

Regular generalized fuzzy b-separation axioms in fuzzy topology

Varsha Joshi^{*}
Jenifer J.Karnel[†]

Abstract

Regular generalized fuzzy b-closure and regular generalized fuzzy b-interior are stated and their characteristics are examined, also Regular generalized fuzzy b- τ_i separation axioms have been introduced and their interrelations are examined. The characterization of regular generalized fuzzy b-separation axioms are analyzed.

Keywords: rgfbCS; rgfbOS; rgfbCl; rgfbInt; rgfbT₀; rgfbT₁; rgfbT₂; rgfbT₂¹ and fuzzy topological spaces X (in short fts).

2020 AMS subject classification: 54A40

^{*} Mathematics Department, SDM College of Engineering & Technology, Dharwad-580 003. Karnataka, India.E-mail: varshajoshi2012@gmail.com

^{*} Mathematics Department, SDM College of Engineering & Technology, Dharwad-580 003. Karnataka, India.E-mail: jeniferjk17@gmail.com

[†]Received on January 12th, 2021. Accepted on May 12th, 2021. Published on June 30th, 2021. doi: 10.23755/rm.v40i1.624. ISSN: 1592-7415. eISSN: 2282-8214. ©The Authors.This paper is published under the CC-BY licence agreement.

1. Introduction

The fundamental theory of fuzzy sets were introduced by Zadeh [16] and Chang [9] studied the theory of fuzzy topology. After this Ghanim.et.al [10] introduced separation axioms, regular spaces and fuzzy normal spaces in fuzzy topology. The theory of regular generalized fuzzy b-closed set (open set) presented by Jenifer et. al [11]. In this study we define rgfb-closure, rgfb-interior and rgfb-separation axioms and their implications are proved. Effectiveness nature of the various concepts of fuzzy separation ideas are carried out. Characterizations are obtained.

2. Preliminary

$(X_1, \tau), (X_2, \sigma)$ (or simply X_1, X_2) states fuzzy topological spaces(in short, fts) in this article.

Definition 2.1[1, 3]: In fts X_1 , α be fuzzy set.

- (i) If $\alpha = \text{IntCl}(\alpha)$ then α is fuzzy regular open (precisely, frOS).
- (ii) If $\alpha = \text{ClInt}(\alpha)$ then α is fuzzy regular closed (precisely, frCS).
- (iii) If $\alpha \leq (\text{IntCl}\alpha) \vee (\text{ClInt}\alpha)$ then α is f b-open set (precisely, fbOS).
- (iv) If $\alpha \geq (\text{IntCl}\alpha) \wedge (\text{ClInt}\alpha)$ then α is f b-closed set (precisely, fbCS).

Remark 2.2 [1]: In a fuzzy topological space X, The following implication holds good




Figure1. Interrelations between some fuzzy open sets

Definition 2.3[3]: Let α be a fuzzy set in a fts X_1 . Then ,

- (i) $\text{bCl}(\alpha) = \wedge \{ \beta : \beta \text{ is a fbCS}(X_1), \geq \alpha \}$.
- (ii) $\text{bInt}(\alpha) = \vee \{ \lambda : \lambda \text{ is a fbOS}(X_1), \leq \alpha \}$.

Definition 2.4[11]: In a fts X_1 , if $\text{bCl}(\alpha) \leq \beta$, at any time when $\alpha \leq \beta$, then fuzzy set α is named as regular generalized fuzzy b-closed (rgfbCS).Where β is fr- open.

Remark 2.5[11]: In a fts X_1 , if $1-\alpha$ is rgfbCS(X_1) then fuzzy set α is rgfbOS.

Definition 2.6[11]: In a fts X_1 , if $bInt(\alpha) \geq \beta$, at any time when $\alpha \geq \beta$, then fuzzy set α is named as regular generalized fuzzy b-open (rgfbOS). Where β is fr- closed.

