

# A Simple Awareness For Women About (SAWA) stages of labor on women's childbirth experience using the childbirth roadmap tool: a quasi-experimental study

Howieda Fouly<sup>1\*</sup>, Mervat Gaber Zaghloul<sup>2</sup>, Intisar Alshiekh<sup>3</sup>, and Ayat Masoud<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Nursing, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Nursing, Port Said University, Port Said, Egypt

<sup>3</sup> Department of Nursing, University of Jeddah, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>4</sup> Faculty of Nursing, Fayoum University, Fayoum, Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup> College of Applied Medical Sciences, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

\*Correspondence: Howieda Fouly. Address: Faculty of Nursing, Assiut University. Email: [hoida.elfouly2@aun.edu.eg](mailto:hoida.elfouly2@aun.edu.eg)

Responsible Editor: Retnayu Pradanie

Received: 1 May 2023 ◦ Revised: 24 June 2023 ◦ Accepted: 24 June 2023

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Lack of knowledge about the childbirth process may lead to stress during labor. However, research confirmed that women's knowledge regarding childbirth progress can increase their comfort and satisfaction during labor. This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of the Simple Awareness for Women About (SAWA) labor stages program on their childbirth experience using the childbirth roadmap tool.

**Methods:** A pretest and posttest technique was employed and conducted at the Labor Department, Woman Health Hospital, affiliated with Assiut University, Egypt. Based on the eligibility criteria, a convenience sampling method was used to recruit all parturient women admitted between December 2019 and February 2020. The sample consisted of 172 participants and the data were analyzed with chi-square and t-test using SPSS version 25.

**Results:** A statistically significant difference was observed in the baseline information regarding the stages of childbirth after the SAWA program implementation at  $P < 0.001$ . There was a significant relationship between the mode of delivery and the participant's satisfaction with the study tool at  $P < 0.001$ .

**Conclusions:** This study highlighted the benefits of using a simple, attractively illustrated instrument, the childbirth roadmap, for achieving the meaning of support and women's satisfaction through the SAWA program during labor stages.

**Keywords:** effectiveness, simple awareness, women, stages of labor, childbirth roadmap experience

## Introduction

Women and families consider pregnancy and childbirth as unique events. Therefore, they hold different expectations during childbearing based on their knowledge, experiences, beliefs, cultures, and social and family backgrounds. In light of these differences, care should be modified and systematized to meet their needs by understanding and respecting

their attitudes (Iravani et al., [2015](#)). Women's knowledge regarding the process of childbirth can increase their comfort and satisfaction during labor. Various methods including reading, watching videos, attending childbirth classes, and discussing with caregivers, doulas, family, and friends may provide women with comfort. This information enables women to experience a safe and satisfying journey of childbirth



(Churchill, [2012](#)). This, in turn, has a long-term impact on women as well as their families (Maimburg et al., [2016](#)).

On the other hand, extra medical involvements and with low knowledge of childbirth positions among women (Zileni et al., [2017](#)) and recumbent positions have been adopted by many obstetricians (Solnes Miltenburg et al., [2018](#)) through the childbirth process (Mselle & Eustace, [2020](#)). However, previous research confirmed that free positioning and being in an upright position can also increase women's comfort (Gizzo et al., [2014](#)) during the first stage of labor and enhances speed of normal childbirth, reduce medical mediations, and meets the mother's physiological and mental demands. Moreover, research evidence has proven that free positioning during childbirth can distract concentration and increase a woman's sense of control and sequency, lower consuming of epidural analgesia (Hodnett et al., [2013](#)) and alleviate labor pain and anxiety (Gau et al., [2011](#)).

Therefore, the childbirth roadmap highlights the effect of a variety of methods such as birth-ball massage and breathing management during uterine contraction. The birth ball helps the relaxing of pelvic muscles, especially the levator ani muscles and pelvic ligaments (Gallo et al., [2014](#)) and helps women to actively participate in the childbirth process (Gau et al., [2011](#)). Hence, concerning the association between high-quality maternity care and infant health, the women freely changing uncomfortable positions and holding stability and coordination increases her self-confidence and consequently enriches high-quality maternity care and infant health.

Moreover, previous studies have confirmed a strong relationship between positive experiences during childbearing and a sense of safety and satisfaction. In addition to other vital factors, "a safe environment and emotional strength" lead to improved childbirth satisfaction (Aune et al., [2015](#); Karlström et al., [2015](#)). Furthermore, labor contentment has been linked with caregivers' support, healthcare providers' communication skills, and the staff's understanding of women's needs (Ahmad et al., [2012](#)). However, both mothers' and midwives' perceptions regarding the content of childbearing information are important in the development of an effective childbirth education program for pregnant women (Malata & Chirwa, [2011](#)).

Therefore, the proper preparation, in terms of support with information related to each stage of childbirth, may be reflective of the whole fear-inducing lack of understanding and familiarity with women with the birthing process. Hence, educating women about

the labor procedure as well as offering them information about each stage and the progress of delivery would enrich their childbirth satisfaction. In addition, providing a simple birth illustration, such as a story, may be an excellent choice to enhance women's labor contentment (Howarth et al., [2019](#)).

