

A Bibliography of Douglas Walton's Published Works, 1971-2007

Produced by David Godden, this bibliography is based on the list of publications in Douglas Walton's *curriculum vitae*. It is printed here with Professor Walton's consent. For a complete list of Professor Walton's publications, see his webpage: <http://io.uwinnipeg.ca/~walton/>. The 2007 entries include only January-April, 2007.

Items are listed in reverse chronological order by calendar year. Within each year, items are listed in the following groups:

B = Books

J = Journal articles

E = Contributions to edited volumes

P = Published conference proceedings

Within each group, items are listed alphabetically by title, first publications with no author named, which are authored by Douglas Walton alone, followed by co-authored items, listed alphabetically by co-author.

Other abbreviations of journals referenced in the bibliography are:

A&A = *Argumentation and Advocacy*

AI&L = *Artificial Intelligence and Law*

APQ = *American Philosophical Quarterly*

Arg = *Argumentation*

CJP = *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*

IL = *Informal Logic*

Inq = *Inquiry: Critical Thinking Across the Disciplines*

JP = *Journal of Pragmatics*

L&A = *Logique et Analyse*

P&C = *Pragmatics and Cognition*

P&R = *Philosophy and Rhetoric*

Syn = *Synthese*

J = *Journal*

The following are abbreviations of publishers' names:

CUP = Cambridge University Press

ISSA = International Society for the Study of Argumentation

LEA = Lawrence Erlbaum Associates

OUP = Oxford University Press

OSSA = Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation

PSUP = The Pennsylvania State University Press

SUNY = State University of New York Press

2007 & Forthcoming

- B** (forthcoming). *Media Argumentation: Dialectic, Persuasion and Rhetoric*. New York: CUP.
 (forthcoming). *Witness Testimony Evidence: Argumentation, Artificial Intelligence and Law*.
 New York: CUP.
- Walton, D., Macagno, F. & Reed, C. (forthcoming). *Argumentation Schemes*. New York: CUP.
- J** (forthcoming). A commitment search tool for the straw man fallacy. *IL*.
 (forthcoming). Evaluating practical reasoning. *Syn*.
- Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (2007). A theory of presumption for everyday argumentation.
P&C, 15, 313-346.
- Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (forthcoming). Advances in the theory of argumentation schemes
 and critical questions. *IL*.
- Walton, D., Gordon, T. & Prakken, H. (forthcoming). The Carneades model of argument and
 burden of proof. *Artificial Intelligence*.
- Walton, D. & Macagno, F. (forthcoming). Persuasion strategies of quotation manipulation.
Inq.
- Walton, D. & Macagno, F. (forthcoming). Wrenching from context: The manipulation of
 commitments. *Arg*.
- Walton, D., Macagno, F. & Reed, C. (forthcoming). Argument diagramming in logic, law and
 artificial intelligence. *Knowledge Engineering Review*.

2006

- B** (2006). *Character Evidence: An Abductive Theory*. Berlin: Springer.
 (2006). *Fundamentals of Critical Argumentation*. New York: CUP.
- J** (2006). Argument from appearance: A new argumentation scheme. *L&A*, 195, 319-340.
 (2006). Epistemic and dialectical models of begging the question. *Syn*, 152, 237-284.
 (2006). Examination dialogue: An argumentation framework for critically questioning an expert
 opinion. *JP*, 38, 745-777.
 (2006). How to make and defend a proposal in deliberation dialogue. *AI&L*, 14, 177-
 239.
 (2006). Poisoning the well. *Arg*, 20, 273-307.
 (2006). Rules for reasoning from knowledge and lack of knowledge. *Philosophia*, 34, 355-376.
 (2006). Using conversation policies to solve problems of ambiguity in argumentation and
 artificial intelligence. *P&C*, 14, 3-36.
- Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (2006). Argument from expert opinion as legal evidence: Critical
 questions and admissibility criteria of expert testimony in the American legal system. *Ratio
 Juris*, 19, 261-286.
- Walton, D. & Macagno, F. (2006). Common knowledge in argumentation. *Studies in
 Communication Sciences*, 6, 3-26.
- Walton, D., Macagno, F., Reed, C., & Rowe, G. (2006). Araucaria as a tool for diagramming
 arguments in teaching and studying philosophy. *Teaching Philosophy*, 29, 111-124.
- Walton, D. & Schafer, B. (2006). Arthur, George and the mystery of the missing motive:
 Towards a theory of evidentiary reasoning about motives. *International Commentary on
 Evidence*, 4, 1-47.
- E** Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (2006). The impact of argumentation on artificial intelligence. In
 P. Houtlosser & A. van Rees (Eds.), *Considering Pragma-Dialectics* (pp. 287-299).
 Mahwah, NJ: LEA.
- P** (2006). Disagreement space, burden of proof and fallacies. In P. Riley (Ed.), *Engaging Argument:
 Selected Papers from the 2005 NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation* (pp. 426-
 431). Washington DC: National Communication Association.

- Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (2006). Alternatives to suspicion and trust as conditions for challenge in argumentative dialogue. In P. Riley (Ed.), *Engaging Argument: Selected Papers from the 2005 NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation* (pp. 438-444). Washington DC, National Communication Association.
- Walton, D. & Gordon, T. (2006). The Carneades argumentation framework: Using presumptions and exceptions to model critical questions. In *Proceedings of 6th CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop, ECAI (European Conference on Artificial Intelligence), Riva del Garda, Italy, August 28 – September 1* (pp. 5-13). Trento, Italy: University of Trento.
- Walton, D. & Gordon, T. (2006). The Carneades argumentation framework: Using presumptions and exceptions to model critical questions. In P. Dunne & T. Bench-Capon (Eds.), *Computational Models of Argument: Proceedings of COMMA 2006* (pp. 195-207). Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Walton, D. & Gordon, T. (2006). Pierson v. Post revisited. In P. Dunne & T. Bench-Capon (Eds.), *Computational Models of Argument: Proceedings of COMMA 2006* (pp. 208-219). Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Walton, D. & Macagno, F. (2006). Argumentative reasoning patterns. *Proceedings of 6th CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop, ECAI (European Conference on Artificial Intelligence), Riva del Garda, Italy, August 28 – September 1* (pp. 48-51). Trento, Italy: University of Trento.
- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2006). Evaluating corroborative evidence. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Conference of the ISSA* (pp. 881-885). Amsterdam: SicSat.

2005

- B** (2005). *Argumentation Methods for Artificial Intelligence in Law*. Berlin: Springer.
- J** (2005). An automated system for argument invention in law using argumentation and heuristic search procedures. *Ratio Juris*, 18, 434-463.
- (2005). Begging the question in arguments based on testimony. *Arg.* 19, 85-113.
- (2005). Deceptive arguments containing persuasive language and persuasive definitions. *Arg.* 19, 159-186
- (2005). How to evaluate argumentation using schemes, diagrams, critical questions and dialogues. *Studies in Communication Sciences*, Special Issue M. Dascal et. al. (Eds.), *Argumentation in Dialogic Interaction*, 51-74.
- (2005). Justification of argumentation schemes. *The Australasian J of Logic*, 3, 1-13.
- (2005). Pragmatic and idealized models of knowledge and ignorance. *APQ*, 42, 59-69.
- Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (2005). Persuasion dialogue in online dispute resolution. *AI&L*, 13, 273-295.
- Walton, D. & Macagno, F. (2005). Common knowledge in legal reasoning about evidence. *International Commentary on Evidence*, 3, 1-42
- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2005). Argumentation schemes and enthymemes. *Syn*, 145, 339-370.
- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2005). Towards a formal and implemented model of argumentation schemes in agent communication. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 11, 173-188.
- E** (2005). Practical reasoning [revised version]. In P.H. Werhane & R.E. Freeman (Eds.), *The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Management, 2nd ed., vol. 2 Business Ethics* (pp. 414-415). Oxford: Blackwell.
- (2005). Practical reasoning and proposing: Tools for e-democracy. In M. Moens & P. Spyns (Eds.), *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems* (pp. 113-114). Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2005). Towards a formal and implemented model of argumentation schemes in agent communication. In I. Rahwan, P. Moraitis & C. Reed (Eds.), *Argumentation*

in *Multi-Agent Systems: First International Workshop, ArgMAS 2004, Revised Selected and Invited Papers* (pp. 19-30). Berlin: Springer.

- P** (2005). Evaluating practical reasoning. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Norms, Knowledge and Reasoning in Technology Held at Huis Elzendaal, Boxmeer, the Netherlands, June 3-4, 2005*. Eindhoven: Technical University of Eindhoven.
- Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (2005). The nature and status of critical questions in argumentation schemes. In D. Hitchcock & D. Farr (Eds.), *The Uses of Argument: Proceedings of a Conference at McMaster University* (pp. 476-484). Hamilton, ON: OSSA.
- Walton, D. & Gordon, T. (2005). Critical questions in computational models of legal argument. In P. Dunne & T. Bench-Capon (Eds.), *International Workshop on Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and Law (IAAIL) Workshop Series* (pp. 103-111). Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers.
- Walton, D. & Lodder, A.R. (2005). What role can rational argument play in ADR and Online Dispute Resolution? In J. Zelznikow & A.R. Lodder (Eds.), *IAAIL Workshop Series, Second International ODR Workshop* (pp. 69-76). Nijmegen: Wolf Legal Publishers.
- Walton, D., Prakken, H. & Reed, C. (2005). Dialogues about the burden of proof. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, Held June 6-11, 2005 in Bologna, Italy* (pp. 115-124). New York: The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM).