Definition 2.7[13]: Let (X_1, τ) , (X_2, σ) be two fuzzy topological spaces. Let $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be mapping,

- (i) if $f^1(\alpha)$ is rgfbCS(X_1), for each closed fuzzy set α in X_2 , then f is said to be regular generalized fuzzy b-continuous (briefly, rgfb-continuous).
- (ii) if $f^1(\alpha)$ is open fuzzy in X_1 , for each rgfbOS α in X_2 , then f is called strongly rgfb-continuous.
- (iii) if $f^1(\alpha)$ is rgfbCS in X_1 , for each rgfbCS α in X_2 , then f is called rgfb-irresolute.

Definition 2.8[10]: X_1 is a fts which is named as

- (i) fuzzy T_0 (in short, fT_0) if and only if for each pair of fuzzy singletons p_1 and p_2 with various supports there occurs open fuzzy set U such that either $p_1 \leq U \leq 1-p_2$ or $p_2 \leq U \leq 1-p_1$.
- (ii) fuzzy T_1 (in short fT_1) if and only if for each pair of fuzzy singletons p_1 and p_2 with various supports, there occurs open fuzzy sets U and V such that $p_1 \leq U \leq 1-p_2$ and $p_2 \leq V \leq 1-p_1$.
- (iii) fuzzy T_2 (in short, fT_2) or f-Hausdorff if and only if for each pair of fuzzy singletons p_1 and p_2 with various supports, there occurs open fuzzy sets U and V such that $p_1 \leq U \leq 1-p_2$, $p_2 \leq V \leq 1-p_1$ and $U \leq 1-V$.
- (iv) fuzzy $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ (in short, $fT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$) or f-Urysohn if and only if for each pair of fuzzy singletons p_1 and p_2 with various supports, there occurs open fuzzy sets U and V such that $p_1 \leq U \leq 1-p_2$, $p_2 \leq V \leq 1-p_1$ and $clU \leq 1-clV$.

3. Regular generalized fuzzy b-closure (rgfbCl) and Regular generalized fuzzy b-Interior (rgfbInt)

Definition 3.1: The regular generalized fuzzy b-closure is denoted and defined by, $rgfbCl(\alpha) = \Lambda \{ \lambda : \lambda \text{ is a rgfbCS}(X_1), \geq \alpha \}$. Where α be a fuzzy set in fts X_1 .

Theorem 3.2: Let X_1 be fts, then the properties that follows are occurs for rgfbCl of a set

- i. $\text{rgfbCl}(0) = 0$
- ii. $\text{rgfbCl}(1) = 1$
- iii. $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)$ is rgfbCS in X_1
- iv. $\text{rgfbCl}[\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)] = \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)$

Definition 3.3: Let α and β be fuzzy sets in fuzzy topological space X_1 . Then regular generalized fuzzy b-closure of $(\alpha \vee \beta)$ and regular generalized fuzzy b-closure of $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ are denoted and defined as follows

- i. $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \vee \beta) = \bigwedge \{ \lambda : \lambda \text{ is a rgfbCS}(X_1), \text{ where } \lambda \geq (\alpha \vee \beta) \}$
- ii. $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \bigwedge \{ \lambda : \lambda \text{ is a rgfbCS}(X_1), \text{ where } \lambda \geq (\alpha \wedge \beta) \}$

Theorem 3.4: Let α and β be fuzzy sets in fts X_1 , then the following relations occurs

- i. $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) \vee \text{rgfbCl}(\beta) \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \vee \beta)$
- ii. $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) \wedge \text{rgfbCl}(\beta) \geq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$

Proof: (i) We know that $\alpha \leq (\alpha \vee \beta)$ or $\beta \leq (\alpha \vee \beta)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \vee \beta)$ or $\text{rgfbCl}(\beta) \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \vee \beta)$
Hence, $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) \vee \text{rgfbCl}(\beta) \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \vee \beta)$.

(ii) We know that $\alpha \geq (\alpha \wedge \beta)$ or $\beta \geq (\alpha \wedge \beta)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) \geq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ or $\text{rgfbCl}(\beta) \geq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$
Hence, $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) \wedge \text{rgfbCl}(\beta) \geq \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$.

Theorem 3.5: α is rgfbCS in a fts X_1 , if and only if $\alpha = \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)$.