Some years ago, Penny Simkin developed a visual model to guide women through the labor progress using an illustrated image of a roadmap. The model shows the key childbirth landmarks, appropriate actions, and comfort measures during its progression (see [Figure 1](#)). The roadmap represents three pathways. The main brick road denotes normal labor and demonstrates supportive actions, positions, and comfort techniques for its progress. The turns and spirals in the brick road indicate that normal labor does not progress in a straight line. The care provider can utilize it as a tool to conduct organized discussions of normal labor progress, providing a clear and effective way to impart knowledge related to normal labor (Churchill, [2012](#)).

Women's active participation in the childbirth process include such as decision-making, accessibility of information, awareness of the care provided, and support during childbirth and delivery (Fair & Morrison, [2012](#)). Recently, a comparative multi-country study conducted in three Arab countries, including Egypt, confirmed that women's experiences and satisfaction with labor were linked to women's feelings of control regarding the facility's routine work, rather than awareness and knowledge related to childbirth stages (Kabakian-Khasholian et al., [2017](#)).

The World Health Organization has suggested intrapartum care as affecting the childbirth experience positively (WHO, [2016](#)). In addition, women who receive continuous support from hospital professionals during labor, such as nurses, tend to have better birth outcomes such as lower rates of emergency cesarean section and instrument deliveries, and higher satisfaction with the childbirth experience (Hodnett et al., [2013](#); Stark et al., [2016](#); Wang et al., [2021](#)). Our study considered a pioneer study in Egypt to use the childbirth roadmap as a simple tool for guiding women during the progress of childbirth accompanied by the healthcare provider throughout, in the form of the Arabic acronym Simple Awareness for Women About ("SAWA") which refers to being together. This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of the SAWA program of the stages of birth using a childbirth roadmap. The study aimed to assess women's knowledge about the stages of labor after the implementation of SAWA and to determine the effect of



Figure 1. Roadmap of labor (Simkin, 2014)

using a childbirth roadmap on women's satisfaction and labor outcomes.

## Materials and Methods

### Study design

A quasi-experimental pretest-posttest method was employed to examine parturient women's knowledge about the first stage of labor to the fourth before and after using the childbirth roadmap as a guiding awareness tool, and their satisfaction, respectively. This study was conducted at the labor department of the Woman Health Hospital, affiliated with Assiut University, Egypt.

### Study sample

This study employed convenience sampling to recruit all the parturient women admitted to the hospital between December 2019 and February 2020. The sampling technique was based on the eligibility criteria and the convenience of the participants. Then the recruitment used a simple randomization method by using an envelope containing two folded papers with two letters A for participation and B for no participation.

The sample consisted of 172 participants selected according to the eligibility criteria. The sample size was

calculated using the G power program using the following data: effect size 0.3,  $\alpha$  error prop 0.05, one tail, power ( $1-\beta$  err prop) 97% using the difference between two independent means (matched paired). The sample size was supposed to be 166 but increased to 172 participants to increase the power.

### Participant criteria

The study enrolled all pregnant women when they were in the first stage of labor (early latent phase), in which the cervix is 0-3 cm dilated, contractions occurred every 10-20 min, lasting for 15-30 seconds, and mild intensity pain and healthy pregnancy without medical diseases. Those in the first stage (active phase: cervix, 4-7 cm) experienced contractions every 2-3 min continuing for 50-60 sec, and moderate and high-risk pregnancies related to chronic diseases were excluded from the research.

### Instruments

The current study used two questionnaires, the first questionnaire was developed based on the available literature (Begley et al., 2014; Carquillat et al., 2017). The questionnaire consisted of six sections: the first section included seven questions: age, residence, education, occupation, husband's occupation, and

education. The second section comprised of four questions about obstetric history. Third section comprised six items on childbirth physiology information. The fourth section included 22 questions regarding normal labor and women's actions during each stage of labor. The fifth section comprised six items related to women's perception and the satisfaction with childbirth roadmap as a childbirth facilitation tool. The sixth and final section included four questions regarding labor outcomes.

The second questionnaire is the childbirth roadmap is a visual tool created by Churchill, (2012) to guide women during their childbirth journey. The roadmap includes three pathways, namely, the normal labor path, the detour for back pain, and the epidural highway. In our study, only the normal childbirth pathway was employed because of the inclusion criteria. The demonstration shows childbearing as a journey that begins with early labor and ends with delivery. The childbirth roadmap includes three tracks: a winding yellow brick road with twists, turns, and devoid of fixed timelines; a diversion for back pain, which is a longer, irregular road that eventually rejoins the yellow brick road; and the epidural highway, which represents the choice to have an epidural for pain relief. Each pathway ends joyfully with the infant's birth. This demonstration includes illustrations of a variety of comfort measures that can be used as labor progresses. The roadmap employs the road symbol to define each stage of labor (Figure 1).

#### Validity and reliability

The questionnaire validity was tested through face, content, and experts performed convergent validity of the questionnaire in the disciplines of obstetrics and gynecology nursing and medical fields. In addition, its reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha which was found to be 0.80, indicating the scale's high level of internal consistency for our study's sample. The questionnaire was tested on 17 parturients using Arabic language to conduct data collections. The translated questionnaire was reviewed by five experts in obstetrics and gynecology from nursing and medical school. The jury confirmed the applicability of the translated version. The researcher conducted a pilot study to examine its feasibility, applicability, acceptability, and consistency. The author omitted the last open-ended question because the participants didn't answer.

