

# Growth of harmonic functions on biregular trees

Research Article

Francisco Javier González Vieli

**Abstract:** On a biregular tree of degrees  $q + 1$  and  $r + 1$ , we study the growth of two classes of harmonic functions. First, we prove that if  $f$  is a bounded harmonic function on the tree and  $x, y$  are two adjacent vertices, then  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2(qr - 1)\|f\|_\infty / ((q + 1)(r + 1))$ , thus generalizing a result of Cohen and Colonna for regular trees. Next, we prove that if  $f$  is a positive harmonic function on the tree and  $x, y$  are two vertices with  $d(x, y) = 2$ , then  $f(x)/(qr) \leq f(y) \leq qr \cdot f(x)$ .

**2010 MSC:** 31C20, 05C05

**Keywords:** Biregular tree, Growth, Harmonic function

## 1. Introduction

A tree is homogeneous (or regular) if all its vertices have the same degree; it is *biregular* if any vertices  $x$  and  $y$  whose distance is even have the same degree, which we will assume greater than two. Regular and biregular trees are infinite.

A complex valued function  $f$  defined on the vertices of a graph is *harmonic at a vertex*  $x$  if its value at  $x$  is the arithmetical mean of its values at the neighbours of  $x$ ; the function is *harmonic on the graph* if it is harmonic at every vertex of the graph. The study of harmonic functions on graphs pertains to such diverse domains as probability [7], potential theory [1], graph theory or harmonic analysis.

In particular, since the seminal work of Cartier [4] the properties of harmonic functions on regular trees have been thoroughly investigated (see for example [5], [1] and the references therein). Although they are quite straightforward generalizations of regular trees, biregular trees have not attracted a similar attention. Here we study the growth of harmonic functions on biregular trees using only elementary reasonings.

In contrast to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  [2, p.31], there are non-constant bounded harmonic functions on a regular tree of degree  $q + 1$ ; but any such function  $f$  has its growth limited by the inequality  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2(q - 1)\|f\|_\infty / (q + 1)$  when  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent [5, Theorem 1, p.65]. Here we generalize this result to

---

Francisco Javier González Vieli; Montoie 45, 1007 Lausanne, Switzerland (email: francisco-javier.gonzalez@gmx.ch).

biregular trees: if  $f$  is a bounded harmonic function on a biregular tree  $\mathbb{T}$  of degrees  $q + 1$  and  $r + 1$ , and  $x, y$  are adjacent vertices, then  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2(qr - 1)\|f\|_\infty / ((q + 1)(r + 1))$ . An example shows that this inequality is the best possible.

Also in contrast to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  [2, p.45], there are non-constant positive harmonic functions on a biregular tree but they cannot grow too rapidly. We prove that if  $f$  is a positive harmonic function on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $x, y$  are vertices with  $d(x, y) = 2$ , then  $f(x)/(qr) \leq f(y) \leq qr \cdot f(x)$ . We give an example of a positive harmonic function which has maximal growth on a given infinite path (in the terminology of [3]) in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

## 2. Bounded harmonic functions

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a biregular tree and fix a vertex  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{T}$ . We suppose that  $q + 1$  is the degree of  $x_0$  (and of all vertices  $z$  with  $d(x_0, z)$  even), and that  $r + 1$  is the degree of all  $q + 1$  neighbours of  $x_0$  (and of all vertices  $y$  with  $d(x_0, y)$  odd). An easy induction shows that the number of points on the sphere with centre  $x_0$  and radius  $\rho$  (i.e. the set of vertices in  $\mathbb{T}$  at distance  $\rho$  from  $x_0$ ) is given, for  $\rho \in \mathbb{N}, \rho \geq 1$ , by

$$|S(x_0, \rho)| = (q + 1) r^{\lfloor \rho/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (\rho-1)/2 \rfloor},$$

where we define  $\lfloor a \rfloor = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \leq a\}$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that the number of points on the ball with centre  $x_0$  and radius  $\rho$  (i.e. the set of vertices in  $\mathbb{T}$  at distance  $\leq \rho$  from  $x_0$ ) is given, for  $\rho \in \mathbb{N}, \rho \geq 1$ , by

$$|B(x_0, \rho)| = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} (q + 1) r^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (j-1)/2 \rfloor}.$$

When the radius is even, this can be written

$$|B(x_0, 2k)| = 1 + (q + 1)(r + 1) \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} r^l q^l = 1 + (q + 1)(r + 1) \frac{(qr)^k - 1}{qr - 1},$$

and this result does not depend on the particular degree of  $x_0$ .