Proof: Suppose α is rgfbCS. Since $\alpha \leq \alpha$ and $\alpha \in \{ \beta : \beta \text{ is rgfbCS}(X_1) \text{ and } \alpha \leq \beta \}$, α is the smallest and contained in β , therefore $\alpha = \bigwedge \{ \beta : \beta \text{ is rgfbCS}(X_1) \text{ and } \alpha \leq \beta \} = \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)$. Hence, $\alpha = \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)$.

On the other hand, Suppose $\alpha = \text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)$, then

$\alpha = \bigwedge \{ \beta : \beta \text{ is rgfbCS}, \alpha \leq \beta \} \Rightarrow \alpha \in \bigwedge \{ \beta : \beta \text{ is rgfbOS}, \alpha \leq \beta \}$.
Hence, α is rgfbCS.

Definition 3.6: The regular generalized fuzzy b-interior is denoted and defined by, $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) = \bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is a rgfbOS}(X_1), \delta \leq \alpha \}$. Where α be a fuzzy set in fts X_1 .

Theorem 3.7: Let X_1 be fts, then the properties that follows are occurs for rgfbInt of a set

- i. $\text{rgfbInt}(0) = 0$
- ii. $\text{rgfbInt}(1) = 1$
- iii. $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)$ is rgfbOS in X_1
- iv. $\text{rgfbInt}[\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)] = \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)$.

Definition 3.8: Let α and β are fuzzy sets in fts X_1 . Then regular generalized fuzzy b-interior of $(\alpha \vee \beta)$ and regular generalized fuzzy b-interior of $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ are denoted and defined as follows

- i. $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \vee \beta) = \bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is a rgfbOS}(X_1), \text{ where } \delta \leq (\alpha \vee \beta) \}$.
- ii. $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is a rgfbOS}(X_1), \text{ where } \delta \leq (\alpha \wedge \beta) \}$.

Theorem 3.9: Let α and β are fuzzy sets in fts X_1 , then the following relations occurs

- i. $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) \vee \text{rgfbInt}(\beta) \leq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \vee \beta)$
- ii. $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) \wedge \text{rgfbInt}(\beta) \geq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$

Proof: (i) We know that, $\alpha \leq (\alpha \vee \beta)$ or $\beta \leq (\alpha \vee \beta)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) \leq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \vee \beta)$ or $\text{rgfbInt}(\beta) \leq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \vee \beta)$
Hence, $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) \vee \text{rgfbInt}(\beta) \leq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \vee \beta)$.
(ii) We know that $\alpha \geq (\alpha \wedge \beta)$ or $\beta \geq (\alpha \wedge \beta)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) \geq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ or $\text{rgfbInt}(\beta) \geq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$
Hence, $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) \wedge \text{rgfbInt}(\beta) \geq \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha \wedge \beta)$.

Theorem 3.10: Let X_1 be fts, α is rgfbOS if and only if $\alpha = \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)$.

Proof: Suppose α is rgfbOS. Since $\alpha \leq \alpha$, $\alpha \in \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is rgfbOS and } \delta \leq \alpha \}$
Since biggest α contains δ . Therefore, $\alpha = \bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is rgfbOS } \delta \leq \alpha \} = \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)$. Hence, $\alpha = \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)$.
On the other hand, Suppose $\alpha = \text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)$. Then, $\alpha = \bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is rgfbOS, } \delta \leq \alpha \} \Rightarrow \alpha \in \bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is rgfbOS } \delta \leq \alpha \}$. Hence, α is rgfbOS.

Theorem 3.11: Let α be a fuzzy set in a fts X_1 , in that case following relations holds good

- i. $\text{rgfbInt}(1-\alpha) = 1-\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha)$
- ii. $\text{rgfbCl}(1-\alpha) = 1-\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha)$

Proof: (i) Let α be a fuzzy set in fts X_1 . Then we have
 $\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) = \bigwedge \{ \lambda : \lambda \text{ is a rgfbCS}(X_1), \geq \alpha \}$. Where α be a fuzzy set in fts X_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} 1-\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) &= 1-\bigwedge \{ \lambda : \lambda \text{ is a rgfbCS}(X_1), \geq \alpha \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ 1-\lambda : \lambda \text{ is a rgfbCS}(X_1), \geq \alpha \} \\ &= \bigvee \{ 1-\lambda : 1-\lambda \text{ is a rgfbOS}(X_1), \leq 1-\alpha \} \\ &= \text{rgfbInt}(1-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $1-\text{rgfbCl}(\alpha) = \text{rgfbInt}(1-\alpha)$.