#### Intervention

Intervention was conducted by the researcher, who holds a PhD in obstetric and gynecologic nursing. The

first part was initiated by explaining the study's objective to obtain informed consent from the participants. A patient questionnaire was used to collect data regarding demographic characteristics and knowledge level of procedures performed during labor and determined the needs related to each stage through the pretest. The second part, which is the posttest, used the interactive tool, "the childbirth roadmap," which was an amusing way to educate expectant mothers about what to anticipate during labor. During the use of the childbirth roadmap, the researcher applied the steps involved guided by the map while making certain modifications according to the hospital's facilities.

Step 1: If the cervical dilation was 1-2 cm and the contractions were every 5 min, the researcher encouraged walking, eating, drinking, resting, and relaxing by lying down. Furthermore, the researcher taught breathing techniques, if needed, and, if

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants

| Demographic characteristics                      | n (%)      | Mean (SD)    |
|--|------------|--------------|
| Age (in years):                                  |            | 23.60 (5.93) |
| 18-24  | 120 (69.8) |              |
| 25-30  | 38 (22.1)  |              |
| 31-36  | 0.0 (0.00) |              |
| 37-42  | 14 (8.1)   |              |
| Education level:                                 |            |              |
| Illiterate                                       | 2 (1.2)    |              |
| Read and write (without finishing formal school) | 53 (30.8)  |              |
| Primary school                                   | 13 (7.6)   |              |
| Prep school                                      | 22 (12.8)  |              |
| Secondary school                                 | 33 (19.2)  |              |
| University                                       | 49 (28.4)  |              |
| Residence:                                       |            |              |
| Rural  | 86 (50.0)  |              |
| Urban  | 41 (23.8)  |              |
| Semi-urban                                       | 45 (26.2)  |              |
| Occupation:                                      |            |              |
| Housewife  | 147 (85.5) |              |
| Employee   | 25 (14.5)  |              |
| <b>Obstetric profile</b>                         |            |              |
| Gravidity:                                       |            | 1.41 (0.82)  |
| Primigravida                                     | 130 (75.5) |              |
| Gravida 2-4                                      | 40 (23.2)  |              |
| Gravida >5                                       | 2 (1.3)    |              |
| Parity:  |            | 0.34 (0.71)  |
| Para 1-2   | 39 (22.7)  |              |
| Para 3-4   | 3 (2.3)    |              |
| Abortion   |            | 0.05 (0.23)  |
| None   | 162 (94.2) |              |
| 1 abortion                                       | 10 (5.8)   |              |
| Living children:                                 |            |              |
| None   | 130 (76.6) |              |
| 1 child  | 28 (16.3)  |              |
| 2 children                                       | 12 (7.0)   |              |
| 3 children                                       | 2 (1.2)    |              |
| Neonatal deaths:                                 |            |              |
| No   | 160 (93.0) |              |
| Yes  | 12 (7.0)   |              |

Table 2. Previous information of participants regarding childbirth circumstances for the total sample (N=172)

| Childbirth circumstances                             | Yes |      | No  |      | Mean (SD)   |
|--|-----|------|-----|------|-------------|
|  | n   | (%)  | n   | (%)  |             |
| <b>Previous information regarding childbirth</b>     | 133 | 77.3 | 39  | 22.7 | 1.22 (0.41) |
| Source information:                                  |     |      |     |      |             |
| Mother   | 51  | 38.3 |     |      |             |
| Relative   | 30  | 22.5 |     |      |             |
| Doctor   | 23  | 17.2 |     |      | 0.71 (1.30) |
| Books and magazine                                   | 13  | 1.7  |     |      |             |
| Internet   | 16  | 12.3 |     |      |             |
| The adequacy of information to release anxiety       | 23  | 13.4 | 149 | 86.6 | 1.86 (0.34) |
| The desire to know more about the childbirth process | 168 | 97.7 | 4   | 2.3  | 1.02 (0.15) |
| Reasons for receiving childbirth information:        |     |      |     |      |             |
| None   | 7   | 4.1  |     |      |             |
| Need information urgently                            | 6   | 3.5  |     |      |             |
| To differentiate between normal and abnormal         | 21  | 12.3 |     |      |             |
| To decrease anxiety                                  | 41  | 23.8 |     |      |             |
| For reassurance                                      | 83  | 48.5 |     |      | 5.14 (7.60) |
| This is my right                                     | 2   | 1.2  |     |      |             |
| (2 & 4 choices)                                      | 9*  | 2.8  |     |      |             |
| (3 & 4 choices)                                      | 7*  | 4.1  |     |      |             |
| (3 & 6 choices)                                      | 3*  | 1.7  |     |      |             |
| The appropriate time to get childbirth information:  |     |      |     |      |             |
| First trimester                                      | 2   | 1.2  |     |      |             |
| Second trimester                                     | 1   | 0.6  |     |      |             |
| Last trimester                                       | 66  | 38.4 |     |      |             |
| During labor   | 103 | 59.9 |     |      |             |

Note: \* The participants responded with multiple answers, thus, the total percentage is 119 rather than 100.

membranes were intact, women were encouraged to participate in the care. In case of women feeling thirsty, the researcher offered fluids or ice chips and withholding of food and fluids to prevent aspiration in the event of an unexpected cesarean section.

