} \cap \{\tau_2$ -semi-pclU :  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set}.
- 4- The diagonal  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  is a semi-p-closed subset of  $(X \times X, \tau_{X \times X})$ .

**Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)**

Let  $x \in X$ , be given and consider  $y \in X$  such that  $y \neq x$ , since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-T<sub>2</sub>- space, there exists  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  and  $\tau_2$ -semi-p-open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $y \notin \tau_2$ -semi-pclU, since we have a semi-p-open set  $V$  such that  $y \in V$ , but  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)**

Suppose that there exists  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , such that  $y \in \{\tau_2$ -semi-pclU :  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set}; implies  $y \in \tau_2$ -semi-pclU;  $x \in U$  for all  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set  $U$ , which is a contradiction, thus for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} \cap \{\tau_2$ -semi-pclU :  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set}.

**(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)**

To prove  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  is a semi-p-closed subset of  $(X \times X, \tau_{X \times X})$ , that is mean we must prove  $X \times X \setminus \Delta$  is semi-p-open subset of  $(X \times X, \tau_{X \times X})$ .

Let  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus \Delta$ , which implies that  $x \neq y$ . In view of (3), there exists a  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  containing  $x$  and  $y \notin \tau_2$ -semi-pclU.

We know that  $U \cap (X \setminus \tau_2\text{-semi-pcl}U) = \emptyset$ . Also, we have  $y \in (X \setminus \tau_2\text{-semi-pcl}U)$ . So  $(x, y) \in U \times (X \setminus \tau_2\text{-semi-pcl}U) \subset X \times X \setminus \Delta$ . But  $U \cap (X \setminus \tau_2\text{-semi-pcl}U)$  is a  $\tau_{X \times X}$ -semi-p-open set, so  $X \times X \setminus \Delta$  is a  $\tau_{X \times X}$ -semi-p-nbd of each of its points. Thus  $\Delta$  is  $\tau_{X \times X}$ -semi-p-closed set.

**(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)**

Let  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , hence  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus \Delta$ . Since  $\Delta$  is  $\tau_{X \times X}$ -semi-p-closed set,  $X \times X \setminus \Delta$  is a semi-p-nbd of each of its points. Therefore, there exists a  $\tau_{X \times X}$ -semi-p-open set  $U \times V$  containing  $(x, y)$  and contained in  $X \times X \setminus \Delta$ , then  $U$  is  $\tau_1$ -semi-p-open set and  $V$  is  $\tau_2$ -semi-p-open set, also  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ , since  $U \times V \subset X \times X \setminus \Delta$ ,  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p- $T_2$ -space. ■

**Definition 3.14:**

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be *pairwise semi-p-regular-space*, if for each  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $F$  and for each point  $x \in F$ , there exist  $\tau_i$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  and  $\tau_j$ -semi-p-open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U, F \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , where  $i, j=1, 2, i \neq j$ .

**Proposition 3.15:**

Every pairwise regular space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-regular-space.

**Proof:**

Let  $F$  be any  $\tau_i$ -closed set and let  $x \in X$ , such that  $x \in F$ , since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise regular space, there exist  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  and  $\tau_j$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U, F \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

And from (Proposition 2.5 part (1)), we have  $\tau_i$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  and  $\tau_j$ -semi-p-open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U, F \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-regular-space. ■

**Remark 3.16:**

The converse of (Proposition 3.15) is not true in general, as the following example shows:

Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 3\}\}$ , then

$S-P(X, \tau_1) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ .

$S-P(X, \tau_2) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ . Then  $X$  is pairwise semi-p-regular-space, but not pairwise regular space since  $\{3\}$  is closed set in  $\tau_1$  and  $1 \notin \{3\}$ , but for any  $\tau_1$ -open set containing 1 and for any  $\tau_2$ -open set containing  $\{3\}$ , its intersection is not empty.

**Theorem 3.17:**

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-regular-space if and only if for each point  $x$  in  $X$  and every  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $F$  not containing  $x$  there is a  $\tau_i$ -semi-p-open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $(\tau_j\text{-semi-pcl}U) \cap F = \emptyset$ .