Take now a function  $f$  harmonic on  $\mathbb{T}$ ; this means that, for all  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ ,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{|S(x, \rho)|} \sum_{y \in S(x, \rho)} f(y)$$

when  $\rho = 1$ . An induction shows that this also holds for any  $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ , and then

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{|B(x, \rho)|} \sum_{y \in B(x, \rho)} f(y)$$

for any  $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a biregular tree of degrees  $q + 1$  and  $r + 1$ . If  $f$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $x, y$  are two adjacent vertices in  $\mathbb{T}$ , then*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2(qr - 1)}{(q + 1)(r + 1)} \|f\|_\infty. \tag{1}$$

**Proof.** Take  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$ . We calculate

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \frac{1}{|B(x, 2k)|} \sum_{z \in B(x, 2k)} f(z) - \frac{1}{|B(y, 2k)|} \sum_{z \in B(y, 2k)} f(z) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|B(x, 2k)|} \sum_{z \in B(x, 2k) \Delta B(y, 2k)} |f(z)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \frac{|B(x, 2k) \Delta B(y, 2k)|}{|B(x, 2k)|} \\ &= \|f\|_\infty \frac{2(qr)^k}{1 + (q + 1)(r + 1)((qr)^k - 1)/(qr - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B(x, 2k) \Delta B(y, 2k)$  is the symmetric difference of the two balls. When  $k$  tends to  $+\infty$ , we arrive at (1).  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** For regular trees ( $q = r$ ), this was first established in [5, Theorem 1, p.65]. The proof here is an adaptation of [6].

Is the inequality (1) the best possible? To answer this, we must construct a bounded harmonic function  $g$  such that, for some vertices  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ ,  $|g(x_0) - g(x_1)|$  is as large as possible with respect to  $\|g\|_\infty$ .

We take  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  of degree  $q + 1$  and  $x_1$  a neighbour of  $x_0$  (of degree  $r + 1$ ). We put  $g(x_0) = 0$  and  $g(x_1) = 1$ . The vertex  $x_1$  has  $r$  neighbours  $x_2^{(1)}, \dots, x_2^{(r)}$  other than  $x_0$ ; in order to have  $\|g\|_\infty$  as low as possible, we must choose  $g$  to take the same value  $\alpha_2$  at all these vertices, the harmonicity of  $g$  at  $x_1$  implying that  $\alpha_2$  is given by the equation

$$\frac{1}{r + 1}(0 + r \cdot \alpha_2) = 1.$$

Hence  $\alpha_2 = (r + 1)/r$ . Every vertex  $x_2^{(j)}$  has  $q$  neighbours other than  $x_1$ . Again we must choose  $g$  to take the same value  $\alpha_3$  at all these vertices, the harmonicity of  $g$  at  $x_2^{(j)}$  implying that  $\alpha_3$  is given by the equation

$$\frac{1}{q + 1}(1 + q \cdot \alpha_3) = \frac{r + 1}{r},$$

and we find  $\alpha_3 = (1 + q + qr)/qr$ . Proceeding in this way, we construct a harmonic function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  by defining  $g$  to take the same value  $\alpha_n$  at all vertices which are at distance  $n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$ ) from  $x_0$  and at distance  $n - 1$  from  $x_1$ , this value being

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even,}$$

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd;}$$

and by defining  $g$  to take the same value  $\alpha_{-n}$  at all vertices which are at distance  $n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1$ ) from  $x_0$  and at distance  $n + 1$  from  $x_1$ , this value being

$$\alpha_{-n} = -\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even,}$$

$$\alpha_{-n} = -\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

The function  $g$  is bounded because  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} g(x)$  is equal to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_{2k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1 + r) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j}{r(qr)^{k-1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1+r)((qr)^k - 1)/(qr - 1)}{r(qr)^{k-1}} \\
 &= \frac{(1+r)q}{qr - 1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{T}} g(x)$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha_{-2k} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} -\frac{(1+r) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j}{(qr)^k} \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} -\frac{(1+r)((qr)^k - 1)/(qr - 1)}{(qr)^k} \\
 &= -\frac{1+r}{qr - 1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $f = g - \frac{1}{2}(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} g(x) + \inf_{x \in \mathbb{T}} g(x))$ , that is,

$$f = g - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(q-1)(r+1)}{qr - 1}.$$

