

Composition Operators on $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ -Type Spaces on the Unit Ball**H. Gissyr, M. A. Bakhit****Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jazan university, Jazan 45142, Saudi Arabia***Corresponding author: mabakhit2020@hotmail.com*

Abstract. We describe the boundedness and compactness of the composition operators C_φ acting in $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ on the open unit ball \mathbb{B} .

1. Introduction

For the unit ball \mathbb{B} of \mathbb{C}^n , $\mathcal{HOL}(\mathbb{B})$ denotes the class of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{B} while $H^\infty = H^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ denotes the class of all functions that are holomorphic $u \in \mathcal{HOL}(\mathbb{B})$ equipped with the norm $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{B}} |u(\zeta)|$. For any $d > 0$, the weighted Banach space $H_d^\infty = H_d^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ consists of all functions $u \in \mathcal{HOL}(\mathbb{B})$ such that

$$\|u\|_d^\infty := \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |\zeta|)^d |u(\zeta)| < \infty.$$

The space $H_{d,0}^\infty = H_{d,0}^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ indicate the closed subspace of H_d^∞ such that

$$\lim_{|\zeta| \rightarrow 1} |u(\zeta)|(1 - |\zeta|)^d = 0.$$

For further details about the properties of H_d^∞ spaces see [10]).

For $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}$, we let dV be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{B} with

$$V(\mathbb{B}) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} dV(\zeta) = 1.$$

Received: Jun. 27, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A36, 46G20, 58B12, 47B33.

Key words and phrases. Bergman spaces; $N_K(p, q)$ -type spaces; several complex variables; composition operators.

In addition, we let $d\omega$ be the surface measure on \mathbb{S} , normalized so that $\omega(\mathbb{S}) \equiv 1$. If u is a nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function on \mathbb{B} , then the measures V and ω are related by

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\zeta) dV(\zeta) = 2n \int_0^1 t^{2n-1} dt \int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t\zeta) d\omega(\zeta).$$

Moreover, the formulas for integration on \mathbb{S} (see, [11]) as:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} u d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{S}} d\omega(\zeta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta} \zeta) d\theta, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

For any $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{B})$, $u \in L^1(\mathbb{B})$, the Möbius invariant on \mathbb{B} (see e.g., [5]) such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\zeta) d\lambda(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} u \circ \psi(\zeta) \frac{dV}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{n+1}}.$$

The inner product of $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , is given by

$$\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \bar{\eta}_i.$$

For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}$, we define the complex gradient and the radial derivative of the function $u \in \mathcal{HO}(\mathbb{B})$ respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u(\zeta) &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta_1}(\zeta), \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta_n}(\zeta) \right), \\ Ru(\zeta) &= \langle \nabla u(\zeta), \bar{\zeta} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta_i}(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

We know the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}^d = \mathcal{B}^d(\mathbb{B})$ is the Banach space of functions $u \in \mathcal{HO}(\mathbb{B})$ such that $Ru \in H_d^\infty$ which has the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^d} := |f(0)| + \|Ru\|_d^\infty.$$

The involution automorphisms Ψ_b (the Möbius transformation of \mathbb{B}) is define for $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}$ and $b \in \mathbb{B} - \{0\}$ as

$$\Psi_b(\zeta) = \frac{b - \frac{\langle \zeta, b \rangle b}{|b|^2} - \sqrt{1 - |b|^2} \left(\zeta - \frac{\langle \zeta, b \rangle b}{|b|^2} \right)}{1 - \langle \zeta, b \rangle},$$

where $\Psi_0(\zeta) = -\zeta$, $\Psi_b(0) = b$, $\Psi_b(b) = 0$ and $\Psi_b = \Psi_b^{-1}$. It is well known that for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}$

$$1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |b|^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2)}{|1 - \langle b, \zeta \rangle|^2}.$$

The Bergman metric and the Bergman metric ball on \mathbb{B} , for $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{B}$ and $M > 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\zeta, \eta) &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\Psi_\zeta(\eta)|}{1 - |\Psi_\zeta(\eta)|}, \\ D(\zeta, M) &= \{\eta \in \mathbb{B} : \beta(\zeta, \eta) < M\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{RC}^+ denote the set of all right-continuous nondecreasing functions $K \neq 0$ and $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. For $K \in \mathcal{RC}^+$ and $p, q > 0$, the weighted Banach type spaces $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q) = \mathcal{N}_K(p, q)(\mathbb{B})$ consists of functions $u \in \mathcal{HO}(\mathbb{B})$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}_K(p, q) := \{u \in H(\mathbb{B}) : \|u\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)}^p < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)}^p = \sup_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u(\zeta)|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta).$$