**Proof:**

Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-regular-space, let  $x \in X$  and  $F$  is any  $\tau_i$ -closed set such that  $x \notin F$ , implies  $X \setminus F$  is  $\tau_i$ -open set containing  $x$  and since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise

semi-p-regular- space, there is a  $\tau_i$ - semi-p-open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subset \tau_i$  semi  $\text{pcl}(U) \subset X \setminus F$ . Hence  $(\tau_i \text{ semi } \text{pcl}(U)) \cap F = \emptyset$ .

Conversely, let  $F$  be any  $\tau_i$ - closed set and  $x \notin F$ , then there exists a  $\tau_i$ - semi-p-open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $(\tau_i \text{ semi } \text{pcl}(U)) \cap F = \emptyset$ .

Let  $V = X \setminus (\tau_j \text{ semi } \text{pcl}(U))$ , then  $V$  is  $\tau_j$ -semi-p-open set such that  $F \subset V, x \in U$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-regular- space. ■

**Definition 3.18:**

A space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be *pairwise semi-p-normal- space*, if for each  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $A$  and  $\tau_j$ - closed set  $B$  disjoint from  $A$ , there exist  $\tau_j$ - semi-p-open set  $U$  and  $\tau_i$ - semi-p-open set  $V$  such that  $A \subset U, B \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , where  $i, j=1, 2, i \neq j$ .

**Proposition 3.19:**

Every pairwise normal space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-normal- space.

**Proof:**

Let  $A, B$  be two closed disjoint sets in  $\tau_i, \tau_j; i, j = 1, 2$  (respectively), since  $X$  is pairwise normal space, there exist  $\tau_j$ - open set  $U$  and  $\tau_i$ - open set  $V$  such that  $A \subset U, B \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , but from (Proposition 2.4 part (1))  $U, V$  semi-p-open sets which contains  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Thus  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-normal- space. ■

**Remark 3.20:**

The converse of Proposition 3.19 is not true in general, as the following example shows: Consider example 2, where:

$$X = \{1, 2, 3\}, \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}\}, \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 3\}\},$$

$$S\text{-}P(X, \tau_1) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\},$$

$$S\text{-}P(X, \tau_2) = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}.$$

Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise semi-p-normal- space, but not pairwise normal space, since  $\{3\}$  and  $\{2\}$  are closed disjoint sets in  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_1$  respectively but for any open set in  $\tau_2$  which containing  $\{3\}$  and any open set in  $\tau_1$  which containing  $\{2\}$ , its intersection is not empty.

**References**

1. Kelly, J. C. (1963), Bitopological Spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13: 71-89.
2. Murdeshwar, N. G. and Naimpally, S. A. (1966), Quasi-uniform Compact Spaces, P. Noordhoff, Groningen.
3. Nour, T. M. (1995), A note on Five Separation Axioms in Bitopological Spaces, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 26(7): 669-674.
4. Al-Kazragi, R. B. (2004), On semi-p-open sets, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Education Ibn-Al- Haitham.



# حول بديهيات الفصل شبه - P - على الفضاءات التبولوجية الثنائية

رشا ناصر مجيد

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية - ابن الهيثم، جامعة بغداد

استلم البحث في : 10 تشرين الاول 2010

قبل البحث في : 13 آذار 2011

## الخلاصة

في هذا البحث قمنا بتعريف نوع جديد من بديهيات الفصل على الفضاءات التبولوجية الثنائية التي اسميناها بديهيات الفصل شبه - P ، كذلك درسنا بعض خواص هذه الفضاءات وعلاقات كل نوع مع بديهيات الفصل الاعتيادية في الفضاءات التبولوجية الثنائية.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الفضاء التبولوجي الثنائي، الفضاء شبه -  $T_2$  ، الفضاء شبه -  $T_1$  ، الفضاء شبه -  $p$  -  
 $T_2$  ، الفضاء شبه -  $p$  - القياسي، الفضاء شبه -  $p$  - الاعتيادي.