



In an effort to facilitate the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for manuscripts for *Pythagoras*, we ask that you take a moment to update your electronic portfolio on [www.pythagoras.org.za](http://pythagoras.org.za), allowing us better access to your areas of interest and expertise, in order to match reviewers with submitted manuscripts.

**If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the *Pythagoras* website and register as a reviewer.**

To access your details on the website, follow these steps:

1. Log into *Pythagoras* online at <http://www.pythagoras.org.za>

2. In your 'user home' select 'edit my profile' under the heading 'my account' and insert all relevant details, bio statement and reviewing interest.

It is good practice as a reviewer to update your personal details regularly to ensure contact with you throughout your professional term as reviewer to *Pythagoras*.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require assistance in performing this task.

Rochelle Flint  
[submissions@pythagoras.org.za](mailto:submissions@pythagoras.org.za)  
 Tel: +27 21 975 2602  
 Fax: +27 21 975 4635

## Acknowledgement to reviewers

The quality of the articles in *Pythagoras* crucially depends on the expertise and commitment of our peer reviewers.

Reviewing is an important part of scholarly work, making a substantial contribution to the field. Reviewers' comments serve two purposes, guided by two inter-dependent objectives:

- *Pythagoras wishes to publish only original papers of the highest possible quality, making a meaningful contribution to the field.* Reviewers advise the Editor on the scholarly merits of the manuscript to help him evaluate the manuscript and to decide whether or not to publish it. Reviewers are encouraged to reject a manuscript if it is scientifically flawed, merely sets out observations with no analysis, provides no new insights, or is of insufficient interest to warrant publication.
- *Pythagoras is committed to support authors in the mathematics education community.* Reviewers help the author to improve the quality of their manuscript. Reviewers are encouraged to write their comments in a constructive and supportive manner and to be sufficiently detailed to enable the author to improve the paper and make the changes that may eventually lead to acceptance.

The following summary of outcomes of the reviewing process in 2014 shows that our reviewers do well in achieving both objectives:

No. manuscripts processed in 2014 (outcome complete)	21
Accepted <i>without changes</i>	0 (00.0%)
Accepted with <i>minor changes</i> (to the satisfaction of the Editor) <sup>1</sup>	5 (23.8%)
Accepted after <i>major revisions</i> (re-submit, then re-review) <sup>2</sup>	5 (23.8%)
Rejected after review - not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> <sup>3</sup>	6 (28.6%)
Rejected without review - not acceptable to be published in <i>Pythagoras</i> <sup>4</sup>	5 (23.8%)

We sincerely thank the following people who have reviewed these manuscripts for *Pythagoras* in 2014. We very much appreciate their time, expertise and support of *Pythagoras* amidst pressures of work.

Ana P. Lombard  
 Andrew Talmadge  
 Aneshkumar Maharaj  
 Anita Campbell  
 Anna Crowe  
 Anna Posthuma  
 Ann-Sofi Røj-Lindberg  
 Ansie Harding  
 Anthony Essien  
 Belinda Huntley  
 Bruce Brown  
 Calisto Munongi  
 Cally Kuhne  
 Carol Bohlmann  
 Cheryl Reeves

Craig Pournara  
 Cyril Julie  
 David Andrich  
 Deonarain Brijlall  
 Dirk Wessels  
 Duncan Samson  
 Elizabeth Burroughs  
 Erica Spangenberg  
 Hamsa Venkat  
 Hennie Boshoff  
 Janine Hechter  
 Joseph Dhlamini  
 Karin Brodie  
 Kerstin Jordaan  
 Lyn Webb

1. Accepted after one round of review, with 'minor' changes as specified by reviewers and Editor.

2. Accepted after two or more rounds of review, with major changes specified by reviewers and Editor.

3. Includes one case where the authors did not resubmit after required to make major changes.

4. All submissions undergo a preliminary review by the Editor Team to ascertain if it falls within the aims and scope of *Pythagoras* and is of an acceptable standard.



If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the *Pythagoras* website and register as a reviewer.

#### Reviewers (Continued):

Manare Setati  
Mandisa Lebitso  
Mdutshekelwa Ndlovu  
Melih Turgut  
Michael de Villiers  
Michael Murray  
Nancy Chitera  
Nelis Vermeulen  
Nick Taylor  
Paul Mokilane  
Piera Biccard  
Rajendran Govender  
Sarah Bansilal

Segun Adeyefa  
Shaheeda Jaffer  
Sharon McAuliffe  
Sheena Rughubar-Reddy  
Sibawu Siyepu  
Tim Dunne  
Toni Beardon  
Tracy Craig  
Verona Leendertz  
Werner Olivier  
Zain Davis  
Zalman Usiskin  
Zingiswa Jojo