(ii) Let α be a fuzzy set in fts X_1 . Then we have
 $\text{rgfbInt}(\alpha) = \bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \text{ is a rgfbOS}(X_1), \leq \alpha \}$. Where α be a fuzzy set in fts X_1 .

$$\begin{aligned}
 1\text{-rgfbInt}(\alpha) &= 1-\bigvee \{ \delta : \delta \leq \alpha \text{ and } \delta \text{ is rgfbOS}(X_1) \} \\
 &= \bigwedge \{ 1-\delta : \delta \leq \alpha \text{ and } \delta \text{ is rgfbOS}(X_1) \} \\
 &= \bigwedge \{ 1-\delta : 1-\alpha \leq 1-\delta \text{ and } 1-\delta \text{ is rgfbCS}(X_1) \} \\
 &= \text{rgfbCl}(1-\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $1\text{-rgfbInt}(\alpha) = \text{rgfbCl}(1-\alpha)$.

4. rgfb-separation axioms

Definition 4.1: A fts is known as rgfbT_0 , that is regular generalized fuzzy bT_0 , iff for each pair of fuzzy singletons q_1 and q_2 with various supports, there occurs $\text{rgfbOS } \delta$ such that either $q_1 \leq \delta \leq 1-q_2$ or $q_2 \leq \delta \leq 1-q_1$.

Theorem 4.2: A fts is rgfbT_0 , that is regular generalized fuzzy bT_0 , if and only if rgfbCl of crisp fuzzy singletons q_1 and q_2 with various supports are different.

Proof: To prove the necessary condition: Let a fuzzy topological space be rgfbT_0 and two crisp fuzzy singletons be q_1 & q_2 with various supports x_1 & x_2 respectively i.e. $x_1 \neq x_2$. Since fts is rgfbT_0 , there exist a $\text{rgfbOS } \delta$ such that, $q_1 \leq \delta \leq 1-q_2 \Rightarrow q_2 \leq 1-\delta$, but $q_2 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(q_2) \leq 1-\delta$, where $q_1 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(q_2) \Rightarrow q_1 \leq 1-\delta$ where $1-\delta$ is rgfbCS . But, $q_1 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(q_1)$. This shows that, $\text{rgfbCl}(q_1) \neq \text{rgfbCl}(q_2)$.

To prove the sufficiency: Let p_1 & p_2 be fuzzy singletons with various supports x_1 & x_2 respectively, q_1 & q_2 be crisp fuzzy singletons such that $q_1(x_1)=1$, $q_2(x_2)=1$. But, $q_1 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(q_1) \Rightarrow 1-\text{rgfbCl}(q_1) \leq 1-q_1 \leq 1-p_1$. As each crisp fuzzy singleton is rgfbCS , $1-\text{rgfbCl}(q_1)$ is rgfbOS and $p_2 \leq 1-\text{rgfbCl}(q_1) \leq 1-p_1$. This proves, fts is rgfbT_0 space.

Definition 4.3: A fts is known as rgfbT_1 , that is regular generalized fuzzy bT_1 , iff for each pair of fuzzy singletons q_1 & q_2 with various supports x_1 & x_2 respectively, there occurs $\text{rgfbOSs } \delta_1$ & δ_2 such that, $q_1 \leq \delta_1 \leq 1-q_2$ and $q_2 \leq \delta_2 \leq 1-q_1$.

Theorem 4.4: A fts is rgfbT_1 , that is regular generalized fuzzy bT_1 , if and only if each crisp fuzzy singleton is rgfbCS .