Then  $f$  is harmonic on  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $|f(x_0) - f(x_1)| = |g(x_0) - g(x_1)| = 1$  and

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} f(x) = -\inf_{x \in \mathbb{T}} f(x) = \frac{(1+r)(1+q)}{2(qr - 1)},$$

**Conclusion 2.3.** *The inequality (1) is the best possible, being in fact, for the function  $f$  just defined and the vertices  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , an equality.*

### 3. Positive harmonic functions

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  be two adjacent vertices in a biregular tree  $\mathbb{T}$ , with  $x_0$  of degree  $q + 1$ . If  $f$  is a real valued harmonic function on  $\mathbb{T}$ , there exists an infinite path  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots)$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , we have*

$$f(x_m) \leq \frac{(r+1)f(x_{m-1}) - f(x_{m-2})}{r} \quad \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \tag{2}$$

$$f(x_m) \leq \frac{(q+1)f(x_{m-1}) - f(x_{m-2})}{q} \quad \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \tag{3}$$

**Proof.** Among the  $r$  neighbours of  $x_1$  which are not  $x_0$ , we write  $x_2$  the one where  $f$  takes its smaller value, and  $x_2^{(1)}, \dots, x_2^{(r-1)}$  the other neighbours:  $f(x_2) \leq f(x_2^{(j)})$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, r - 1$ . Since  $f$  is harmonic,

$$\frac{f(x_0) + f(x_2) + f(x_2^{(1)}) + \dots + f(x_2^{(r-1)})}{r + 1} = f(x_1); \tag{4}$$

hence

$$f(x_2) + f(x_2^{(1)}) + \dots + f(x_2^{(r-1)}) = (r + 1)f(x_1) - f(x_0).$$

From the choice of  $x_2$ , we get

$$f(x_2) \leq \frac{(r + 1)f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{r}.$$

This establishes the assertion for the case  $m = 2$ .

Among the  $q$  neighbours of  $x_2$  which are not  $x_1$ , we write  $x_3$  the one where  $f$  takes its smaller value. A similar argument as above gives

$$f(x_3) \leq \frac{(q + 1)f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{q}.$$

This establishes the assertion for the case  $m = 3$ .

Proceeding in this way we construct the needed path step by step. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  be two adjacent vertices in a biregular tree  $\mathbb{T}$ , with  $x_0$  of degree  $q + 1$ . Let  $f$  be a real valued harmonic function on  $\mathbb{T}$ , and  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots)$  an infinite path in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that, for all  $m \geq 2$ , (2) and (3) hold. Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$f(x_n) \leq \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} f(x_0) \tag{5}$$

if  $n$  is even, and

$$f(x_n) \leq \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_0) \tag{6}$$

if  $n$  is odd.

**Proof.** By induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 2$  is the hypothesis (2).

We next turn to the case  $n = 3$ . By assumption

$$f(x_3) \leq \frac{1}{q} [(q + 1)f(x_2) - f(x_1)] \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_2) \leq \frac{1}{r} [(r + 1)f(x_1) - f(x_0)].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_3) &\leq \frac{1}{q} [(q + 1) \frac{1}{r} \{ (r + 1)f(x_1) - f(x_0) \} - f(x_1)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \left[ \frac{1}{r} (q + 1)(r + 1)f(x_1) - \frac{1}{r} (q + 1)f(x_0) - f(x_1) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \left[ \frac{(q + 1)(r + 1) - r}{r} f(x_1) - \frac{q + 1}{r} f(x_0) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1 + q + qr}{qr} f(x_1) - \frac{1 + q}{qr} f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

The case  $n = 3$  is proved.