2004

- B** (2004). *Abductive Reasoning*. Tuscaloosa, AB: University of Alabama Press.
- (2004). *Relevance in Argumentation*. Mahwah, NJ: LEA.
- Walton, D., Lodder, A.R. et al. (Eds.) (2004). *Essays on Legal and Technical Aspects of Online Dispute Resolution: Papers from the ICAIL 2003 ODR Workshop, June 28, 2003, Edinburgh*. Amsterdam: Centre for Electronic Dispute Resolution.
- J** (2004). A new dialectical theory of explanation. *Philosophical Explorations*, 7, 71-89.
- (2004). Argumentation schemes and historical origins of the circumstantial *ad hominem* argument. *Arg*, 18, 359-368.
- (2004). Classification of fallacies of relevance. *IL*, 24, 71-103.
- Walton, D. & Godden, D.M. (2004). Denying the antecedent as a legitimate argumentative strategy: A dialectical model. *IL*, 24, 219-243.
- E** (2004). Criteria of rationality for evaluating democratic public rhetoric. In B. Fontana, C.J. Nederman & G. Remer (Eds.), *Talking Democracy: Historical Perspectives on Rhetoric and Democracy* (pp. 295-330). University Park, PA: PSUP.
- P** Walton, D., Prakken, H. & Reed, C. (2004). Argumentation schemes and burden of proof. In F. Grasso, C. Reed & G. Carenini (Eds.), *Working Notes of the 4th International Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument (CMNA 2004)*. Valenca: CMNA.

2003

- J** (2003). Argumentation schemes: The basis of conditional relevance. *Michigan State Law Review*, 2003(4), 1205-1242.
- (2003). Defining conditional relevance using linked arguments and argumentation schemes. *Michigan State DCL Law Review*, 2003(4), 1305-1314.
- (2003). The interrogation as a type of dialogue. *JP*, 35, 1771-1802.
- (2003). Is there a burden of questioning? *AI&L*, 11, 1-43.
- Walton, D., Bex, F., Prakken, H. & Reed, C. (2003). Towards a formal account of reasoning about evidence, argument schemes and generalizations. *AI&L*, 11, 125-165.
- E** Walton, D., Carbogim, D.V., Krabbe, E.C.W. & Norman, T. (2003). Argument and multi-agent systems. In C. Reed & T.J. Norman (Eds.) *Argumentation Machines: New Frontiers in Argument and Computation* (pp. 15-54). Dordrecht: Kluwer.

- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2003). Diagramming, argumentation schemes and critical questions. In F.H. van Eemeren *et. al.* (Eds.), *Anyone Who Has a View: Theoretical Contributions to the Study of Argumentation* (pp. 195-211). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- P** (2003). Commentary on E. Feteris: 'Arguments from unacceptable consequences and a reasonable application of law'. In J.A. Blair *et. al.* (Eds.), *IL @ 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Conference*, CD-ROM. Windsor, ON: OSSA.
- (2003). Informal logic 25 years later. In J.A. Blair *et. al.* (Eds.), *IL @ 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Conference*, CD-ROM. Windsor, ON: OSSA.
- Walton, D., Prakken, H. & Reed, C. (2003). Argumentation schemes and generalisations in reasoning about evidence. In *Proceedings of the Conference: The 9th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, University of Edinburgh* (pp. 32-41). New York: Association for Computing Machinery (ACM).
- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2003). Applications of argumentation schemes. In H.V. Hansen *et. al.* (Eds.), *Argumentation and Its Applications: Proceedings of the 4th OSSA Conference*, CD-ROM. Windsor, ON: OSSA.
- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2003). Argumentation schemes in argument-as-process and argument-as-product. In J.A. Blair *et. al.* (Eds.), *IL @ 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Conference*, CD-ROM. Windsor, ON: OSSA.
- Walton, D. & Reed, C. (2003). Diagramming argumentation schemes and critical questions. In F.H. van Eemeren *et. al.* (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fifth Conference of the ISSA* (pp. 881-885). Amsterdam: SicSat.

2002

- B** (2002). *Ethical Argumentation*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- (2002). *Legal Argumentation and Evidence*. University Park, PA: PSUP.
- J** (2002). Are some *modus ponens* arguments deductively invalid? *IL*, 22, 19-46.
- (2002). The sunk costs fallacy or argument from waste. *Arg*, 16, 473-503.
- E** (2002). Author's preface. In Russian translation *Ad Hominem Arguments* [Originally published (1998) University of Alabama Press.] (pp. 13-14). Moscow: Institute of Sociology of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

2001

- J** (2001). Abductive, presumptive and plausible arguments. *IL*, 21, 141-169.
- (2001). Enthymemes, common knowledge and plausible inference. *P&R*, 34, 93-112.
- (2001). Johnstone's view of rhetorical and dialectical argument. *IL*, 21, 51-60.
- (2001). Persuasive definitions and public policy arguments. *A&A*, 37, 117-132.
- (2001). Searching for the roots of the circumstantial *ad hominem*. *Arg*, 15, 207-221.
- E** (2001). *Ad hominem* argument. In T.O. Sloane (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Rhetoric* (pp. 1-4). Oxford: OUP.
- (2001). Courage [revised version]. In L.C. Becker & C.B. Becker (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Ethics, vol. 1, 2nd ed.* (pp. 352-355). New York: Routledge.
- (2001). Practical reason(ing) [revised version]. In L.C. Becker & C.B. Becker (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Ethics, vol. 1, 2nd ed.* (pp. 1355-1358). New York: Routledge.
- (2001). Persuasive definition. In L.C. Becker & C.B. Becker (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Ethics, vol. 1, 2nd ed.* (pp. 1303-1305). New York: Routledge.

