



In an effort to facilitate the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for *Pythagoras*, we ask that you take a moment to update your electronic portfolio on <https://pythagoras.org.za> for our files, allowing us better access to your areas of interest and expertise, in order to match reviewers with submitted manuscripts.

**If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the journal website and register as a user. In order to be considered, please email [submissions@pythagoras.org.za](mailto:submissions@pythagoras.org.za) indicating your intention to register as a reviewer for the journal.**

To access your details on the website, you will need to follow these steps:

1. Log into the online journal at <https://pythagoras.org.za>
2. In your 'user home' [<https://pythagoras.org.za/index.php/pythagoras/user>] select 'edit my profile' under the heading 'my account' and insert all relevant details, bio statement and reviewing interest(s).
3. It is good practice as a reviewer to update your personal details regularly to ensure contact with you throughout your professional term as reviewer to *Pythagoras*.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you require assistance in performing this task.

Publisher:  
[publishing@aosis.co.za](mailto:publishing@aosis.co.za)  
 Tel: +27 21 975 2602

## Acknowledgement to reviewers

The quality of the articles in *Pythagoras* and the credibility and reputation of our journal crucially depend on the expertise and commitment of our peer reviewers.

Reviewing is an important part of scholarly work, making a substantial contribution to the field. Reviewers' comments serve two purposes, guided by two inter-dependent objectives:

- *Pythagoras wishes to publish only original papers of the highest possible quality, making a meaningful contribution to the field.* Reviewers advise the Editor on the scholarly merits of the manuscript to help him evaluate the manuscript and to decide whether or not to publish it. Reviewers are encouraged to reject a manuscript if it is scientifically flawed, merely sets out observations with no analysis, provides no new insights, or is of insufficient interest to warrant publication.
- *Pythagoras is committed to support authors in the mathematics education community.* Reviewers help the author to improve the quality of their manuscript. Reviewers are encouraged to write their comments in a constructive and supportive manner and to be sufficiently detailed to enable the author to improve the paper and make the changes that may eventually lead to acceptance.

We sincerely thank the following people who have reviewed these manuscripts for *Pythagoras* from 01 October 2021 to 30 September 2022. We very much appreciate their time, expertise and support of *Pythagoras* amidst pressures of work.

Anthea Roberts  
 Benita P. Nel  
 Bruce May  
 Christiaan Venter  
 Craig Pournara  
 Deonarain Brijlall  
 Dimakatso J. Muthelo  
 Duncan Mhakure  
 Elamo B. Chibaya  
 Eunice K. Moru  
 Eyus Sudihartinih  
 Hlamulo W. Mhiza  
 Jayaluxmi Naidoo  
 Jeanne Kriek  
 Joseph Baidoo  
 Kabelo Chuene  
 Kgaladi Maphutha  
 Koena S. Mabotja

Lyn Webb  
 Marie Joubert  
 Marien A. Graham  
 Mark S. Jacobs  
 Mdutshekelwa Ndlovu  
 Michael D. de Villiers  
 Million Chauraya  
 Pamela Vale  
 Patrick Barmby  
 Richard Barwell  
 Stanley A. Adendorff  
 Surette van Staden  
 Tšhegofatšo P. Makgakga  
 Vasuthavan G. Govender  
 Vimolan Mudaly  
 Vuyisile L. Khumalo  
 Washiela Fish  
 Zwelithini B. Dhlamini