We suppose now that  $n > 3$  and that the lemma is true for  $n - 1$ . We first consider the case where  $n$  is even. Then  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a path of odd length  $n - 1$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  which satisfies (2) and (3) if we invert the roles of  $q$  and  $r$ . The induction hypothesis then gives (we invert the roles of  $q$  and  $r$  in (6))

$$f(x_n) \leq \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_2) - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-3} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1).$$

But

$$f(x_2) \leq \frac{1}{r} \left[ (r+1)f(x_1) - f(x_0) \right] = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right) f(x_1) - \frac{1}{r} f(x_0).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_n) &\leq \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right) f(x_1) - \frac{1}{r} f(x_0) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-3} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor} r} f(x_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor} r} f(x_0) - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-3} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) \\ &= \frac{r^{\lfloor (n-2+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_0) \\ &= \frac{r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}}{r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} f(x_0) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} f(x_1) - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{\lfloor j/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor (j+1)/2 \rfloor}}{q^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} r^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} f(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where, for the last equality but one, we have used the fact that, since  $n$  is even,  $\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor = \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor$ . We have thus proved (5).

The case  $n$  odd can be handled in a similar manner. □

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a biregular tree of degrees  $q+1$  and  $r+1$ , and  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  two adjacent vertices in  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $x_0$  of degree  $q+1$ . If  $f$  is a positive harmonic function on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then*

$$\frac{q+1}{q(r+1)} f(x_0) \leq f(x_1) \leq \frac{r(q+1)}{(r+1)} f(x_0).$$

**Proof.** By lemma 3.1, there exists an infinite path  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots)$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that, for all  $m \geq 2$ , (2) and (3) hold. We can then use (6) with  $n = 2k + 1$  and find

$$f(x_{2k+1}) \leq \frac{(qr)^k + (1+q) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j}{(qr)^k} f(x_1) - \frac{(1+q) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j}{(qr)^k} f(x_0).$$

Since  $f(x_{2k+1})$  is positive, this implies

$$\frac{(qr)^k + (1+q) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j}{(qr)^k} f(x_1) \geq \frac{(1+q) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j}{(qr)^k} f(x_0).$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1) &\geq \frac{(1+q) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j}{(qr)^k + (1+q) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (qr)^j} f(x_0) \\
 &= \frac{(1+q)((qr)^k - 1)/(qr - 1)}{(qr)^k + (1+q)((qr)^k - 1)/(qr - 1)} f(x_0) \\
 &= \frac{(1+q)((qr)^k - 1)}{(qr)^k(qr - 1) + (1+q)((qr)^k - 1)} f(x_0) \\
 &= \frac{(1+q)((qr)^k - 1)}{q^{k+1}r^{k+1} - q^k r^k + q^k r^k + q^{k+1}r^k - 1 - q} f(x_0) \\
 &= \frac{(1+q)((qr)^k - 1)}{q^{k+1}r^{k+1} + q^{k+1}r^k - 1 - q} f(x_0) \\
 &= \frac{(qr)^k(1+q) - (1+q)}{q(qr)^k(r+1) - (1+q)} f(x_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

When  $k$  tends to  $+\infty$ , we find

$$f(x_1) \geq \frac{1+q}{q(r+1)} f(x_0).$$

Inverting the roles of  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , as well as the roles of  $q$  and  $r$ , we get

$$f(x_0) \geq \frac{1+r}{r(q+1)} f(x_1).$$

The conclusion follows. □

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a biregular tree of degrees  $q+1$  and  $r+1$ . If  $f$  is a positive harmonic function on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $x, y$  are two vertices in  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $d(x, y) = 2$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{qr} f(x) \leq f(y) \leq qr \cdot f(x).$$

**Proof.** First, we suppose that  $x$  is of degree  $q+1$ . Let  $z$  be the vertex in  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $d(x, z) = 1 = d(z, y)$ . By Proposition 3.3 we get

$$f(y) \geq \frac{r+1}{r(q+1)} f(z) \geq \frac{r+1}{r(q+1)} \cdot \frac{q+1}{q(r+1)} f(x) = \frac{1}{rq} f(x).$$

The other inequality is obtained by inverting the roles of  $x$  and  $y$ .