2000

- B** (2000). *Scare Tactics: Arguments that Appeal to Fear and Threats*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Walton, D., Irvine, A. & Woods, J. (2000). *Argument, Critical Thinking, Logic and the Fallacies*. Toronto: PrenticeHall. [Revised and expanded edition of *Argument: The Logic of the Fallacies* (1982).]

- J** (2000). Alfred Sidgwick: A little-known precursor of informal logic and argumentation. *Arg*, 14, 175-179.
- (2000). Case study of the use of the circumstantial *ad hominem* in political argumentation. *P&R*, 33, 101-115.
- (2000). Evaluating appeals to popular opinion. *Inq*, 20, 33-45.
- (2000). New dialectical rules for ambiguity. *IL*, 20, 261-274.
- (2000). Problems and useful techniques: My experience in teaching courses in argumentation, informal logic and critical thinking. *IL*, 20, TS35-TS38.
- (2000). The place of dialogue theory in logic, computer science and communication studies. *Syn*, 123, 327-346.
- (2000). Use of *ad hominem* argument in political discourse: The Battalino case from the impeachment trial of President Clinton. *A&A*, 36, 179-195.
- E** (2000). Argumentation and theory of evidence. In C.M. Breur *et. al.* (Eds.), *New Trends in Criminal Investigation and Evidence*, vol. 2 (pp. 711-732). Antwerp: Intersentia.
- (2000). Conversational logic and appeals to emotion. In C. Plantin, M. Doury & V. Traverso (Eds.), *Les Emotions dans les Interactions* (pp. 295-312). Lyon: Presses Universitaires de Lyon.

1999

- B** (1999). *Appeal to Popular Opinion*. University Park, PA: PSUP.
- (1999). *One-Sided Arguments: A Dialectical Analysis of Bias*. Albany, NY: SUNY.
- (1999). *Slippery Slope Arguments*. Newport News, VA: Vale Press. [Reprint of *Slippery Slope Arguments* (1992).]
- J** (1999). Applying labelled deductive systems and multi-agent systems to source-based argumentation. *J of Logic and Computation*, 9, 63-80.
- (1999). Can an ancient argument of Carneades on cardinal virtues and divine attributes be used to disprove the existence of God? *Philo*, 2, 5-13.
- (1999). Dialectical relevance in persuasion dialogue. *IL*, 19, 119-143.
- (1999). Ethotic arguments and fallacies: The credibility function in multi-agent dialogue systems. *P&C*, 7, 177-203.
- (1999). Francis Bacon: Human bias and the four idols. *Arg*, 13, 385-389.
- (1999). Historical origins of *argumentum ad consequentiam*. *Arg*, 13, 251-264.
- (1999). Peter Ramus. *Arg*, 13, 391-392.
- (1999). Profiles of dialogue for evaluating arguments from ignorance. *Arg*, 13, 53-71.
- (1999). Rethinking the fallacy of hasty generalization. *Arg*, 13, 161-182.
- (1999). The appeal to ignorance, or *argumentum ad ignorantiam*. *Arg*, 13, 367-377.
- (1999). The fallacy of many questions: On the notions of complexity, loadedness and unfair entrapment in interrogative theory. *Arg*, 13, 379-383.
- (1999). The new dialectic: A method of evaluating an argument used for some purpose in a given case. *Protosociology*, 13, 70-91.
- E** (1999). Informal fallacy [Entry for]. In R. Audi (Ed.), *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy*, 2nd ed. (pp. 431-435). New York: CUP.
- (1999). Informal logic [Entry for]. In R. Audi (Ed.), *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy*, ed. 2nd ed. (p. 435). New York: CUP.
- P** (1999). The identity crisis of informal logic. In F.H. van Eemeren *et. al.* (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference of the ISSA* (pp. 853-857). Amsterdam: SicSat.

1998

- B** (1998). *Ad Hominem Arguments*. Tuscaloosa, AB: University of Alabama Press.

(1998). *The New Dialectic: Conversational Contexts of Argument*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

J (1998). A pragmatic model of legal disputation. *Notre Dame Law Review*, 73, 711-735.

E (1998). Fallacies. In E. Craig (Ed.), *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, vol. 3 (pp. 544-545). London: Routledge.

(1998). Formal and informal logic. In E. Craig (Ed.), *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, vol. 3 (pp. 701-703). London: Routledge.

1997

B (1997). *Appeal to Expert Opinion: Arguments from Authority*. University Park, PA: PSUP.

(1997). *Appeal to Pity: Argumentum Ad Misericordiam*. Albany, NY: SUNY.

Walton, D. & Brinton, A. (Eds.). (1997). *Historical Foundations of Informal Logic*. Aldershot, GB: Ashgate Publishing.

J (1997). How can logic best be applied to arguments? *Logic J of the IGPL (Interest Group on Pure and Applied Logic)*, 5, 603-614.

(1997). Judging how heavily a question is loaded: A pragmatic method. *Inq*, 17, 53-71.

(1997). What is propaganda, and exactly what is wrong with it? *Public Affairs Quarterly*, 11, 383-413.