Step 2: At 3-5 cm, with contractions 4 min apart, lasting for 1 minute, the researcher used non-pharmacologic techniques of pain control measures, education was provided between uterine contractions, and the woman

was encouraged to use the comfort measures, e.g., use of the focal point, visual imagery, breathing, and application of relaxing massage). In addition, the 3Rs were followed to maintain reinforcement of relaxation, with a regular rhythm, using relax.

Step 3: At 5-8 cm, with contractions occurring consistently 3-4 minutes apart, the pain tends to increase by 7 cm. The researcher allowed the patient to make informed decisions regarding pain control. In the

Table 3. Participants' information regarding the current childbirth process for the total sample before and after using the childbirth roadmap (N=172)

| Current childbirth                                    | Before using the roadmap |            | After using the roadmap |            | P-Value |
|---|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|
|   | Yes n (%)                | No n (%)   | Yes n (%)               | No n (%)   |         |
| General information regarding normal labor            |                          |            |                         |            |         |
| Childbirth start time                                 | 34 (19.8)                | 138 (80.2) | 136 (78.1)              | 36 (21.9)  | <0.001  |
| Warning signs of labor                                | 34 (19.8)                | 138 (80.2) | 134 (77.9)              | 38 (22.1)  | 0.001   |
| Differentiate between true and false labor            | 6 (3.5)                  | 166 (96.5) | 114 (66.3)              | 58 (33.7)  | 0.001   |
| Stages of labor                                       | 35 (10.8)                | 137 (79.7) | 135 (78.5)              | 37 (21.5)  | <0.001  |
| Action of medications taken during labor              | 40 (23.3)                | 132 (76.7) | 160 (93.0)              | 12 (7.0)   | <0.001  |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> stage                                 |                          |            |                         |            |         |
| Criteria of the first stage of labor                  | 10 (5.9)                 | 162 (94.2) | 123 (76.7)              | 49 (23.3)  | 0.001   |
| Labor starts  | 7 (4.1)                  | 165 (95.9) | 160 (93.0)              | 12 (0.7)   | <0.001  |
| Normal duration of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage of labor | 90 (52.3)                | 82 (47.7)  | 151 (87.8)              | 21 (12.2)  | 0.001   |
| Physiology and location of the pain                   | 107 (62.2)               | 65 (37.8)  | 130 (75.6)              | 42 (24.4)  | 0.001   |
| Cervix and its dilatation                             | 46 (26.7)                | 126 (73.3) | 144 (83.7)              | 28 (16.3)  | 0.001   |
| How should one move in this stage                     | 110 (64.0)               | 62 (36.0)  | 124 (72.1)              | 48 (27.9)  | 0.001   |
| How should one breathe                                | 139 (80.8)               | 33 (19.2)  | 150 (87.2)              | 22 (12.8)  | 0.001   |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage                                 |                          |            |                         |            |         |
| Criteria of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage of labor        | 48 (27.9)                | 124 (72.1) | 142 (27.9)              | 124 (72.1) | 0.272   |
| Duration of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage                 | 63 (36.6)                | 109 (63.4) | 151 (78.8)              | 21 (12.2)  | 0.001   |
| Cervical changes in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage         | 81 (47.1)                | 91 (52.9)  | 128 (74.4)              | 44 (25.6)  | <0.001  |
| Physiology and location of pain in this stage         | 24 (14.0)                | 148 (86.0) | 104 (60.5)              | 68 (39.5)  | 0.002   |
| Reaction in this stage toward bearing down            | 32 (18.6)                | 140 (81.4) | 110 (64.0)              | 62 (36.0)  | 0.002   |
| Right position that should be taken                   | 34 (19.8)                | 138 (80.2) | 166 (96.5)              | 6 (3.5)    | <0.001  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage                                 |                          |            |                         |            |         |
| Criteria of this stage of labor                       | 45 (26.2)                | 127 (73.8) | 135 (78.5)              | 37 (21.5)  | 0.001   |
| Physiological changes of this stage                   | 71 (41.3)                | 101 (58.7) | 172 (100)               | 0 (0)      | <0.001  |
| The normal duration of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage      | 46 (26.7)                | 126 (73.3) | 109 (63.4)              | 63 (36.6)  | 0.021   |
| reaction during the 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage             | 132 (76.7)               | 40 (23.3)  | 172 (100)               | 0 (0)      | <0.001  |
| Right position during the 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage       | 46 (26.7)                | 126 (73.3) | 165 (96.0)              | 7 (4.0)    | <0.001  |
| Information on the 4 <sup>th</sup> stage of labor     | 110 (64.0)               | 62 (36.0)  | 124 (72.1)              | 48 (27.9)  | 0.001   |