This space was introduced first by Bakhit and Aljuaid in [1] who study several fundamental properties of $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ -type spaces and its closed subspaces $\mathcal{N}_{K,0}(p, q)$, which are Banach spaces of functions that are analytic and their norms determined by a weighted function $K \in \mathcal{RC}^+$, together with a Möbius transformation. Also in [1] the authors show that the norm of $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ -type space is equivalent to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)}^p = \sup_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u(\zeta)|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K(G(b, \zeta)) dV(\zeta) < \infty,$$

where $G(b, \zeta) = \log \frac{1}{|\Psi_b(\zeta)|}$. We set the integral $J_{K,q}(t)$ with $q > n$ as:

$$J_{K,q}(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{2n-1}}{(1 - t^2)^{n+1-q}} K((1 - t^2)^n) dt. \tag{1.1}$$

Throughout the paper, we suppose that $J_{K,q}(t) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ contain all the polynomials, otherwise $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ consists only of zero functions.

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two function spaces on \mathbb{B} and consider φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{B} . We define the composition operator $C_\varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by

$$C_\varphi(u)(\zeta) = u \circ \varphi, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Recall that, for any two normed linear spaces X and Y , the linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be bounded if there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|Tu\|_Y \leq C\|u\|_X, \forall u \in X$. Furthermore, a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be compact if it maps every bounded set in X to a relatively compact set in Y (i.e., a set whose closure is compact) (see e.g., [12]).

Studying the composition operators acting in different spaces is a quite classical topic since they arise in different problems; see the excellent monographs [2], [3] and [4]. Some of the earlier study on this topic is reflected in [9] descriptions of bounded and compact composition operators on $F(p, q, s)$ spaces were provided [8].

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we shortly give the preliminaries and background information. In Section 3 we establish proving our main results respectively.

We use the notation $a \lesssim b$ in what follows to mean that there is a constant $C > 0$ with $a \leq Cb$. and the notation $a \asymp b$ means that $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$.

2. Preliminaries

For $0 < t < \infty$, we use the auxiliary function $\phi_K(t) = \sup_{s \in (0,1]} \frac{K(st)}{K(s)}$ (see e.g., [6], [7]). The following constraints on $\phi_K(t)$ play a significant role in the study of any class of $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ spaces:

$$J_K(t) = \int_0^1 \phi_K(t) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \phi_K(t) \frac{dt}{t^2} < \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

and more generally,

$$\int_1^\infty \phi_K(t) \frac{dt}{t^{1+\varrho}} < \infty, \quad \varrho > 0. \quad (2.3)$$

In the case that K satisfies condition (2.1), then $K(2t) \lesssim K(t) \forall 0 \leq 2t \leq 1$. If we started with the property that $K(t) = K(1)$ for $t \geq 1$, then $K(2t) \approx K(t)$ for $t > 0$ (see, [6]).

The following results will have an important role in the subsequent. The following lemma was proven in [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $K \in \mathcal{RC}^+$, $p \geq 1$ and $q > 0$ then*

- $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q) \subseteq H_{q/p}^\infty(\mathbb{B})$.
- $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q) = H_{q/p}^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ if

$$I_K(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{2n-1}}{(1-t^2)^{n+1}} K((1-t^2)^n) dt < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

We can find the subsequent result in [11].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\delta \in (0, 1]$ then there is a sequence $\{n_i\} \in \mathbb{B}$ such that*

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |n_i| = 1$.
- $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty D(m_i, \delta)$.
- Let $N > 0$ be an integer, then $\zeta \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{N+1} D(m_{i_k}, 4\delta)$ and $m_{i_k} \in D(\zeta, 4\delta)$ for each $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}$, $1 \leq k \leq N+1$.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $K \in \mathcal{RC}^+$, $\delta > 0$, let $p, q > 0$ and $\zeta, b \in \mathbb{B}$. Then there is a positive constant C , such that*

$$|u(\zeta)|^p \leq \frac{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{-q-n-1}}{K((1-|\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n)} \int_{D(\zeta, 2\delta)} |u(w)|^p (1-|w|^2)^q K(1-|\Psi_b(w)|^2) dV(w),$$

for all $\zeta \in D(\zeta, \delta)$ and $u \in \mathcal{HOI}(\mathbb{B})$.