E (1997). Actions and inconsistency: The closure problem of practical reasoning. In G. Holmstrom-Hintikka & R. Tuomela (Eds.), *Contemporary Action Theory*, vol. 1 (pp. 159-175). Dordrecht: Kluwer.

(1997). Practical reasoning. In P.H. Werhane & R.E. Freeman (Eds.), *The Blackwell Encyclopedic Dictionary of Business Ethics* (pp. 495-496). Oxford: Blackwell.

Walton, D. & Brinton, A. (1997). Introduction. In D. Walton & A. Brinton (Eds.), *Historical Foundations of Informal Logic* (pp. 1-11). Aldershot, GB: Ashgate Publishing.

Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1997). *Argumentum ad verecundiam*. In F.H. van Eemeren & R. Grootendorst (Eds.), *Studies Over Argumentatie* (pp. 191-210). Amsterdam: Boom. [Dutch translation of Walton and Woods (1974).]

1996

B (1996). *Argument Structure: A Pragmatic Theory*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

(1996). *Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning*. Mahwah, NJ: LEA.

(1996). *Arguments from Ignorance*. University Park, PA: PSUP.

(1996). *Fallacies Arising from Ambiguity*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

J (1996). New methods for evaluating arguments. *Inquiry: Critical Thinking Across the Disciplines*, 15, 44-65

(1996). Plausible deniability and the evasion of burden of proof. *Arg*, 10, 47-58.

(1996). Practical reasoning and the structure of fear appeal arguments. *P&R*, 29, 301-313.

(1996). The argument of the beard. *IL*, 18, 235-259.

(1996). The witch hunt as a structure of argumentation. *Arg*, 10, 389-407.

E (1996). The straw man fallacy. In J. van Benthem *et. al.* (Eds.), *Logic and Argumentation* (pp. 115-128). Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.

Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1996). Fallacies and formal logic. In F.H. van Eemeren, *et. al.* (Eds.), *Fundamentals of Argumentation Theory* (pp. 389-407). Mahwah, NJ: LEA.

1995

B (1995). *A Pragmatic Theory of Fallacy*. Tuscaloosa, AL: University of Alabama Press.

Walton, D. & Krabbe, E.C.W. (1995). *Commitment in Dialogue*. Albany, NY: SUNY.

J (1995). Appeal to pity: A case study of the *argumentum ad misericordiam*. *Arg*, 9, 769-784.

- E** (1995). Arguments, types of. In T. Honderich (Ed.), *Oxford Companion to Philosophy* (pp. 48-49). New York: OUP.
- (1995). The essential ingredients of the fallacy of begging the question. In H.V. Hansen & R.C. Pinto (Eds.), *Fallacies: Classical and Contemporary Readings* (pp. 229-239). University Park, PA: Penn State University Press.
- (1995). Informal fallacy [Entry for]. In R. Audi (Ed.), *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy* (pp. 372-376). New York: CUP.
- (1995). Informal logic [Entry for]. In R. Audi (Ed.), *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy* (p. 376). New York: CUP.
- P** (1995). The straw man fallacy. In F.H. van Eemeren *et. al.* (Eds.), *Analysis and Evaluation, vol. 2 of Proceedings of the Third ISSA Conference on Argumentation* (pp. 421-434). Amsterdam: SicSat.

1994

- J** (1994). Begging the question as a pragmatic fallacy. *Syn*, 100, 95-131.

1993

- J** (1993). Alethic, epistemic, and dialectical models of argument. *P&R*, 26, 302-310.
- (1993). Commitment, types of dialogue, and fallacies. *IL*, 14, 93-103.
- (1993). Introduction to philosophy and the *argumentum ad hominem*. *Inq*, 12, 24.
- (1993). The normative structure of case study argumentation. *Metaphilosophy*, 24, 207-226.
- (1993). The speech act of presumption. *P&C*, 1, 125-148.
- Walton, D. & Krabbe, E.C.W. (1993). It's all very well for you to talk! Situationally disqualifying *ad hominem* attacks. *IL*, 15, 79-91.

1992

- B** (1992). *Plausible Argument in Everyday Conversation*. Albany, NY: SUNY.
- (1992). *Slippery Slope Arguments*. Oxford: OUP. [Reprinted by Vale Press in 1999.]
- (1992). *The Place of Emotion in Argument*. University Park, PA: PSUP.
- J** (1992). After analytic philosophy, what's next? An analytic philosopher's perspective. *J of Speculative Philosophy*, 6, 123-142.
- (1992). Correctness of argument as a function of respondent's commitment. *Canadian J of Rhetorical Studies*, 2, 52-72.
- (1992). Nonfallacious arguments from ignorance. *APQ*, 29, 381-387.
- (1992). Rules for plausible reasoning. *IL*, 14, 33-51.
- (1992). Which of the fallacies are fallacies of relevance? *Arg*, 6, 237-250.
- E** (1992). Burden of proof [Entry for]. In J. Dancy & E. Sosa (Eds.), *A Companion to Epistemology* (p. 55). Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1992). Circular reasoning [Entry for]. In J. Dancy & E. Sosa (Eds.), *A Companion to Epistemology* (p. 66). Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1992). Courage [Entry for]. In *Encyclopedia of Ethics* (pp. 220-223) New York: Garland Publishing Co.
- (1992). Genetic fallacy [Entry for]. In J. Dancy & E. Sosa (Eds.), *A Companion to Epistemology* (pp. 154-155). Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1992). Informal fallacies [Entry for]. In J. Dancy & E. Sosa (Eds.), *A Companion to Epistemology* (pp. 212-216). Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1992). Practical reasoning [Entry for]. In *Encyclopedia of Ethics* (pp. 996-1000). New York: Garland Publishing Co.
- (1992). Questionable questions in question period: Prospects for an informal logic of