Table 4. The relationship between the participants' satisfaction regarding their childbirth information before and after using the childbirth roadmap

| Current childbirth information | Strongly satisfied | Satisfied  | Neutral    | Dissatisfied | Strongly dissatisfied |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Normal labor                   |                    |            |            |              |                       |
| Before n (%)                   | 6 (3.5)            | 58 (33.7)  | 64 (37.2)  | 44 (25.6)    | 0 (0)                 |
| After n (%)                    | 77 (44.8)          | 82 (47.7)  | 12 (7.0)   | 1 (0.5)      |                       |
| P-value                        | 0.001              | 0.002      | 0.010      | <0.001       |                       |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> stage of labor |                    |            |            |              |                       |
| Before n (%)                   | 12 (0.7)           | 23 (13.4)  | 52 (30.2)  | 80 (46.5)    | 5 (2.9)               |
| After n (%)                    | 50 (29.1)          | 69 (40.1)  | 22 (13.0)  | 30 (17.4)    | 1 (0.5)               |
| P-value                        | 0.012              | 0.001      | 0.013      | 0.024        | 0.010                 |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage of labor |                    |            |            |              |                       |
| Before n (%)                   | 18 (10.5)          | 24 (14.0)  | 122 (70.9) | 5 (2.9)      | 3 (1.7)               |
| After n (%)                    | 53 (30.8)          | 61 (35.5)  | 49 (28.5)  | 3 (1.7)      | 2 (1.2)               |
| P-value                        | 0.002              | 0.002      | 0.010      | 0.032        | 0.41                  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage of labor |                    |            |            |              |                       |
| Before n (%)                   | 17                 | 28         | 101 (91.3) | 16 (8.7)     | 10                    |
| After n (%)                    | 60 (34.9)          | 112 (65.1) | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)        | 0 (0)                 |
| P-value                        | 0.004              | 0.001      | <0.001     | <0.001       | <0.001                |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> stage of labor |                    |            |            |              |                       |
| Before n (%)                   | 7 (4.1)            | 18 (10.5)  | 109 (63.4) | 30 (17.4)    | 8 (4.6)               |
| After n (%)                    | 26 (15.1)          | 86 (50.0)  | 49 (28.5)  | 10 (5.8)     | 1 (0.5)               |
| P-value                        | 0.002              | 0.001      | 0.053      | 0.050        | 0.002                 |

case of NORMAL LABOR, the woman was staying hydrated, resting, and leaning forward. If there was a DETOUR - "BACK" LABOR, the woman leant on the birth ball or on the bed. The ball was replaced with a pillow and moved from side to side with bending the knees while counter pressure was applied using the double hip squeeze method, thus reaching the TAKE THE TOLL ROAD - GET THE PAIN RELIEF. During this stage, the researcher observed the labor team during the administration of intravenous fluids and urinary catheter as well as frequent recordings of blood pressure, in addition to Pitocin which was administered frequently according to physician order.

Step 4: At 8-10 cm, with contractions 2-3 minutes apart. The researcher guided the woman to explain how she is feeling such as cold or hot, and instructed the woman to get sleep and waits if she can.

Step 5: At 10 cm also called the "second wind," the researcher advised the woman to push with the urge, while using upright positions such as squatting, hands, and knees. The PhD researcher continued in providing positive reinforcement and reassurance to both the women and their support persons to implement these techniques. Finally, the patient satisfaction part of the questionnaire was completed. Post-labor, mothers were requested to express their satisfaction on a 5-point Likert scale (strongly satisfied, satisfied, neutral, dissatisfied, and strongly dissatisfied) after they were provided with moment by moment information regarding their condition during the childbirth process. The whole time that researcher spent with the woman during labor ranged between 2-5 hours based on the progress of labor.

### Ethical considerations

Informed consent was obtained from all participants before data collection and all the participants could read and write except two were illiterate and the author got the agreement from their husbands after an explanation of the study's purpose for them too. The ethical committee of the faculty of medicine and nursing faculty at Assiut University approved the research proposal (No. 245/ 2019). The informed consent included written consent and verbal consent from 172 participants who had limited literacy.

### Statistical analysis

The data were managed and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 20. The findings were expressed using frequencies and percentages. Inferential statistics, such as a chi-square test, were conducted to find the association between nominal (categorical) variables. In addition, the Pearson correlation coefficients were used to evaluate the direction of the relationship (positive or negative) between two or more quantitative or numerical variables. P-values were statistically significant at 0.05.

### Results

The socio-demographic characteristics of the participants in [Table 1](#) show that over 69.8% of the participants belonged to the 18–24-year age group, with a mean of 23.60 (SD=+5.93). For education level, 4% had received a university level of education and around 30.8% could read and write without finishing formal school. In all, 50% of the participants lived in rural areas,

Table 5a. The association between the participant's satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap and the mode of delivery

|                                       | Delivery mode |          | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------|
|                                       | Normal        | Assisted |         |
| How would you evaluate this labor map |               |          | 0.04*   |
| Strongly satisfied                    | 17            | 4        |         |
| Satisfied                             | 39            | 3        |         |
| Neutral                               | 74            | 30       |         |
| Unsatisfied                           | 4             | 1        |         |
| Strongly unsatisfied                  | 0             | 0        |         |
| Total                                 | 134           | 38       |         |

Note: \*p-value <0.05

and the majority of women were housewives, 85.5%. Regarding the participants' obstetric profiles, 75.5% were primigravida, and 22% had parity at least once. Only 5.8 % had a history of abortion. The majority of them had no history of neonatal deaths.

Table 2 indicates that more than 77.3% of the participants had previous information regarding childbirth, and nearly 38.3% and 22.5% received it from their mothers and relatives, respectively. A minority of the participants, 13.4%, reported that their previous information was adequate to release anxiety. Although the most significant proportion of participants, 97.7%, desired more information about childbirth for many reasons, the most common ones included decreasing anxiety (48.5%), followed by the ability to differentiate between normal and abnormal labor progress. Moreover, nearly 59.9% believed that the appropriate time to receive childbirth information was during labor, while 38.4% thought it was necessary in the last trimester of pregnancy.

