

# ON THE SUBGROUP LATTICE OF AN ABELIAN FINITE GROUP

Marius Tărnăuceanu  
Faculty of Mathematics  
"Al.I. Cuza" University of Iași, Romania  
e-mail: mtarnauceanu@yahoo.com

The aim of this paper is to give some connections between the structure of an abelian finite group and the structure of its subgroup lattice.

## 1 Preliminaries

Let  $(G, +)$  be an abelian group. Then the set  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  of subgroups of  $G$  is a modular and complete lattice.

Moreover, we suppose that  $G$  is finite of order  $n$ . If  $L_n$  is the divisors lattice of  $n$ , then the following function is well defined:

$$\text{ord} : \mathcal{L}(G) \longrightarrow L_n, \quad \text{ord}(H) = |H|, \text{ for any } H \in \mathcal{L}(G),$$

where by  $|H|$  we denote the order of the subgroup  $H$ .

## 2 Main results

**Proposition 1.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is a cyclic group.
- (ii)  $\text{ord}$  is an one-to-one function.

(iii)  $\text{ord}$  is a homomorphism of the semilattice  $(\mathcal{L}(G), \cap)$  into the semilattice  $(L_n, (, ))$ .

(iv)  $\text{ord}$  is a homomorphism of the semilattice  $(\mathcal{L}(G), +)$  into the semilattice  $(L_n, [, ])$ .

(v)  $\text{ord}$  is an isomorphism of lattices.

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Obvious.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) For any  $d \in L_n$  let  $M_d$  be the set of elements  $x \in G$  having the order  $d$ . Then the family  $\{M_d \mid d \in L_n\}$  is a partition of  $G$ , therefore we have:

$$(1) \quad n = \sum_{d \in L_n} |M_d|.$$

A set  $M_d$  is nonempty if and only if there exists a cyclic subgroup  $H_d$  of  $G$  having the order  $d$ . In this situation  $H_d$  is the unique subgroup of  $G$  with the order  $d$  and we have:

$$M_d = \{x \in G \mid \langle x \rangle = H_d\}.$$

It results that  $|M_d| = \varphi(d)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Euler function. Using the relation (1) and the identity

$$n = \sum_{d \in L_n} \varphi(d),$$

we obtain that  $|M_d| = \varphi(d)$ , for any  $d \in L_n$ . For  $d = n$  we have  $|M_d| = \varphi(n) \geq 1$ , so that  $G$  contains an element of order  $n$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (iii) Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two subgroups of  $G$ ,  $d_i = |G_i|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $d = |G_1 \cap G_2|$ . Since  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is a subgroup of  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we obtain that  $d/d_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $d'$  is a divisor of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , then  $d' \in L_n$ , so that there exists  $G' \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  with  $|G'| = d'$ . We have  $G' \subseteq G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , therefore  $G' \subseteq G_1 \cap G_2$ . It results that  $d'/d$ , thus  $d = (d_1, d_2)$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two subgroups of  $G$  such that  $\text{ord}(G_1) = \text{ord}(G_2)$ . From (iii) we obtain that  $\text{ord}(G_1 \cap G_2) = \text{ord}(G_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , therefore we have  $G_1 = G_1 \cap G_2 = G_2$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (iv) Similarly with (i)  $\implies$  (iii).

(iv)  $\implies$  (ii) Similarly with (iii)  $\implies$  (ii).

(i)  $\implies$  (v) Obvious.

Next aim is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  in order to be a distributive lattice, respectively a complemented lattice in which every element has a unique complement.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is a distributive lattice or a complemented lattice in which every element has a unique complement, then, for any  $H \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ , the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  has the same properties.*

**Proof.** The first part of the assertion is obvious.

We suppose that  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is a complemented lattice in which every element has a unique complement and let  $H_1$  be a subgroup of  $H$ . Then  $H_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ , thus there exists a unique subgroup  $\overline{H}_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  such that  $H_1 \oplus \overline{H}_1 = G$ . It results that  $H = G \cap H = H_1 \oplus (\overline{H}_1 \cap H)$ . If  $\widetilde{H}_1 \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  satisfies  $H_1 \oplus \widetilde{H}_1 = H$  and  $K$  is the complement of  $H$  in  $G$ , then we have:

$$G = K \oplus H = (K \oplus H_1) \oplus (\overline{H}_1 \cap H)$$

and

$$G = K \oplus H = (K \oplus H_1) \oplus \widetilde{H}_1.$$

Since the subgroup  $K \oplus H_1$  has a unique complement in  $G$ , it follows that  $\widetilde{H}_1 = \overline{H}_1 \cap H$ ; hence,  $H_1$  has a unique complement in  $H$ .