- parliamentary discourse. In E.M. Barth & E.C.W. Krabbe (Eds.), *Logic and Political Culture* (pp. 87-95). Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.
- (1992). Types of dialogue, dialectical shifts and fallacies. In F.H. van Eemeren *et. al.* (Eds.), *Argumentation Illuminated* (pp. 133-147). Amsterdam: SicSat.

1991

- B** (1991). *Begging the Question: Circular Reasoning as a Tactic of Argumentation*. New York: Greenwood Press.
- J** (1991). Bias, critical doubt, and fallacies. *A&A*, 28, 1-22.
- (1991). Critical faults and fallacies of questioning. *JP*, 15, 337-366.
- (1991). Hamblin on the standard treatment of fallacies. *P&R*, 24, 353-361.
- Walton, D. & Krabbe, E.C.W. (1991). *Gemakkelijk Praten! Situationeel Diskwalificerende Aanvallen Ad Hominem*. *Tijdschrift voor Taalbeheersing*, 13, 108-119.
- E** (1991). *Les violations des règles du dialogue raisonné*. In H. Parret (Ed.), *La Communauté en Paroles: Communication, Consensus, Ruptures* (pp. 245-265). Liège: Editions Pierre Mardaga.

1990

- B** (1990). *Practical Reasoning: Goal-Driven, Knowledge-Based, Action-Guiding Argumentation*. Savage, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- J** (1990). Courage, relativism and practical reasoning. *Philosophia*, 20, 227-240.
- (1990). Ignoring qualifications (*secundum quid*) as a subfallacy of hasty generalization. *L&A*, 129/130, 113-154.
- (1990). What is reasoning? What is an argument? *The J of Philosophy*, 87, 399-419.
- E** (1990). *Types de dialogue et glissements dialectiques en argumentation*. In M. Meyer & A. Lempereur (Eds.), *Figures et Conflicts Rhétoriques* (pp. 227-239). Brussels: Editions de l'Université de Bruxelles.

1989

- B** (1989). *Informal Logic: A Handbook for Critical Argumentation*. New York: CUP.
- (1989). *Question-Reply Argumentation*. New York: Greenwood Press.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1989). *Fallacies: Selected Papers 1972-1982*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- J** (1989). Dialogue theory for critical thinking. *Arg*, 3, 169-184.
- (1989). Problems in the use of expert opinion in argumentation. *Communication & Cognition*, 22, 383-389.
- (1989). Reasoned use of expertise in argumentation. *Arg*, 3, 59-73.
- E** (1989). Question-asking fallacies. In M. Meyer (Ed.), *Questions and Questioning* (pp. 195-221). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.

1988

- J** (1988). Burden of Proof. *Arg*, 2, 233-254.
- (1988). Reply to Thomas on models of courage. *Dialogue*, 27, 697-699.
- P** (1988). Argumentation and fallacies: The problems in teaching. In *Philosophie et Culture, Actes/Proceedings: XVIIe Congrès Mondiale de Philosophie, vol. 5* (pp. 373-381). Montreal: Editions Montmorency.

1987

- B** (1987). *Informal Fallacies: Towards a Theory of Argument Criticisms*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- J** (1987). The *ad hominem* argument as an informal fallacy. *Arg*, 1, 317-331.
- (1987). The virtue of courage. *The World & I*, 12, 595-609.

1986

- B** (1986). *Courage: A Philosophical Investigation*. Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- J** Walton, D. and Donen, N. (1986). Ethical decision-making and the critical care team. *Critical Care Clinics*, 2, 101-109.
- Walton, D. & Hobbs, D.C. (1986). Non-treatment of spina bifida babies. *Philosophy Research Archives*, 11, 463-480.
- E** (1986). Chisholm's theory of action. In R.J. Bogdan (Ed.), *Roderick Chisholm* (pp. 169-913). Dordrecht: Reidel.
- P** (1986). What is a fallacy? In F.H. van Eemeren *et. al.* (Eds.), *Argumentation: Across the Lines of Discipline* (pp. 323-330). Dordrecht: Foris.

1985

- B** (1985). *Arguer's Position: A Pragmatic Study of Ad Hominem Attack, Criticism, Refutation and Fallacy*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- (1985). *Physician-Patient Decision-Making: A Study in Medical Ethics*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- J** (1985). Are circular arguments necessarily vicious? *APQ*, 22, 263-274.
- (1985). New directions in the logic of dialogue. *Syn*, 63, 259-274.
- (1985). Pragmatic inferences about actions. *Syn*, 65, 211-233.