The participants' childbirth information regarding the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th stages gained through the childbirth roadmap (Table 3) indicated that the majority's baseline information was significantly increased (P<0.001), excluding one item regarding the 2nd stage ("criteria of 2nd stage of labor"). Although using the roadmap increased their knowledge, the differences reported were not statistically significant (P=0.272).

Table 4 displays a statistically significant relationship between women's satisfaction regarding labor information before and after using the childbirth roadmap (P<0.001). Almost all the participants (92.5%) were either strongly satisfied or satisfied with their information regarding the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th stages of labor (66.3%, 69.2%, 100%, and 65.1%, respectively). It was found to increase after the implementation of the childbirth roadmap.

Table 5a shows a significant relationship between the mode of delivery and the participants' satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap tool, whereby the majority

Table 5c. Association between the neonatal outcomes assessed using the Apgar score and the participants' satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap

|   | What is the Apgar score? |                          |                     | P-value |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
|   | Severely depressed (0-3) | Moderate depressed (4-6) | Good /Normal (7-10) |         |
| How would you evaluate this labor roadmap |                          |                          |                     |         |
| Strongly satisfied                        | 1                        | 1                        | 19                  | 0.03*   |
| Satisfied                                 | 1                        | 0                        | 41                  |         |
| Neutral                                   | 1                        | 8                        | 95                  |         |
| Unsatisfied                               | 1                        | 1                        | 3                   |         |
| Strongly unsatisfied                      | 0                        | 0                        | 0                   |         |
| Total of 172                              | 4                        | 10                       | 158                 | 100%    |

Note: \*p-value <0.05

of them (134; 77.0%) who delivered normally were either satisfied or strongly satisfied with the tool (P=0.04). Table 5b reveals no statistically significant relationship between the duration of the second stage of labor and the participants' satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap (P=0.63). However, most satisfied and strongly satisfied participants reported the least contentment with the second stage of labor. Table 5c displays a statistically significant relationship (P=0.03) between the neonatal conditions reported using the Apgar score, at 1 and 5 minutes immediately after the delivery, and the participants' satisfaction with the tool. The majority of them (90.1%) who were satisfied with the roadmap for their neonatal outcomes reported good conditions by the Apgar scores.

Table 6 shows a statistically significant relationship between the participants' satisfaction and their recommendation of using the childbirth roadmap as a guidance tool (P<0.001). The vast majority of the participants were satisfied either strongly (97.0%) or to some extent (96.5%) and recommended using a childbirth roadmap as a guidance tool.

### Discussions

This study aimed to assess the improvements in women's knowledge, satisfaction, and experience of childbirth using a simple awareness program about stages of birth (SAWA) by employing a childbirth roadmap tool. Our study found that the women's knowledge about the labor stages improved dramatically, and both satisfaction with the childbearing outcomes and the roadmap increased significantly. These findings may indicate the way of providing the information integrated with an attractive illustration, the "childbirth roadmap," and its simple delivery by the healthcare providers, and the study's researchers.

Table 6. The association between the participants' satisfaction and the recommendation of using the childbirth roadmap as a guidance tool

| Recommendation of childbirth roadmap as a guidance tool | Satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap |           |            |             | P-value    |
|---|--|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|
|   | Strongly satisfied                       | Satisfied | Neutral    | Unsatisfied |            |
| Strongly recommend                                      | 13                                       | 12        | 45         | 3           | 0.001*     |
| Recommend   | 6  | 28        | 48         | 1           |            |
| Somewhat recommend                                      | 1  | 2         | 11         | 0           |            |
| Not recommend   | 1  | 0         | 0          | 1           |            |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>21</b>                                | <b>42</b> | <b>104</b> | <b>5</b>    | <b>172</b> |

Note: \*p-value <0.001

The improvement of knowledge and satisfaction due to the use of a newly illustrated tool in the form of brief stories related to each labor stage provided women with an excellent example of self-guidance. Similarly, the studies conducted by Howarth et al. (2019), and Howarth and Swain (2019) regarding the low-cost, and self-paced educational programs and relation to birth satisfaction in new mothers as these studies reflected that skill-based childbirth preparation increases childbirth self-efficacy for first-time mothers, in which the user of an educational program imparted knowledge through birth stories and was a form of a role model for the participants, thus having a direct influence on their childbirth satisfaction. Consequently, women's knowledge improvement may have long-standing well-being for both themselves and their families (Maimburg et al., 2016).

The integration of information with the continuous support of healthcare providers (study researchers) was crucial. Similarly, previous studies (Hodnett et al., 2013; Iravani et al., 2015; Stark et al., 2016) reported that the presence of healthcare providers in the labor room was an essential element in parturient women's requirements during childbirth because it decreased their anxiety levels.

The World Health Organization recommends that laboring women be accompanied by trusted care providers, such as doulas, midwives, or family members (WHO, 2016). The participants in this study expressed a need for receiving information regarding the labor stages which was evidenced by the significant difference between their knowledge before and after using the SAWA program. Likewise, Malata and Chirwa (2011) and Iravani et al. (2015) reported that participants required information and were interested in the information received from healthcare providers during childbirth. Our findings reported a significant relationship between

the mode of delivery and the participant satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap tool; the majority of those who underwent normal delivery were strongly satisfied with it. An unpredicted surgical intervention or instrumental delivery was experienced negatively by women (Martin et al., 2017). Therefore, previous studies reported lower satisfaction with induced labor and cesarean section (Howarth & Swain, 2019; Martin et al., 2017).