**Proposition 2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is a cyclic group.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is a distributive lattice.

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii) If  $G$  is a cyclic group, then, from Proposition 1, we have  $\mathcal{L}(G) \simeq L_n$ , thus  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is a distributive lattice.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) From the fundamental theorem on finitely generated abelian groups there exist (uniquely determined by  $G$ ) the numbers  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  satisfying  $d_1/d_2/\dots/d_k$  and

$$G \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}.$$

We shall prove that  $k = 1$ . If we suppose that  $k \geq 2$ , then let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $d_1$ . Since  $d_1/d_2$ , we obtain that  $G$  has a subgroup isomorphic to the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Using Lemma 1, it is sufficiently to verify that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is not a distributive lattice.

If  $p = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq M_3$ , which is not a distributive lattice.

If  $p \geq 3$ , then let  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  be the subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$  generated by the elements  $(\hat{1}, \hat{2}), (\hat{1}, \hat{0}),$  respectively  $(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ . It is easy to see that we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 \cap H_2 &= H_1 \cap H_3 = \{(\hat{0}, \hat{0})\} \\ H_1 + H_2 &= H_1 + H_3 = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \\ H_2 &\neq H_3, \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is not a distributive lattice. Hence  $k = 1$ , i.e.  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{d_1}$  is a cyclic group.

**Proposition 3.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $n = |G|$  is square-free.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is a complemented lattice in which every element has a unique complement.

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii) If  $n$  is square-free, then  $\mathcal{L}(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_n) \simeq L_n$ . Since  $L_n$  is a complemented lattice in which every element has a unique complement (for any  $d \in L_n$  there exists a unique element  $\bar{d} \in L_n$ ,  $\bar{d} = \frac{n}{d}$ , such that  $(d, \bar{d}) = 1$  and  $[d, \bar{d}] = n$ ),  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  has the same property.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $G_1$  be the sum of all subgroups  $H \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  which are simple groups. Then there exists a unique subgroup  $\bar{G}_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  such that  $G_1 \oplus \bar{G}_1 = G$ . We shall prove that  $\bar{G}_1 = \{0\}$ .

If we suppose that  $\bar{G}_1 \neq \{0\}$ , then there exists  $x \in \bar{G}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ . Using the Zorn's lemma, we obtain a maximal subgroup  $G_2$  of  $\bar{G}_1$  with property that  $x \notin G_2$ . From Lemma 1, there exists a unique subgroup  $\bar{G}_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{G}_1)$  such that  $G_2 \oplus \bar{G}_2 = \bar{G}_1$ . It follows that  $\bar{G}_2 \neq \{0\}$ .

Let  $G_3 \neq \{0\}$  be a subgroup of  $\bar{G}_2$ . Then the inclusion  $G_2 \subset G_2 \oplus G_3$  implies that  $x \in G_2 \oplus G_3$ . From the equality  $\bar{G}_1 = G_2 \oplus G_3 = G_2 \oplus \bar{G}_2$  it results that  $G_3 = \bar{G}_2$ , thus  $\bar{G}_2$  is a simple group. We obtain  $\{0\} \neq \bar{G}_2 \subset G_1 \cap \bar{G}_1$ , contrary to the fact that the sum  $G_1 + \bar{G}_1$  is direct.

Hence  $\overline{G}_1 = \{0\}$ , therefore  $G = G_1$ . Since  $G$  is finite, there exist  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  such that  $H_i$  is a simple group, for any  $i = \overline{1, k}$  and  $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H_i$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  let  $p_i$  be a prime number with the property:  $H_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ . Using the fact that  $p_i \neq p_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , we obtain

$$G \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} \simeq \bigtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_k},$$

i.e.  $n = |G|$  is square-free.