Proof. By the result in Lemma 2.24 in [5], we obtain

$$|u(\zeta)|^p \leq \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{n+1}} \int_{D(\zeta, \delta)} |u(w)|^p dV(w),$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}$ and $u \in \mathcal{HOI}(\mathbb{B})$.

Now let $\zeta \in D(z, \delta)$ and $w \in D(\zeta, \delta)$, then obtain $\beta(w, z) \leq \beta(w, \zeta) < 2\delta$. Thus, $D(\zeta, \delta) \subset D(z, 2\delta)$. From some results in [5], we obtain

$$1 - |\zeta|^2 \asymp 1 - |z|^2 \asymp 1 - |w|^2,$$

$$|1 - \langle b, w \rangle| \asymp |1 - \langle b, z \rangle|.$$

Thus,

$$|u(\zeta)|^p \leq \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{-q-n-1}}{K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n)} \int_{D(z, 2\delta)} |u(w)|^p (1 - |w|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(w)|^2)^n) dV(w).$$

□

Lemma 2.4. Let ϕ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{B} and $b \in \mathbb{B}$. If u is a nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function on \mathbb{B} , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\zeta) d\lambda_{K,q,\phi}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\phi(\zeta)) (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta),$$

where

$$\lambda_{K,q,\phi} = \int_{\phi^{-1}(E)} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta),$$

for any Borel measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{B}$.

Proof. Let u be a nonnegative simple Lebesgue measurable function. Assume that

$$u(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \chi_{E_i},$$

where E_i is the measurable set on \mathbb{B} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\zeta) d\lambda_{K,q,\phi}(\zeta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \lambda_{K,q,\phi}(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \int_{E_i} d\lambda_{K,q,\phi}(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \int_{\phi^{-1}(E_i)} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \chi_{\phi^{-1}(E_i) \cap \mathbb{B}} \right) (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\phi(\zeta)) (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

If u is a nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function, for $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}$ then there is a monotone increasing simple measurable function sequence $\{u_j\}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j(\zeta) = u(\zeta).$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_j(\zeta) d\lambda_{K,q,\phi}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\zeta) d\lambda_{K,q,\phi}(\zeta).$$

Now let the function sequence $\{U_j(K, q, \phi)\} = \{u_j(\varphi(\zeta))(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n)\}$, then $\{U_j(K, q, \phi)\}$ is a monotone increasing measurable function. Moreover,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} U_j(K, q, \phi) = u(\varphi(\zeta))(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\zeta) d\lambda_{K,q,\varphi}(\zeta) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_j(\zeta) d\lambda_{K,q,\varphi}(\zeta) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u_j(\varphi(\zeta))(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}} u(\varphi(\zeta))(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. For $K \in \mathcal{RC}^+$ and $p > 0, q + n + 1 > 0$. If (2.4) holds, then $u_w(\zeta) \in \mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$, where

$$u_w(\zeta) = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}+1}}.$$

Proof. Firstly, suppose that (2.4) holds, to show that $u_w(\zeta) \in \mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$, it suffices to show that there is $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle|^{n+1+q+p}} K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Now we let $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < |\Psi_b(\zeta)| < 1$, by the fact that $(1 - |\zeta|) \leq |1 - \langle \zeta, b \rangle|$ and Theorem 1.4.10 in [5], therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < |\Psi_b(\zeta)| < 1} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle|^{n+1+q+p}} K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{B}} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{-n-1} K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 \frac{t^{2n-1}}{(1 - t^2)^{n+1}} K((1 - t^2)^n) dt < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|\Psi_b(\zeta)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle|^{n+1+q+p}} K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &\leq \int_{|w| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^p (1 - |\Psi_b(w)|^2)^q (1 - |b|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle \Psi_b(w), z \rangle|^{n+1+q+p} |1 - \langle w, b \rangle|^{2n+2}} K((1 - |w|^2)^n) dV(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \varepsilon \int_{|w| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1 - |b|^2)^{n+1}}{(1 - |\Psi_b(w)|^2)^{n+1} |1 - \langle w, b \rangle|^{2n+2}} K((1 - |w|^2)^n) dV(w) \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \int_{|w| \leq \frac{1}{2}} K((1 - |w|^2)^n) \frac{dV(w)}{|1 - \langle w, b \rangle|^{n+1}} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \int_{|w| \leq \frac{1}{2}} K((1 - |w|^2)^n) \frac{dV(w)}{(1 - |w|^2)^{n+1}} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{B}} K((1 - |w|^2)^n) dV(w) < \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.6), it follows that

$$\sup_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle|^{n+1+q+p}} K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