Normal childbirth without complications is the most expected by women; however, when the childbirth outcomes end with an unpredicted sequence, lower satisfaction may be experienced due to other factors associated with the cesarean method (Carquillat et al., 2017; Hutton and Hall, 2014). Regarding the duration of labor, our findings revealed that the majority of the participants who were either satisfied or strongly satisfied with the childbirth roadmap tool reported the second stage as having the least duration, with no statistically significant association between its duration and satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap.

There is a partially, convenience with studies by Khresheh (2010); Wang et al. (2021), who reported a significantly shorter duration among primiparous women with the support of both a family member and hospital professional staff. The partial congruence in our study referred that the majority of women's satisfaction with the least duration of the second stage of labor.

However, the inconsistency may be because our study did not measure the complete duration of labor. Nevertheless, in our study, the researcher guided the parturient step-by-step during the first stage and the outcomes of the second stage were based on what the women followed during the initial stage-besides, a comparison between primiparous and multiparous participants was not performed as most of them in the present study were primiparous.

Table 5b. The association between the duration of the second stage of labor and the participants' satisfaction with the childbirth roadmap

| How would you evaluate this labor roadmap | Duration of the second stage of labor |         |           |            |         |      | P-value |             |   |                      |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|---------|------|---------|-------------|---|----------------------|
|   | Strongly satisfied                    |         | Satisfied |            | Neutral |      |         | Unsatisfied |   | Strongly unsatisfied |
|   | .10 min                               | .15 min | .20 min   | .25-30 min | >30 min |      |         |             |   |                      |
| <b>Total</b>                              | 119                                   | 69.2    | 22        | 12.8       | 22      | 12.8 | 9       | 5.2         | 0 | 0.63                 |
|   |                                       |         |           |            | 172     | 100% |         |             |   |                      |

Note: \*p-value <0.05



Moreover, previous study by Kashanian et al. (2010) demonstrated a shorter duration of the first and second stages of labor; however, the third stage among women did not receive continuous support. This study calculated the duration of all the stages, while ours focused only on the second stage. The study's strengths and limitations: This is a pioneering study to test the childbirth roadmap tool in Egypt. The sample size is representative of the total cohort over the period of time. However, the application of road mapping needs further training for the childbirth team to be implemented in the future.

## Conclusion

This study highlighted the benefits of using a simple, attractively illustrated tool, the "childbirth roadmap," to achieve the meaning of support through the SAWA program during the stages of labor. The findings revealed a statistically significant difference between the pre/post knowledge of women regarding each stage. In addition, a statistically significant association was found between the application of the SAWA program and women's satisfaction with the tool.

This study applied a new program, SAWA, using newly illustrated guidance material that can be employed in the labor wards of hospitals and other private facilities to guide the parturient woman through her journey of childbirth. Furthermore, our research encourages using the childbirth roadmap in the initial phase of the last trimester during antenatal visits or classes, to familiarize pregnant women with it. Moreover, it could be used by nursing students during their clinical training in the antenatal and labor wards to increase mothers' awareness regarding their labor process and progress. This study recommends to use the childbirth roadmap to facilitate the birth journey for women during labor and increase the active support role by the midwife and maternity nurse to apply holistic care during childbirth.

## References

Ahmad, I., Nawaz, A., Khan, S., Khan, H., Rashid, M. A., & Khan, M. H. (2012) 'Predictors of patient satisfaction', *Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences*, 9(2). <https://doaj.org/article/3d2ef33da0444137ad6961de8c75f450>

Aune, I., Marit Torvik, H., Selboe, S.-T., Skogås, A.-K., Persen, J., & Dahlberg, U. (2015) 'Promoting a normal birth and a positive birth experience - Norwegian women's perspectives', *Midwifery*, 31(7), 721-727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2015.03.016>

Begley, C. M., Gross, M. M., Dencker, A., Benstoem, C., Berg, M., & Devane, D. (2014) 'Outcome measures in studies on the use of oxytocin for the treatment of delay in labour: A systematic review', *Midwifery*, 30(9), 975-982. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2014.06.005>

Carquillat, P., Vendittelli, F., Perneger, T., & Guittier, M.-J. (2017) 'Development of a questionnaire for assessing the childbirth

experience (QACE)', *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 17(1), 279. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1462-x>

Churchill, R. (2012) 'The labor progress handbook: Early interventions to prevent and treat dystocia, third edition', *J. Midwifery Womens. Health*, 57(4), 426-427. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1542-2011.2012.00192\\_3.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1542-2011.2012.00192_3.x)

Fair, C. D., & Morrison, T. E. (2012) 'The relationship between prenatal control, expectations, experienced control, and birth satisfaction among primiparous women', *Midwifery*, 28(1), 39-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2010.10.013>

Gallo, R. B. S., Santana, L. S., Marcolin, A. C., & Quintana, S. M. (2014) 'Swiss ball to relieve pain of primiparous in active labor', *Rev. Dor*, 15(4). <https://doi.org/10.5935/1806-0013.20140054>