For  $x$  of degree  $r+1$ , invert the roles of  $q$  and  $r$  in the preceding reasoning. □

Let  $\zeta = (\dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$  be an infinite path in  $\mathbb{T}$ , with  $x_0$  of degree  $q+1$ . Is it possible to find a positive harmonic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that its growth along this path is maximal? If we fix  $f(x_0) = 1$ , then we deduce from proposition 3.3 and corollary 3.4 that we must set, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$f(x_{2k}) = (qr)^k \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_{2k+1}) = (qr)^k \frac{r(q+1)}{r+1}. \tag{7}$$

Consider now the  $r-1$  neighbours of  $x_1$  other than  $x_0$  and  $x_2$ . If we suppose that  $f$  takes the same value  $\alpha$  on all these vertices, then the harmonicity of  $f$  at  $x_1$  implies that  $(f(x_0)+f(x_2)+(r-1)\alpha)/(r+1) = f(x_1)$  or

$$\frac{1}{r+1}(1+qr+(r-1)\alpha) = \frac{r(q+1)}{r+1}.$$

Hence  $1 + qr + (r - 1)\alpha = rq + r$  and finally  $\alpha = 1 = f(x_0)$ . This also shows that  $f$  must take this same value on these  $r - 1$  neighbours, because if it was not the case, the value on at least one neighbour,  $y$  say, would be less than 1, contradicting proposition 3.3 applied to  $y$  and  $x_1$ .

Consider next the  $q - 1$  neighbours of  $x_0$  other than  $x_{-1}$  and  $x_1$ . If we suppose that  $f$  takes the same value  $\beta$  on all these vertices, then the harmonicity of  $f$  at  $x_0$  implies that  $(f(x_{-1}) + f(x_1) + (q - 1)\beta)/(q + 1) = f(x_0)$  or

$$\frac{1}{q + 1} \left( \frac{q + 1}{q(r + 1)} + \frac{r(q + 1)}{r + 1} + (q - 1)\beta \right) = 1,$$

whose solution is  $\beta = (q + 1)/(q(r + 1)) = f(x_{-1})$ . This also shows that  $f$  must take this same value on these  $q - 1$  neighbours, because if it was not the case, the value on at least one neighbour,  $y$  say, would be less than  $(q + 1)/(q(r + 1))$ , contradicting proposition 3.3 applied to  $y$  and  $x_0$ .

**Conclusion 3.5.** *Given an infinite path  $\zeta = (\dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $x_0$  of degree  $q + 1$ , there exists one and only one positive harmonic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $f(x_0) = 1$  such that  $f$  has maximal growth along  $\zeta$ . On  $\zeta$ ,  $f$  is given by (7) and on a vertex  $y$  not in  $\zeta$  it is defined as follows: let  $x$  be the vertex in  $\zeta$  closest to  $y$ , and  $n$  the distance between  $x$  and  $y$ ; then*

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} f(x) \cdot (qr)^{-k} & \text{if } n = 2k, \\ f(x) \cdot (qr)^{-k} \frac{q + 1}{q(r + 1)} & \text{if } n = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.6.** *Proposition 3.3 and corollary 3.4 are in fact true for positive superharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{T}$ . (A function is superharmonic at a vertex  $x$  if its value at  $x$  is greater or equal to the arithmetical mean of its values at the neighbours of  $x$ .)*

This follows from the fact that lemma 3.1 and lemma 3.2 are true for real valued superharmonic functions. Indeed, we can modify the proof of lemma 3.1 by changing (4) to

$$\frac{f(x_0) + f(x_2) + f(x_2^{(1)}) + \dots + f(x_2^{(r-1)})}{r + 1} \leq f(x_1);$$

hence  $f(x_2) + f(x_2^{(1)}) + \dots + f(x_2^{(r-1)}) \leq (r + 1)f(x_1) - f(x_0)$ . The end of the proof needs no change, and neither do the other proofs need one.

## References

- [1] V. Anandam, Harmonic functions and potentials on finite and infinite networks, Springer, Heidelberg, Bologna (2011).
- [2] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, W. Ramey, Harmonic function theory, Springer-Verlag, New York (2001).
- [3] N. L. Biggs, Discrete mathematics, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1985).
- [4] P. Cartier, Fonctions harmoniques sur un arbre, Sympos. Math. 9 (1972) 203–270.
- [5] J. M. Cohen, F. Colonna, The Bloch space of a homogeneous tree, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 37 (1992) 63–82.
- [6] E. Nelson, A proof of Liouville’s theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12(6) (1961) 995.
- [7] W. Woess, Random walks on infinite graphs and groups, Cambridge University Press (2000).