Proof: To prove the necessary condition: Let rgfbT_1 be fts and crisp fuzzy singleton with supports x_0 be q_0 . There occurs, $\text{rgfbOSs } \delta_1$ and δ_2 for any fuzzy singleton q with supports $x (\neq x_0)$, such that, $q_0 \leq \delta_1 \leq 1-q$ and $q \leq \delta_2 \leq 1-q_0$. Since, it includes each fuzzy set as the collection of fuzzy singletons. So that, $1-q_0 = \bigvee_{q \leq 1-q_0} q = 0$. Thus, $1-q_0$ is rgfbOS . This shows that, q_0 (crisp fuzzy singleton) is rgfbCS .

Regular generalized fuzzy b-separation axioms in fuzzy topology

To prove the sufficiency: Assume p_1 and p_2 be pair of fuzzy singletons with various supports x_1 & x_2 . Further on fuzzy singletons with various supports x_1 & x_2 be q_1 & q_2 , such that $q_1(x_1) = 1$ and $q_2(x_2) = 1$. As each crisp fuzzy singleton is rgfbCS, the fuzzy sets $1 - q_1$ & $1 - q_2$ are rgfbOSs such that, $p_1 \leq 1 - q_1$ and $p_2 \leq 1 - q_2$. This proves, fts is rgfbT₁ space.

Remark 4.5: In a fts X_1 , each rgfbT₁ space is rgfbT₀ space.

Proof: It follows the above definition.

The opposite of this theorem is in correct. This is shown as follows –

Example 4.6: Let $X_1 = \{a, b\}$, $p_1 = \{(a, 0), (b, 1)\}$ and $p_2 = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0)\}$ are fuzzy singletons. $U = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 1)\}$ be rgfbOS. Let $\tau = \{0, p_1, p_2, U, 1\}$. The space is rgfbT₀ and it is not rgfbT₁.

Definition 4.7: A fts is known as rgfbT₂, that is regular generalized fuzzy bT₂ or rgfb-Hausdorff iff, for each pair of fuzzy singletons q_1 & q_2 with various supports x_1 & x_2 respectively, there occurs, rgfbOS δ_1 & δ_2 such that, $q_1 \leq \delta_1$ and $q_2 \leq \delta_2$ and $\delta_1 \leq 1 - \delta_2$.

Theorem 4.8: A fts is known as rgfbT₂, that is regular generalized fuzzy bT₂ or rgfb-Hausdorff if and only if for each pair of fuzzy singletons q_1 & q_2 with various supports x_1 & x_2 respectively, there occurs an rgfbOS δ_1 such that, $q_1 \leq \delta_1$ and $\delta_1 \leq 1 - q_2$.

Proof: To prove the necessary condition: Let rgfbT₁ be fts and fuzzy singletons q_1 & q_2 with various supports. Let δ_1 & δ_2 be rgfbOS such that, $q_1 \leq \delta_1$ and $q_2 \leq \delta_2$ and $\delta_1 \leq 1 - \delta_2$ where $1 - \delta_2$ is rgfbCS. We have by definition, $\text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) = \bigwedge \{(1 - \delta_2) : (1 - \delta_2) \text{ rgfbCS}\}$ where $\delta_1 \leq 1 - \delta_2$. Also $\text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \geq \delta_1$. This shows that, $q_1 \leq \delta_1 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \leq 1 - \delta_2 \leq 1 - q_2 \Rightarrow q_1 \leq \delta_1 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \leq 1 - q_2$.

To prove the sufficiency: Assume q_1 and q_2 are pair of fuzzy singletons with various supports and δ_1 be rgfbOS. Since, $q_1 \leq \delta_1 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \leq 1 - q_2 \Rightarrow q_1 \leq \delta_1 \leq 1 - q_2$. Also $q_1 \leq \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \leq 1 - q_2 \Rightarrow q_2 \leq 1 - \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \leq 1 - q_1$. This shows that, $1 - \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1)$ is rgfbOS. Also $\text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \leq 1 - \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_2)$. This proves that, fts is rgfbT₂ space.

Remark 4.9: In a fts X_1 , each rgfbT₂ space is rgfbT₁ space.