1984

- B** (1984). *Logical Dialogue-Games and Fallacies*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.
- J** (1984). Cans, advantages and possible worlds. *Philosophia*, 14, 83-97.
- (1984). Death and dying in medicine: What questions are still worth asking? *Theoretical Medicine*, 5, 121-139.
- Walton, D. & Batten, L.H. (1984). Games, graphs and circular arguments. *L&A*, 106, 133-164.

1983

- B** (1983). *Ethics of Withdrawal of Life-Support Systems: Case Studies on Decision-Making in Intensive Care*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- J** (1983). Enthymemes. *L&A*, 103/104, 395-410.

1982

- B** (1982). *Topical Relevance in Argumentation*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1982). *Argument: The Logic of the Fallacies*. Toronto & New York: McGraw-Hill Ryerson.
- J** (1982). Comments on a medical ethics for the future. *Metamedicine*, 3, 121-124.
- (1982). Neocortical versus whole-brain conceptions of personal death. *Omega: The J of Death and Dying*, 12, 339-344.
- (1982). Philosophy of medicine in Canada. *Metamedicine*, 3, 263-277.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1982). Question-begging and cumulativity in dialectical games. *Noûs*, 4, 585-605.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1982). The *petitio*: Aristotle's five ways. *CJP*, 12, 77-100.

1981

- J** (1981). Epistemology of brain death determination. *Metamedicine*, 2, 21-36.
- (1981). Splitting the difference: Killing and letting die. *Dialogue*, 20, 68-78.
- (1981). The fallacy of many questions. *L&A*, 95/96, 291-313.
- (1981). What is logic about? *IL*, 4(1), 2-4.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1981). More on fallaciousness and invalidity. *P&R*, 14, 168-172.

- E (1981). Lehrer on action, freedom and determinism. In R.J. Bogdan (Ed.), *Keith Lehrer* (pp. 107-128). Dordrecht: Reidel.

1980

- B (1980). *Brain Death: Ethical Considerations*. West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.
- J (1980). Cans and counterfactuals. *CJP*, 10, 489-496.
- (1980). Critical study of Ingmar Pörn. *Syn*, 43, 421-431.
- (1980). *Ignoratio elenchi*: The red herring fallacy. *IL*, 2(3), 3-7.
- (1980). Omissions and other negative actions. *Metamedicine*, 1, 305-324.
- (1980). Omitting, refraining, and letting happen. *APQ*, 17, 319-326.
- (1980). On allowing something to happen. *Man & Medicine*, 5, 167-176.
- (1980). On the logical form of some commonplace action expressions. *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 10, 141-148.
- (1980). Reply to commentaries. *Man & Medicine*, 5, 185-188.
- (1980). The ethical force of definitions. *J of Medical Ethics*, 6, 16-18.
- (1980). Why is the *ad populum* a fallacy? *P&R*, 13, 264-278.
- Walton, D. & Fleming, W.H. (1980). Responsibility for discontinuation of treatment. *Essence*, 4, 57-61.
- P (1980). *Petitio principii* and argument analysis. In R.H. Johnson & J.A. Blair (Eds.), *Informal Logic: The First International Symposium* (pp. 41-54). Reyes, CA: Edgepress.

1979

- B (1979). *On Defining Death: An Analytic Study of the Concept of Death in Philosophy and Medical Ethics*. Montreal: McGill-Queens University Press.
- J (1979). Critical study on some recent action theory. *Philosophia*, 8, 719-740.
- (1979). Philosophical basis of relatedness logic. *Philosophical Studies*, 36, 115-136.
- (1979). Relatedness in intensional action chains. *Philosophical Studies*, 36, 175-223.
- (1979). The active-passive distinction in ethical decision-making. *Philosophy Research Archives*, 5, no. 1350.
- Walton, D. & Epstein, R. (1979). Preface to relatedness logic issue. *Philosophical Studies*, 36, 113-114.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1979). A brief guide to studying and teaching on the fallacies. *Australian Logic Teacher's J*, 3, 1-3.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1979). Circular demonstration and von Wright-Geach entailment. *Notre Dame J of Formal Logic*, 20, 768-772.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1979). Equivocation and practical logic. *Ratio*, 21, 31-43. [A German translation appears in the same issue.]
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1979). Laws of thought and epistemic proofs. *Idealistic Studies*, 9, 55-65.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1979). What type of argument is an *ad verecundiam*? *IL*, 2(1), 5-6.

1978

- B Walton, D. & Urban, L. (Eds.). (1978). *The Power of God: Readings on Omnipotence and Evil*. New York: OUP.
- J (1978). The circle in the ontological argument. *International J for Philosophy of Religion*, 9, 193-218.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1978). Arresting circles in formal dialogues. *J of Philosophical Logic*, 7, 73-90.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1978). Puzzle for analysis: Find the fallacy. *IL*, 1, 5-6.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1978). The fallacy of *ad ignorantiam*. *Dialectica*, 32, 87-99.