Let  $\text{Ab}, \text{Lat}$  be the categories of abelian groups, respectively of lattices. We have a functor  $\mathcal{L} : \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Lat}$  given by:

- a) for an abelian group  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is the lattice of subgroups of  $G$ ;
- (b) for a homomorphism of groups  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(f) : \mathcal{L}(G_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G_2)$  is the homomorphism of lattices defined by  $\mathcal{L}(f)(H_1) = f(H_1)$  for any  $H_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G_1)$ .

**Remark.**  $\mathcal{L}$  is an exact functor.

**Proposition 4.** *If  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is an epimorphism of abelian groups and*

$$L = \{H_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G_1) / \ker f \subseteq H_1\},$$

*then:*

- (i)  $L$  is a sublattice of  $\mathcal{L}(G_1)$ ;
- (ii) the function  $\tilde{f} : L \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G_2)$ ,  $\tilde{f}(H_1) = \mathcal{L}(f)(H_1) = f(H_1)$  for any  $H_1 \in L$ , is an isomorphism of lattices.

**Proof.** (i) Obvious.

(ii) It remains to prove only that  $\tilde{f}$  is one-to-one and onto.

Let  $H_1, H'_1$  be two elements of  $L$  such that  $\tilde{f}(H_1) = \tilde{f}(H'_1)$ , i.e.  $f(H_1) = f(H'_1)$ . For any  $x \in H_1$ , we have  $f(x) \in f(H_1) = f(H'_1)$ , so that there exists  $y \in H'_1$  with  $f(x) = f(y)$ . It results that  $f(x - y) = 0$ , thus  $x - y \in \ker f$ . Since  $\ker f \subseteq H'_1$ , we obtain  $x \in H'_1$ ; hence  $H_1 \subseteq H'_1$ . In the same way we can check the other inclusion; therefore  $\tilde{f}$  is one-to-one.

Let  $H_2$  be a subgroup of  $G_2$ . Then  $H_1 = f^{-1}(H_2) \in L$  and, using the fact that  $f$  is onto, we obtain:

$$\tilde{f}(H_1) = f(H_1) = (f \circ f^{-1})(H_2) = H_2;$$

therefore  $\tilde{f}$  is onto.

**Remark.** The functor  $\mathcal{L}$  reflects the isomorphisms, i.e. if  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism of abelian groups such that  $\mathcal{L}(f) : \mathcal{L}(G_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(G_2)$  is an isomorphism of lattices, then  $f$  is an isomorphism of groups.

Next aim is to study when, for two abelian groups  $G, G'$  of the same order  $n$ , the isomorphism of lattice  $\mathcal{L}(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}(G')$  implies the isomorphism of groups  $G \simeq G'$ .

In order to solve this problem, it is necessary to minutely study the structure of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}\left(\bigtimes_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}\right)$ , where  $d_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$  and  $d_1/d_2/\dots/d_k$ . We shall treat only the case  $k = 2$ , in the case  $k \geq 3$  the problem remaining open.

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2}$  be the function defined by  $\pi(x, y) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\pi$  is an epimorphism of groups, therefore, by Proposition 4, we have the isomorphism of lattices:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2}) \simeq L_{d_1, d_2},$$

where  $L_{d_1, d_2} = \{H \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \mid \ker \pi = d_1\mathbb{Z} \times d_2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq H\}$ . It is a simple exercise to verify that:

**Lemma 2.**  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) = \{H_{p, q, r} = (p, q)\mathbb{Z} + (0, r)\mathbb{Z} \mid p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}, q < r\}$ .

From the above results, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2}) \simeq L_{d_1, d_2} = \\ & = \left\{ H_{p, q, r} = ((p, q)\mathbb{Z} + (0, r)\mathbb{Z}) \mid p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}, q < r, p/d_1, r/\left(d_2, \frac{d_1 q}{p}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.**

1) For two elements  $H_{p,q,r}, H_{p',q',r'} \in L_{d_1,d_2}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $H_{p,q,r} = H_{p',q',r'}$ .
- (ii)  $p = p', r/(q - q', r'), r'/(q - q', r)$ .
- (iii)  $(p, q, r) = (p', q', r')$ .