□

3. Main Results

3.1. Boundedness.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $K \in \mathcal{RC}^+$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$. Then the operator C_φ is bounded on $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{w, b \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |w|^2)^p \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \varphi(\zeta), w \rangle|^{q+n+1}} K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta) \right) < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let C_φ be the bounded operator in $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$. Consider the function

$$u_w(\zeta) = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p} + 1}}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{B}} |u_w(\zeta)|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta) \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle|^{p+q+n+1}} K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta) \leq \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

which exactly

$$\|C_\varphi(u_w)\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)} \leq \|C_\varphi\| \|u_w\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \|C_\varphi\|.$$

That is

$$\sup_{w, b \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |w|^2)^p \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \varphi(\zeta), w \rangle|^{q+n+1}} K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta) \leq \varepsilon \|C_\varphi\|^p.$$

Conversely, suppose that (3.1) holds, then by Lemma (2.3), there exists a constant ε such that

$$\frac{(1 - |w|^2)^p}{K(1 - |\Psi_b(w)|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{d\lambda_{K, q, \varphi}(\zeta)}{|1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle|^{q+n+1}} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall w, b \in \mathbb{B},$$

where

$$\lambda_{K,q,\varphi} = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E)} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta), \quad \forall E \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Fixed $\delta > 0$, so that

$$\frac{(1 - |w|^2)^p}{K(1 - |\Psi_b(w)|^2)} \int_{D(w,\delta)} \frac{d\lambda_{K,q,\varphi}(\zeta)}{|1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle|^{q+n+1}} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall w, b \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Then, we have

$$\lambda_{K,q,\varphi}(D(w, \delta)) \lesssim (1 - |w|^2)^{q+n+1} K(1 - |\Psi_b(w)|^2).$$

If $u \in \mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u(\varphi(\zeta))|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u(\zeta)|^p d\lambda_{K,q,\varphi}(\zeta) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(w_j,\delta)} |u(\zeta)|^p d\lambda_{K,q,\varphi}(\zeta) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\zeta \in D(w_j,\delta)} |u(\zeta)|^p \int_{D(w_j,\delta)} d\lambda_{K,q,\varphi}(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup_{\zeta \in D(w_j,\delta)} |u(\zeta)|^p \{(1 - |w_j|^2)^{q+n+1} K(1 - |\Psi_b(w_j)|^2)\} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(w_j,4\delta)} |u(\zeta)|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(p,q)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. Compactness.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{RC}^+$ and $0 < p, q < \infty$. Then the operator C_φ is compact on $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1^-} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{B}} (1 - |w|^2)^p \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle \varphi(\zeta), w \rangle|^{q+n+1}} K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta) \right) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let C_φ be compact on $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$. Then, for any sequence $\{\xi_j\} \subset \mathbb{B}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\xi_j| = 1$. Take

$$h_j(\zeta) = \frac{(1 - |\xi_j|)}{(1 - \langle \zeta, \xi_j \rangle)^{\frac{q+n+1}{p}}}.$$

Since $\{h_j\}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ and converges uniformly to 0 on any compact subset of \mathbb{B} . So, by the compactness of C_φ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |w|^2)^p \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2) dV(\zeta)}{|1 - \langle \varphi(\zeta), w \rangle|^{q+p+1}} \\ &= \|C_\varphi(h_j)\|_{\mathcal{N}_K(p,q)}^p \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that (3.2) holds. Then, we can choose the sequence $\{w_i\} \in \mathbb{B}$ from Lemma (2.2), such that

$$\sup_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |w_i|^2)^p}{K(1 - |\Psi_b(w_i)|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{d\lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(\zeta)}{|1 - \langle \zeta, w_i \rangle|^{q+n+p+1}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } i \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N_0 such that

$$\sup_{b \in \mathbb{B}} \frac{(1 - |w_i|^2)^p}{K(1 - |\Psi_b(w_i)|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{d\lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(\zeta)}{|1 - \langle \zeta, w_i \rangle|^{q+n+p+1}} < \epsilon, \text{ when } i > N_0. \tag{3.3}$$