Proof: It follows the above definition.

The opposite of this theorem is in correct. This is shown as follows –

Example 4.10: Let $X_1 = \{a, b\}$. $q_1 = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0)\}$ and $q_2 = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.4)\}$ are fuzzy singletons, $O_1 = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0.4)\}$ and $O_2 = \{(a, 0.8), (b, 0.7)\}$ are rgfbOS. Let $\tau = \{0, p_1, p_2, O_1, O_2, 1\}$. The space is rgfbT₁ and it's not rgfbT₂.

Definition 4.11: A fts is known as $\text{rgfbT}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, that is regular generalized fuzzy $\text{bT}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ or rgfb-Urysohn iff for each pair of fuzzy singletons q_1 & q_2 with various supports x_1 & x_2 respectively, there occurs, $\text{rgfbOSs } \delta_1$ & δ_2 such that, $q_1 \leq \delta_1 \leq 1 - q_2$, $q_2 \leq \delta_2 \leq 1 - q_1$ and $\text{rgfbCl}(\delta_1) \leq 1 - \text{rgfbCl}(\delta_2)$.

Remark 4.12: In a fts X_1 , each $\text{rgfbT}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ space is rgfbT_2 space.

Proof: It follows from the above definition.

The opposite of this theorem is incorrect. This is shown as follows –

Example 4.13: Let $X_1 = \{a, b\}$. $q_1 = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0)\}$ and $q_2 = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.3)\}$ are fuzzy singletons, $O_1 = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0.3)\}$ and $O_2 = \{(a, 0.7), (b, 0.5)\}$ are rgfbOSs . Let $\tau = \{0, p_1, p_2, O_1, O_2, 1\}$. The space is rgfbT_2 and it's not $\text{rgfbT}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.




Figure2. From the above definition and examples one can notice that the above chains of implication.

Theorem 4.14: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-continuous , and X_2 is fT_0 , then X_1 is rgfbT_0 .

Proof: Assume α & β be fuzzy singletons in X_1 with various support then $f(\alpha)$ & $f(\beta)$ belongs to X_2 , As f is injective and $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$. As X_2 is fT_0 , there occurs, a open set O in X_2 such that, $f(\alpha) \leq O \leq 1 - f(\beta)$ or $f(\beta) \leq O \leq 1 - f(\alpha)$, $\Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq 1 - \beta$ or $\beta \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq 1 - \alpha$. Since, $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-continuous , $f^{-1}(O)$ is rgfbOS in X_1 . This shows that, X_1 is rgfbT_0 -space[4.1].

Theorem 4.15: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-irresolute , and X_2 is rgfbT_0 , then X_1 is rgfbT_0 .

Proof: Assume α & β be fuzzy singletons in X_1 with various support. As f is injective $f(\alpha)$ & $f(\beta)$ belongs to X_2 and $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$. As, X_2 is rgfbT_0 , there occurs $\text{rgfbOS } O$ in X_2 so that $f(\alpha) \leq O \leq 1 - f(\beta)$ or $f(\beta) \leq O \leq 1 - f(\alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq 1 - \beta$ or $\beta \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq 1 - \alpha$. As, f is rgfb-irresolute $f^{-1}(O)$ is $\text{rgfbOS}(X_1)$. This shows that, X_1 is rgfbT_0 space[4.1].

Theorem 4.16: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is $\text{strongly rgfb-continuous}$, and X_2 is rgfbT_0 , then X_1 is fT_0 .

Proof: Assume α & β be fuzzy singletons in X_1 with various support. Since f is injective $f(\alpha)$ & $f(\beta)$ belongs to X_2 and $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$. As, X_2 is rgfb T_0 , there occurs rgfbOS O in X_2 so that, $f(\alpha) \leq O \leq 1 - f(\beta)$ or $f(\beta) \leq O \leq 1 - f(\alpha)$, $\Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq 1 - \beta$ or $\beta \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq 1 - \alpha$. Since, f is strongly rgfb-continuous, $f^{-1}(O)$ is fuzzy-open in X_1 . This shows that, X_1 is fT_0 -space[2.8].