- E** (1978). Modalities in the free will defence. In D. Walton & L. Urban (Eds.), *The Power of God: Readings on Omnipotence and Evil* (pp. 240-248) New York: OUP. [Reprinted from *Religious Studies*, 10, 1974, 325-331.]
- Walton, D. & Urban, L. (1978). Freedom within omnipotence. In D. Walton & L. Urban (Eds.), *The Power of God: Readings on Omnipotence and Evil* (pp. 192-207) New York: OUP.

1977

- J** (1977). Mill and DeMorgan on whether the syllogism is a *petitio*. *International Logic Review*, 8, 57-68.
- (1977). Obstacles and opportunities. *Philosophical Papers*, 6, 11-20.
- (1977). Performative and existential self-verifyingness. *Dialogue*, 16, 128-138.
- (1977). Purtill on power and evil. *International J for Philosophy of Religion*, 8, 263-267.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1977). *Ad hominem*. *The Philosophical Forum*, 8, 1-20.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1977). *Ad hominem contra Gerber*. *The Personalist*, 58, 141-144.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1977). Composition and division. *Studia Logica*, 36, 381-406.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1977). *Petitio* and relevant many-premissed arguments. *L&A*, 20, 97-110.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1977). *Post hoc, ergo propter hoc*. *Review of Metaphysics*, 30, 569-593.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1977). Towards a theory of argument. *Metaphilosophy*, 8, 299-315.

1976

- B** Walton, D. & Brand, M. (Eds.). (1976). *Action Theory*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- J** (1976). A note on motives, consequences and value. *The J of Value Inquiry*, 10, 149-150.
- (1976). Active and passive euthanasia. *Ethics*, 86, 269-274.
- (1976). Intensional action theory. *Philosophy Research Archives*, 2, No. 1149.
- (1976). Logical form and agency. *Philosophical Studies*, 29, 75-89.
- (1976). On logic and methodology in the study of death. *Ethics in Science and Medicine*, 3, 135-147.
- (1976). On the rationality of fear of death. *Omega: The J of Death and Dying*, 7, 1-10.
- (1976). Principles of interpersonal agency in the free will defense. *Bijdragen; Tijdschrift Voor Filosofie en Theologie*, 37, 36-46.
- (1976). Some considerations on the *nihil obstat* analysis of the modal auxiliary verb can. *Studia Anglica Posnaniensia*, 8, 55-63.
- (1976). St. Anselm and the logical syntax of agency. *Franciscan Studies*, 36, 298-312.
- (1976). Some theorems of Fitch on omnipotence. *Sophia*, 15, 20-27.
- (1976). The formalities of evil. *Critica*, 8, 3-9.
- (1976). The logic of ability. *Philosophy Research Archives*, 2, No. 1068.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1976). *Ad baculum*. *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 2, 133-140.
- Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1976). Fallaciousness without invalidity? *P&R*, 9, 52-54.
- E** (1976). Time and modality in the 'can' of opportunity. In M. Brand & D. Walton (Eds.), *Action Theory*, (pp. 271-287). Dordrecht: Reidel.

1975

- J** (1975). Can, determinism, and modal logic. *The Modern Schoolman*, 52, 381-390.
- (1975). Ifs and cans: Pros and cons. *The Personalist*, 56, 242-249.
- (1975). Language, God and evil. *International J for Philosophy of Religion*, 6, 154-162.

- (1975). Modal logic and agency. *L&A*, 69/70, 103-111.
(1975). Philosophical perspectives on the insanity defense. *The Human Context*, 7, 546-560.
Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1975). Is the syllogism a *petitio principii*? *Mill News Letter*, 10, 13-15.
Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1975). Moral expertise. *J of Moral Education*, 5, 13-18.
Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1975). *Petitio principii*. *Syn*, 31, 107-127.

1974

- J** (1974). Control. *Behaviorism*, 2, 162-171.
(1974). Modalities in the free will defence. *Religious Studies*, 10, 325-331.
Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1974). *Argumentum ad verecundiam*. *P&R*, 7, 135-153. [Dutch translation in (1997), F.H. van Eemeren & R. Grootendorst (Eds.), *Studies Over Argumentatie* (pp. 191-210). Amsterdam: Boom.]
Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1974). Informal logic and critical thinking. *Education*, 95, 84-86.
P (1974). St. Anselm on the verb 'to do' (*facere*). *Proceedings of the Linguistic Circle of Manitoba and North Dakota*, 14, 9-12.

1973

- J** (1973). Issues of explanation in linguistic theory. *Manitoba Modern Languages Association Bulletin*, 8, 19-25.
(1973). Power and causal possibility. *CJP*, 3, 281-284.
(1973). The contemporary relevance of Hume's remarks on liberty and necessity. *J of Thought*, 8, 183-188.
Walton, D. & Shamburg, N. (1973). The principles of freedom and dignity in social technology. *J of Social Philosophy*, 4, 8-11.

1972

- J** Walton, D. & Woods, J. (1972). On fallacies. *The J of Critical Analysis*, 4, 103-111.
P (1972). Mathematical logic and empirical linguistics. *Proceedings of the Linguistic Circle of Manitoba and North Dakota*, 12, 13-15.

1971

- P** (1971). The modal auxiliary verb can: Some semantic problems. *Proceedings of the Linguistic Circle of Manitoba and North Dakota*, 11, 17-19.