2) For two elements  $H_{p,q,r}, H_{p',q',r'} \in L_{d_1,d_2}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $H_{p,q,r} \subseteq H_{p',q',r'}$ .
- (ii)  $p'/p, r' / \left( r, q - q' \frac{p}{p'} \right)$ .

Let  $A_n$  be the set  $\{(d_1, d_2) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\})^2 \mid d_1/d_2, d_1d_2 = n\}$  and  $g : A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  be the function defined by  $g(d_1, d_2) = \text{card } \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2})$  for any  $(d_1, d_2) \in A_n$ . If  $d_1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$ ,  $d_2 = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_s^{\beta_s}$  are the decompositions of  $d_1$ , respectively  $d_2$ , as a product of prime factors (i.e.  $p_i =$  prime number,  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, s}$  and  $p_i \neq p_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ), then we have the following result:

**Lemma 3.**

$$g(d_1, d_2) = \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{(p_i - 1)^2} [(\beta_i - \alpha_i + 1)p_i^{\alpha_i+2} - (\beta_i - \alpha_i - 1)p_i^{\alpha_i+1} - (\alpha_i + \beta_i + 3)p_i + (\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1)].$$

**Corollary.** *We have:*

- (i)  $\text{card } \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) = 8$ .
- (i)  $\text{card } \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) = 5$ .
- (i)  $\text{card } \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p) = p + 3$ , where  $p$  is a prime number.

Now we can prove the main result of this paper:

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number,  $s$  be the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$  and  $G, G'$  be two abelian groups of order  $n$  which have (corresponding to the fundamental theorem on finitely generated abelian groups) the decompositions:*

$$G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2},$$

respectively

$$G' \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{d'_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d'_2}.$$

Then, for  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ , the isomorphism of lattice  $\mathcal{L}(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}(G')$  implies the isomorphism of groups  $G \simeq G'$ .

**Proof.** If  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$  are the distinct prime divisors of  $n$  and  $n = p_1^{h_1} p_2^{h_2} \dots p_s^{h_s}$ ,  $d_1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$ ,  $d_2 = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_s^{\beta_s}$ ,  $d'_1 = p_1^{\alpha'_1} p_2^{\alpha'_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha'_s}$ ,  $d'_2 = p_1^{\beta'_1} p_2^{\beta'_2} \dots p_s^{\beta'_s}$  are the decompositions of  $n, d_1, d_2, d'_1, d'_2$  as a product of prime factors (where  $h_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ ,  $\alpha'_i \leq \beta'_i$ ,  $\alpha_i + \beta_i = \alpha'_i + \beta'_i = h_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, s}$ ), then we have:

$$(1) \quad g(d_1, d_2) = g(d'_1, d'_2).$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2}) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z}_{d'_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d'_2})$ , there exists an isomorphism of lattice  $f : L_{d_1, d_2} \longrightarrow L_{d'_1, d'_2}$ . If we consider:

$$(i) \quad p_0 = 1, \quad q_0 = 0, \quad r_0 = d_2,$$

then we have  $H_{1,0,d_2} \subseteq H_{1,0,d}$  for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d/d_2$ , therefore

$$H_{p'_0, q'_0, r'_0} \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} f(H_{1,0,d_2}) \subseteq f(H_{1,0,d}) \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} H_{p'_d, q'_d, r'_d} \text{ for any } d \in \mathbb{N}, d/d_2;$$

it results  $r'_d/r'_0$  for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d/d_2$ , thus the number of divisors of  $d_2$  is at most the number of divisors of  $r'_0$ , so that (because  $r'_0/d'_2$ ) at most the number of divisors of  $d'_2$ :

$$(ii) \quad p_0 = d_1, \quad q_0 = 0, \quad r_0 = 1,$$

then we have  $H_{d_1,0,1} \subseteq H_{e,0,1}$  for any  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e/d_1$ , therefore

$$H_{p''_0, q''_0, r''_0} \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} f(H_{d_1,0,1}) \subseteq f(H_{e,0,1}) \stackrel{\text{not}}{=} H_{p'_e, q'_e, r'_e} \text{ for any } e \in \mathbb{N}, e/d_1;$$



it results  $p'_e/p'_0$  for any  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e/d_1$ , thus the number of divisors of  $d_1$  is at most the number of divisors of  $p'_0$ , so that (because  $p'_0/d'_1$ ) at most the number of divisors of  $d'_1$ .