Theorem 4.17:An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-continuous, and X_2 is fT_1 , then X_1 is rgfb T_1 .

Proof: Assume α and β be fuzzy singletons in X_1 with various supports. $f(\alpha)$ and $f(\beta)$ belongs to X_2 , Since, f is injective. As, X_2 is fT_1 space hence, by the statement there occurs fuzzy-open sets O_1 & O_2 in X_2 such that, $f(\alpha) \leq O_1 \leq 1 - f(\beta)$ and $f(\beta) \leq O_2 \leq 1 - f(\alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O_1) \leq 1 - \beta$ and $\beta \leq f^{-1}(O_2) \leq 1 - \alpha$. Since, f is rgfb-continuous $f^{-1}(O_1)$ and $f^{-1}(O_2)$ are rgfb-open in X_1 . This shows that, X_1 is rgfb T_1 space[4.3].

Theorem 4.18: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-irresolute, and X_2 is rgfb T_1 , then X_1 is rgfb T_1 .

Proof: Assume α & β be fuzzy singletons in with various supports. Since f is injective, $f(\alpha)$ & $f(\beta)$ belongs to X_2 . As X_2 is rgfb T_1 , there occurs two rgfbOS O_1 & O_2 in X_2 so that $f(\alpha) \leq O_1 \leq 1 - f(\beta)$ and $f(\beta) \leq O_2 \leq 1 - f(\alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O_1) \leq 1 - \beta$ and $\beta \leq f^{-1}(O_2) \leq 1 - \alpha$. Since, f is rgfb-irresolute, then $f^{-1}(O_1)$ and $f^{-1}(O_2)$ are rgfbOS(X_1). This shows that, X_1 is rgfb T_1 space[4.3].

Theorem 4.19:If $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is strongly rgfb-continuous and X_2 is rgfb T_1 , then X_1 is fT_1 .

Proof: Assume α & β be fuzzy singletons in X_1 with various supports. Since, f is injective, $f(\alpha)$ & $f(\beta)$ belong to X_2 . As, X_2 is rgfb T_1 , there occurs two rgfbOSs O_1 and O_2 in X_2 so that, $f(\alpha) \leq O_1 \leq 1 - f(\beta)$ and $f(\beta) \leq O_2 \leq 1 - f(\alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O_1) \leq 1 - \beta$ and $\beta \leq f^{-1}(O_2) \leq 1 - \alpha$. Since, f is strongly rgfb-continuous, therefore $f^{-1}(O_1)$ & $f^{-1}(O_2)$ are fuzzy-open in X_1 . This shows that, X_1 is fT_1 space[2.8].

Theorem 4.20: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-continuous, and X_2 is fT_2 , then X_1 is rgfb T_2 .

Proof: Assume α & β be fuzzy singletons in X_1 with various supports. Since, f is injective, so $f(\alpha)$ & $f(\beta)$ belongs to X_2 and $f(\alpha) \neq (\beta)$. Since, X_2 is fT_2 , therefore there occurs open fuzzy set O in X_2 so that, $f(\alpha) \leq O \leq$

$Cl(O) \leq 1 - f(\beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq f^{-1}[Cl(O)] \leq 1 - \beta$. Since, f is rgfb-continuous $f^{-1}(O)$ is rgfbCS(X_1). Hence, $\alpha \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq f^{-1}[Cl(O)] \leq f^{-1}[rgfbCl(O)] \leq rgfbCl[f^{-1}(O)] \leq 1 - \beta$. That is, $\alpha \leq f^{-1}(O) \leq rgfbCl[f^{-1}(O)] \leq 1 - \beta$. This shows that, X_1 is rgfb T_2 [4.7].

Theorem 4.21: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-irresolute, and X_2 is rgfb T_2 . Then, X_1 is rgfb T_2 .

Proof: Obvious.

Theorem 4.22: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is strongly rgfb-continuous, and X_2 is rgfb T_2 . Then, X_1 is f T_2 .

Proof: Obvious.