In this case, by (3.3) for all $a \in \mathbb{B}$ when $j > N_0$, we have

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(D(w_i, \delta)) \lesssim \epsilon^p (1 - |w|^2)^{q+n+p+1} K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^n). \tag{3.4}$$

Now we let $\{u_j\}$ be any sequence that converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{B} with $\|u_j\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}}(p,q)} \leq C$. Then, the sequence $\{u_j\}$ converges to 0 uniformly on $M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{N_0} \overline{D(w_k, \delta)}$. Thus, there exists a positive integer \bar{N}_0 such that

$$\sup_{\zeta \in M} |u_j(\zeta)| < \epsilon \text{ when } j > \bar{N}_0. \tag{3.5}$$

Otherwise,

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(\mathbb{B}) \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \leq C. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, when $j > \bar{N}_0$, by Lemma 2.2-2.4, (3.4)-(3.6), for all $a \in \mathbb{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u_j(\varphi(\zeta))|^p (1 - |w|^2)^q K(1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u_j(\zeta)|^p d\lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(w_k, \delta)} |u_j(\zeta)|^p d\lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} \int_{D(w_k, \delta)} |u_j(\zeta)|^p d\lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi} + \sum_{k=N_0+1}^{\infty} \sup_{\zeta \in D(w_k, \delta)} |u_j(\zeta)|^p \lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi} \\ &\lesssim N_0 \epsilon^p \lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(\mathbb{B}) + \epsilon^p \sum_{k=N_0+1}^{\infty} \sup_{\zeta \in D(w_k, \delta)} |u_j(\zeta)|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^{q+n+1} K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) \\ &\lesssim N_0 \epsilon^p \lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(\mathbb{B}) + \epsilon^p \int_{D(w_k, 4\delta)} |u_j|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim N_0 \epsilon^p \lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(\mathbb{B}) + \epsilon^p \int_{\mathbb{B}} |u_j|^p (1 - |\zeta|^2)^q K((1 - |\Psi_b(\zeta)|^2)^n) dV(\zeta) \\ &\lesssim N_0 \epsilon^p \lambda_{\mathcal{K},q,\varphi}(\mathbb{B}) + \epsilon^p \|u_j\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}}(p,q)} \lesssim \epsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

which exactly

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|C_{\varphi}(u_j)\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}}(p,q)} = 0.$$

In this case, the operator C_{φ} is compact on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}}(p, q)$, which completed the proof. □

Data Availability: The research conducted in this paper does not make use of separate data.

Acknowledgments: The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research, Jazan University, for supporting this research work through the Research Units Support Program, Support Number: RUP2-02.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] M.A. Bakhit, M. Aljuaid, Basic Properties of $\mathcal{N}_K(p, q)$ Type Spaces With Hadamard Gap Series in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n , Res. Math. 9 (2022), 2091724. <https://doi.org/10.1080/27684830.2022.2091724>.
- [2] J. Dai, C. Ouyang, Composition Operators Between Bloch Type Spaces in the Unit Ball, Acta Math. Sci. 34 (2014), 73-81. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0252-9602\(13\)60127-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0252-9602(13)60127-7).
- [3] J.M. Fan, Y.F. Lu, Y.X. Yang, Difference of Composition Operators on Some Analytic Function Spaces, Acta. Math. Sin.-English Ser. 37 (2021), 1384-1400. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-021-0480-9>.
- [4] J.H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, Springer New York, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0887-7>.
- [5] W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n , Springer, Berlin, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-68276-9>.
- [6] H. Wulan, J. Zhou, \mathcal{Q}_K Type Spaces of Analytic Functions, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 4 (2006), 73-84. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2006/910813>.
- [7] H. Wulan, and J. Zhou, The Higher Order Derivatives of \mathcal{Q}_K Type Spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (2007), 1216-1228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.10.082>.
- [8] S. Xu, X. Zhang, Composition Operator on $F(p, q, s)$ Spaces in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n , Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2022.2142783>.
- [9] X. Zhang, S. Xu, S. Li and J. Xiao, Composition operators on $F(p, q, s)$ type spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , Complex Anal. Oper. Theory. 12 (2016), 141-154. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-016-0610-z>.
- [10] X. Zhu, Products of Differentiation, Composition and Multiplication From Bergman Type Spaces to Bers Type Spaces, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), 223-231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460701210250>.
- [11] K. Zhu, Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball, Springer, New York, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-27539-8>.
- [12] K. Zhu, Operator Theory in Function Spaces, Second Edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2007.