Gau, M.-L., Chang, C.-Y., Tian, S.-H., & Lin, K.-C. (2011) 'Effects of birth ball exercise on pain and self-efficacy during childbirth: a randomised controlled trial in Taiwan', *Midwifery*, 27(6), e293-300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2011.02.004>

Gizzo, S., Di Gangi, S., Noventa, M., Bacile, V., Zambon, A., & Nardelli, G. B. (2014) 'Women's choice of positions during labour: return to the past or a modern way to give birth? A cohort study in Italy', *Biomed Res. Int.*, 2014, 638093. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/638093>

Hodnett, E. D., Gates, S., Hofmeyr, G. J., & Sakala, C. (2013) 'Continuous support for women during childbirth', *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 7(7), CD003766. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003766.pub5>

Howarth, A. M., & Swain, N. R. (2019) 'Skills-based childbirth preparation increases childbirth self-efficacy for first time mothers', *Midwifery*, 70, 100-105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2018.12.017>

Howarth A, A. M., Swain B ABSTRACT A Corresponding Author, N. R., & swain, nicola. (2019) 'Low-cost, self-paced, educational programmes increase birth satisfaction in first-time mothers', *New Zealand College of Midwives Journal* • Issue, 55.

Hutton, E. K., & Hall, W. (2014) 'Psychoeducation for pregnant women with fear of childbirth increases rates of spontaneous vaginal delivery, reduces caesarean rates and improves delivery experience', *Evid. Based. Nurs.*, 17(4), 105. <https://doi.org/10.1136/eb-2013-101284>

Irvani, M., Zarean, E., Janghorbani, M., & Bahrami, M. (2015) 'Women's needs and expectations during normal labor and delivery', *J. Educ. Health Promot.*, 4, 6. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9531.151885>

Kabakian-Khasholian, T., Bashour, H., El-Nemer, A., Kharouf, M., Sheikha, S., El Lakany, N., Barakat, R., Elsheikh, O., Nameh, N., Chahine, R., & Portela, A. (2017) 'Women's satisfaction and perception of control in childbirth in three Arab countries', *Reprod. Health Matters*, 25(sup1), 16-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09688080.2017.1381533>

Karlström, A., Nystedt, A., & Hildingsson, I. (2015) 'The meaning of a very positive birth experience: focus groups discussions with women', *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 15(1), 251. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-015-0683-0>

Kashanian, M., Javadi, F., & Haghighi, M. M. (2010) 'Effect of continuous support during labor on duration of labor and rate of cesarean delivery', *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet.*, 109(3), 198-200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2009.11.028>

Khresheh, R. (2010) 'Support in the first stage of labour from a female relative: the first step in improving the quality of maternity services', *Midwifery*, 26(6), e21-4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2008.11.003>

Maimburg, R. D., Væth, M., & Dahlen, H. (2016) 'Women's experience of childbirth - A five year follow-up of the randomised controlled trial "Ready for Child Trial."', *Women Birth*, 29(5), 450-454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2016.02.003>

Malata, A., & Chirwa, E. (2011) 'Childbirth information needs for first time Malawian mothers who attended antenatal clinics', *Malawi Med. J.*, 23(2), 43-47. <https://doi.org/10.4314/mmj.v23i2.70747>

Martin, C. R., Hollins Martin, C., & Redshaw, M. (2017), *The Birth Satisfaction Scale-Revised Indicator (BSS-RI)*. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 17(1), 277. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1459-5>

Mselle, L. T., & Eustace, L. (2020) 'Why do women assume a supine position when giving birth? The perceptions and experiences of

- postnatal mothers and nurse-midwives in Tanzania', *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 20(1), 36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-2726-4>
- Solnes Miltenburg, A., Kiritta, R. F., Meguid, T., & Sundby, J. (2018) 'Quality of care during childbirth in Tanzania: identification of areas that need improvement', *Reprod. Health*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-018-0463-1>
- Stark, M. A., Remyne, M., & Zwelling, E. (2016) 'Importance of the birth environment to support physiologic birth', *J. Obstet. Gynecol. Neonatal Nurs.*, 45(2), 285–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogn.2015.12.008>
- Wang, X., Abdelhamid, M., & Sanders, G. L. (2021a) 'Exploring the effects of psychological ownership, gaming motivations, and primary/secondary control on online game addiction', *Decision Support Systems*, 144 (January 2021), 113512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2021.113512>
- Wang, X., Abdelhamid, M., & Sanders, G. L. (2021b) 'Exploring the effects of psychological ownership, gaming motivations, and primary/secondary control on online game addiction', *Decision Support Systems*, 144, 113512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2021.113512>
- WHO. (2016) 'WHO recommendations Intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience Transforming care of women and babies for improved health and well-being Executive summary 1–8. 1–8'.
- Zileni, B. D., Glover, P., Jones, M., Teoh, K.-K., Zileni, C. W., & Muller, A. (2017) 'Malawi women's knowledge and use of labour and birthing positions: A cross-sectional descriptive survey', *Women Birth*, 30(1), e1–e8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2016.06.003>

**How to cite this article:** Fouly, H., Zaghoul, M. G., Alshiekh, I., and Masoud, A. (2023) 'A Simple Awareness for Women About (SAWA) stages of labor on women's childbirth experience using the childbirth roadmap tool: a quasi-experimental study', *Jurnal Ners*, 18(2), pp. 159-168. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.20473/jn.v18i2.45127>