Theorem 4.23: An injective function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is rgfb-continuous, and X_2 is f $T_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, X_1 is rgfb $T_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof: Assume α & β be fuzzy singletons in X_1 with various supports. Since, f is injective, then $f(\alpha)$ and $f(\beta)$ belongs to X_2 and $f(\alpha) \neq f(\beta)$. Since, X_2 is f $T_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then there occurs open fuzzy sets O_1 and O_2 in X_2 such that, $f(\alpha) \leq O_1 \leq 1 - f(\beta)$, $f(\beta) \leq O_2 \leq 1 - f(\alpha)$ and $ClO_1 \leq 1 - ClO_2 \Rightarrow \alpha \leq f^{-1}(O_1) \leq 1 - \beta$, $\beta \leq f^{-1}(O_2) \leq 1 - \alpha$ and $Clf^{-1}(O_1) \leq 1 - Clf^{-1}(O_2)$. Since, f is rgfb-continuous $f^{-1}(O_1)$ and $f^{-1}(O_2)$ are rgfbOS(X_1). $Cl(f^{-1}(O_1)) \leq rgfbCl(f^{-1}(O_1))$ and $1 - Cl(f^{-1}(O_2)) \leq 1 - rgfbCl(f^{-1}(O_2))$. Hence, $rgfbCl(f^{-1}(O_1)) \leq 1 - rgfbCl(f^{-1}(O_2))$. This shows that, X_1 is rgfb $T_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [4.11].

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to principal of SDMCET, Dharwad and management SDM society for their support.

References

- [1] Azad,K. (1981). Fuzzy semi-continuity, Fuzzy Almost continuity and Fuzzy weakly continuity. *Journal of Mathematics Analysis and Application*,82, pp.14-32.
- [2] Balasubramaniam,G. and Sundaram. (1997). Some generalization of fuzzy continuous functions. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* , 86(1), pp. 93-100.
- [3] Benchalli, S. and Karnel, J. (2010).On fuzzy b-open sets in fuzzy topological spaces.
Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, 1(2),pp.103-273.
- [4] Benchalli, S. and Karnel, J. (2010). Fuzzy b-Neighborhoods' and Fuzzy b-Functions in fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*,1(6), pp.696-701.
- [5] Benchalli, S. and Karnel, J. (2011). On fbg-closed sets and fb-seperation Axioms in fuzzy topological spaces. *International Mathematical Forum*, 6(51),pp.2547-2559.
- [6] Benchalli, S. and Karnel, J. (2011). On fgb-continuous maps in fuzzy topological spaces. *International Journal of Computer Applications*,19(1),pp.24-29.
- [7] Benchalli, S. and Karnel, J. (2011). On weaker and stronger forms of fuzzy b-irresolute maps in fuzzy topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 5(39),pp.1933-1941.
- [8] Benchalli, S. and Karnel, J. (2012). On some new-irresolute and closed maps in fuzzy topological spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*,6(29),pp.1443-1452.
- [9] Chang,C.(1968). Fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, 24, pp.182-190.

- [10] Ghanim,M., Kerre,E. and Mashhour,A.(1984). Separation axioms, subspaces and sums in fuzzy topology. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*,102,pp.189-202.
- [11] Karnel,J. and Joshi,V.(2019).Regular generalized fuzzy b-closed sets in fuzzy topological spaces. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*,6(6),pp.85-86.
- [12] Karnel,J. and Joshi,V.(2019).New forms of irresolute maps in fuzzy topology. *International Journal of Advance and Innovative Research*,6(2),pp.161-164.
- [13] Karnel,J. and Joshi,V. (2021).Regular generalized fuzzy b-continuous function in fuzzy topology.(Communicated).
- [14] Jin Han Park and JK Park.(1998). On regular generalized closed fuzzy sets and generalizations of fuzzy continuous functions in fuzzy topological spaces, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 34(7),pp.1013-1024.
- [15] Thiruchelvi, M. and Gnanmbal Ilango.(2016). On fuzzy generalized semi preregular continuous functions in fuzzy topological spaces. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*,106(6),pp.75-83.
- [16] Zadeh, L. (1965). Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*,8, pp.338-353.