Starting by the isomorphism of lattice  $f^{-1} : L_{d'_1 d'_2} \longrightarrow L_{d_1 d_2}$  and making a similarly reasoning, we obtain the converses of the above inequalities. Thus, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , the number of divisors of  $d_i$  is equal with the number of divisors of  $d'_i$ , i.e. the following equalities hold:

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^s (\alpha_i + 1) = \prod_{i=1}^s (\alpha'_i + 1) \\ \prod_{i=1}^s (\beta_i + 1) = \prod_{i=1}^s (\beta'_i + 1). \end{cases}$$

If  $s = 1$ , then, from equalities (2), we obtain  $\alpha_1 = \alpha'_1$  and  $\beta_1 = \beta'_1$ , thus:

$$G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} = \mathbb{Z}_{d'_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d'_2} \simeq G'.$$

If  $s = 2$ , then, from the first of the equalities (2), we obtain:

$$\alpha'_1 + 1 = u(\alpha_1 + 1), \quad \alpha'_2 + 1 = \frac{1}{u}(\alpha_2 + 1),$$

where  $u \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ .

If we consider the function  $F : \mathbb{R}_+^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= [(h_1 + 3 - 2(\alpha_1 + 1)x)p_1^{(\alpha_1+1)x+1} - (h_1 + 1 - 2(\alpha_1 + 1)x)p_1^{(\alpha_1+1)x} - \\ &\quad - (h_1 + 3)p_1 + (h_1 + 1)] \left[ \left( h_2 + 3 - 2\frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{x} \right) p_2^{\frac{\alpha_2+1}{x}} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( h_2 + 1 - 2\frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{x} \right) p_2^{\frac{\alpha_2+1}{x}} - (h_2 + 3)p_2 + (h_2 + 1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , then the equality (1) becomes:

$$(3) \quad F(u) = F(1).$$

We can suppose that  $u \geq 1$ . On the interval  $[1, \infty)$  we have  $F' < 0$ , so that  $F$  is an one-to-one function. Therefore the equality (3) implies  $u = 1$ . It follows that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha'_1$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha'_2$ . Since  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = \alpha'_1 + \beta'_1 = h_1$  and

$\alpha_2 + \beta_2 = \alpha'_2 + \beta'_2 = h_2$ , it results that  $\beta_1 = \beta'_1$  and  $\beta_2 = \beta'_2$ . Hence we have  $d_1 = d'_1$  and  $d_2 = d'_2$ , thus:

$$G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} = \mathbb{Z}_{d'_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d'_2} \simeq G'.$$

**Remark.** In the case when the number  $s$  of distinct prime divisors of  $n$  is arbitrary, the equalities (1) and (2) are not sufficient to obtain  $d_1 = d'_1$  and  $d_2 = d'_2$ . However, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $h_i = 1$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$  (i.e.  $n$  is square-free)  
or

(ii)  $h_i = 1$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} \setminus \{i_0\}$   
(i.e.  $n$  has the form  $p_1 \dots p_{i_0-1} p_{i_0}^{h_{i_0}} p_{i_0+1} \dots p_s$ ),

then it is easy to see that the conclusion of Proposition 5 holds.

## References

- [1] Birkhoff, G., *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [2] Grätzer, G., *General lattice theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [3] Suzuki, M., *Group theory*, I, II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980, 1985.
- [4] Suzuki, M., *Structure of a group and the structure of its lattice of subgroups*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1956.
- [5] Ștefănescu, M., *Introduction to group theory* (Romanian), Editura Universității "Al.I. Cuza", Iași, 1993.